

The House met at eleven of the clock,
MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair.

...

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : सर, अभी उत्तर प्रदेश में ... (व्यवधान) ...

श्री सभापति : आप प्रश्न काल चलने दीजिए। ... (व्यवधान) ...

प्रो. राम गोपाल यादव : सर, मेरा भी एक नोटिस था ... (व्यवधान) ...

श्री नन्द किशोर यादव : सर, हम लोगों ने नोटिस दिया था कि प्रश्न काल स्थगित करके सबसे पहले उस पर चर्चा कराइए।

श्री सभापति : देखिए, यह सब प्रश्न काल के बाद होगा।

Q.No.221

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, natural gas and oil are very important for the economic development in the contemporary history. Iran happens to be the second country which has the largest deposits of natural gas. The Government of India has admitted that the Iran-Pakistan-India Gas Pipelines Project is quite feasible and economically viable. If so, when Iran and Pakistan are entering into agreements and continuing their dialogue, why India is not participating in any such dialogue? I am asking this question precisely for one reason.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question, please. Question only.

SHRI D. RAJA: Yes, Sir. It is the United States of America which has been trying to keep Iran out of any Central Asian or South Asian joint gas pipeline project and openly opposing this Iran-Pakistan-India Gas Pipelines Project. The previous regime..

MR. CHAIRMAN: Rajaji, please, no statement; just one question.

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, my question is very simple and straight. Why India has not been taking any pro-active role in realising this Iran-Pakistan-India Gas Pipeline Project? Is it because of the US pressure?

SHRI MURLI DEORA: Sir, first, I assure the hon. Member that the energy needs of our country are paramount and a decision will be taken in the best national interest of our country. There is no question of any external pressure as far as energy security is concerned.

The hon. Member talked about participation. I assure him that there were almost nine meetings at all levels, from the Energy Ministers of three countries to the Heads of States of the three countries. They had several meetings. And all of them decided that the project should

Q. No.221 (Contd.)

go ahead. They are very sure that this project is good for India; it is good for Iran; and it is good for Pakistan. There are some problems which are coming up, and that is why it is delayed. But we are sure that we will be able to overcome all of them.

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, my second supplementary is related to our domestic affairs. On the basis of the recommendation of the Twelfth Finance Commission, the Government of Andhra Pradesh has taken up the issue of profit from gas with the Central Government. It says that the profit from gas be shared between the Centre and the State. Whether the gas..

MR. CHAIRMAN: Just one question, Mr. Raja.

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, this is related to domestic affairs.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But is it related to the main question?

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, it is a comprehensive issue.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But the supplementary must arise out of the answer given.

SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, I agree with you. We are trying to get natural gas from Iran. My point is: What are we doing to augment our own natural gas which is available? And, how do you engage the public sector companies -- the GAIL and the ONGC? This is my second supplementary.

SHRI MURLI DEORA: Sir, I am sorry, it is a different question and it is not related to the main question.

Q. No.221 (Contd.)

DR. C.P. THAKUR: Sir, a survey was done and it was found that there was a large amount of gas in sub-Himalayan belt in Bihar. Had the Government done anything to explore that?

(Followed by VKK/1B)

-YSR/VKK-SCH/1b/11.05

SHRI JITIN PRASADA: Sir, the question does not arise out of the question that has been answered. (Interruptions) But, we will have a look at the matter. (Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would like to request the hon. Members to put questions focussed on the main question and the reply given.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, let me focus because whatever the hon. Minister has said about the paramount need of gas, there is no doubt about it. I would like to know from the hon. Minister: What is the time schedule? This is going on for years and years and years. Is there any time frame by which we can expect the supply of gas from Iran through this pipeline? How fast can we get it? That is what is more important.

SHRI MURLI DEORA: There is no doubt that this project has delayed considerably. But, there are various lacunae or problems which have come up. Some of them are that we have not been able to decide about the price formula, what will be the price, transportation tariff, guaranteed gas supply, transit fees, delivery point where it will be delivered, etc. Now, the major block is the delivery point. While India wanted it on India-Pakistan border, Pakistanis and Iranis wanted it on the other side of the border, that is, Pakistan-Iran border. This was a

Q. No.221 (Contd.)

very important point. The project structure is also important. Still, a dialogue is going on among the three countries and we have not been able to decide about it. So, I assure the Member that we are trying our best. You are right that this project is very vital for the natural gas needs of the country.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, what is the time frame? (Interruptions)

SHRI MURLI DEORA: That's what I replied. (Interruptions)

SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE: Sir, the Minister has failed to specifically reply as to what is the time frame. (Interruptions)

SHRI JITIN PRASADA: Sir, the time frame can only be committed when these bottlenecks are taken care of. If we are not sure where the gas will be given, whether it will be given on the Iran-Pakistan border or the India-Pakistan border, we cannot commit the time frame. We can't commit until these problems are sorted out with regard to transportation tariff through Pakistan, what will be the rate, how much will it cost, what are the security concerns, etc. As far as Iran goes, we are still not sure....(Interruptions).....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please, please. (Interruptions) I think, the Minister has answered. (Interruptions)

SHRI JITIN PRASADA: There are talks. Unless these issues are clarified, no time frame can be given. These are issues of vital importance. How can you set a time frame when these issues have not been sorted out?

प्रो. राम गोपाल यादव: श्रीमन्, लोगों के मन में दो सवाल हैं, एक तो सिक्क्योरिटी का सवाल है और दूसरा सवाल अमेरिकन प्रैशर का है। मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहता हूँ

Q. No.221 (Contd.)

कि इस संबंध यूएस से क्या आपकी कोई वार्ता हुई है? आप कृपया इसका स्पैसिफिक जवाब देने की कृपा करें।

श्री मुरली देवरा: अमरीका के एनर्जी सेक्रेटरी श्री बॉडमैन लास्ट ईयर जब भारत वर्ष आए, तब उन्होंने भी हमसे यह बात पूछी, लेकिन हमने उनसे क्लीयर-कट कहा और उनको यह मालूम भी था कि यह हमारा आपस का मामला है एवं ईरान, पाकिस्तान और भारत, तीनों ही इस बात पर सहमत हैं। इस बारे में अमरीका की तरफ से कोई भी एक्सपेक्टेड नहीं है, लेकिन आपको मालूम है कि अमरीका में एक क्रायदा चल रहा है, जिसका नाम है, "ईरान-लिबिया सैंक्शन ऐक्ट"। इस ऐक्ट के अनुसार अगर कोई कंट्री या कोई कंपनी ईरान में 20 मिलियन डॉलर से ज्यादा इन्वेस्टमेंट करती है तो उस पर अमरीकन गवर्नमेंट की सैंक्शन्स लग सकती हैं। लेकिन हमारे यहां उसके लागू होने का कोई सवाल ही नहीं है। मुझे पूरा विश्वास है कि इसमें किसी भी अमरीकन कंपनी का किसी तरह का कोई हाथ नहीं रहेगा।

(Ends)

(Q. No.222 -- Hon. Member absent.)

(Followed by MKS/1c)

प्रश्न संख्या-223

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत: सर, मंत्री जी ने मेरे सवाल का जवाब दिया है कि 1950 में ही वह पहली सूची constitutionally निकली थी और उसमें 'मोवार या मउवार' जाति का कोई नामोनिशान नहीं है। सर, मेरा सवाल यह था कि 1950 तक आदिवासियों की किसी सूची में क्या मोवार जाति का कोई नाम था? इस पर आपने कहा कि नहीं था, लेकिन हकीकत यह है कि उसका नाम था। नागपुर के 31 मार्च, 1949 मध्य प्रांत और बरार गवर्नमेंट ऑर्डर नम्बर 3662/777/12 में मवार का नाम सीरियल नम्बर 75 पर दर्ज है। इस तरह आदिवासियों की सूची में 1950 से पहले जो सरकारी ऑर्डर थे, उसमें मोवार जाति का नाम है। मैं यह जानना चाह रही हूँ कि मध्य प्रदेश और छत्तीसगढ़ में लगातार-- पहले मध्य प्रदेश की सरकार ने सिफारिश की और उसके बाद छत्तीसगढ़ की सरकार ने भी सिफारिश की, तो इस तरह इस जाति के साथ यह बहुत बड़ा अन्याय है। ये आदिवासी हैं। इनका पूरा रहन-सहन ऐतिहासिक रूप से आदिवासियों के साथ है, ...(व्यवधान)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the question?

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत: तो आज यह जो अन्याय है, कम-से-कम इसको हटाने के लिए केन्द्र सरकार कोई उचित कदम उठाएगी या नहीं?

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया: माननीय सभापति महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से माननीय सदस्या की भावना को समझते हुए इनको यह बताना चाहता हूँ कि स्वतंत्र भारत में संविधान लागू होने से पहले देश में अंग्रेजों के समय में जन जातियों की कोई अनुसूचित सूची नहीं थी। जब देश आजाद हुआ, उसके बाद संविधान के अनुच्छेद 366 (25) में अनुसूचित जनजाति को परिभाषित किया गया तथा संविधान के अनुच्छेद 342 में जनजातियों को अनुसूचित करने की व्यवस्था उसमें रखी गई। मैं माननीय सदस्या को यह बताना चाहता हूँ कि अनुसूचित जनजाति की प्रथम सूची 06.09.1950 को संविधान के आदेश 1950 के माध्यम से अधिसूचित की गई, जिसमें मोवार जाति किसी भी राज्य में जनजातियों की सूची में सूचीबद्ध नहीं थी। इसके साथ ही उसमें जो बातें आई हैं, वे भी मैं इनको बता देता हूँ। दिनांक 06.09.1950 को संविधान (अनुसूचित जनजातियाँ) आदेश, 1950 के माध्यम से पहली सूची

Q. No.223 (Contd.)

जो जारी हुई है, उसमें मैं यह बताना चाहता हूँ कि आंध्र प्रदेश, अरुणाचल प्रदेश, असम, बिहार, गुजरात, गोवा, हिमाचल प्रदेश, कर्णाटक, केरल, मध्य प्रदेश-- मध्य प्रदेश और छत्तीसगढ़ उस समय एक ही राज्य था-- महाराष्ट्र, मणिपुर, मेघालय, मिजोरम, उड़ीसा, राजस्थान, तमिलनाडु, त्रिपुरा तथा पश्चिमी बंगाल की पहली सूची जारी हुई थी, इसमें यह नहीं है। यह मैं आपको बताना चाहता हूँ।

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत: सर, मैं फिर यह कहना चाहती हूँ कि इनकी सूची गलत है। भूमि के सम्बन्ध में सरकार के जो डॉक्यूमेंट्स हैं, उनकी कॉपी मेरे पास यहाँ है। उसमें जनजातियों-आदिवासियों के नाम में 75 नम्बर पर मोवार जाति का नाम है। मैं आपको वह डॉक्यूमेंट देती हूँ। उसको आप देख लीजिए। अगर यह सच है, तो उसके बारे में आप कदम उठाइए। मैं यह उम्मीद करती हूँ कि आप उसमें कुछ जरूर करेंगे।

सर, मेरा दूसरा सप्लीमेंटरी यह है कि जनजातियों की स्वीकृति का जो सवाल है, उसमें अभी पूरे देश में ऐसी कितनी मिसालें हैं कि arbitrarily किसी स्टेट में वे ST के रूप में स्वीकृत हैं। जैसे मध्य प्रदेश में कोल जाति ST है, जबकि उत्तर प्रदेश में कोल जाति SC है। मैं कब से इस बात की माँग कर रही हूँ कि इनको वहाँ ST बनाना चाहिए। सर, असम में जितने tea tribes हैं, वे बाकी प्रदेशों, जैसे बिहार और झारखंड, में ST हैं, लेकिन असम में अभी भी उनकी ट्राइब्स की हैसियत से कोई स्वीकृति नहीं है। इस प्रकार की बहुत सारी मिसालें हैं, मैं यहाँ उनकी पूरी सूची रख भी सकती हूँ, लेकिन समय का अभाव है। मेरा यह प्वायंट है कि क्या Social Justice and Empowerment Ministry इसके बारे में किसी कमेटी का गठन कर के इस सूची में जितनी भी इस प्रकार की anomalies हैं, उसको देखने का काम करेगी? इसके साथ ही क्या इसको एक time bound framework में करेंगे, ताकि यह जो * है-- यह शब्द इस्तेमाल करना शायद गलत होगा...।

(1डी/एच0एम0एस0 पर क्रमशः)

Q. No.223 (Contd.)1D/HMS-TMV/11.15

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत (क्रमागत) : लेकिन निश्चित रूप में अगर आप इसे एस0टी0 की दृष्टि से देखेंगे तो यह * है, वे अपने अधिकार से वंचित हैं। इसलिए क्या इस बारे में एक कमेटी का गठन कर के इन सारी दिक्कतों की जांच करवाएंगे?

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया : माननीय सभापति महोदय, जैसा कि मैंने पूर्व में बताया कि अनुसूचित जनजाति शब्द भारत के संविधान में पहली बार आया तो उस बात को लेकर हम यह कह सकते हैं कि उनकी प्रक्रिया अलग-अलग है। जो भी आवेदन राज्य सरकार को दिए जाते हैं, उन्हें राज्य सरकार भारत के महा-पंजीयक महोदय को देती है, उसके बाद वह अनुसूचित जनजाति आयोग के थ्रू हमारे मंत्रालय में आता है। फिर हम उसे कैबिनेट में रखते हैं और उसके बाद वह सदन में आता है। तो यह एक प्रोसेस है जिसके तहत ये सारी चीजें होती हैं। अनुसूचित जनजाति के लिए राज्य से प्रस्ताव प्राप्त होते हैं और प्रत्येक राज्य के प्रस्ताव पर अलग से यह प्रक्रिया चालू की जाती है। तो उसका भी एक प्रोसीजर चल रहा है, पर इस लंबी प्रक्रिया की वजह से हम तत्काल ऐसी कोई बात न कहें, जिस से कोई और बात आए, पर हमारी पूरी कोशिश है कि जो भी प्रस्ताव आए हुए हैं, उन्हें हम जल्दी से निपटाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। सभापति महोदय, इस में यह लंबी प्रक्रिया है जिस में प्रस्ताव राज्यपाल महोदय से लेकर राज्य सरकार से होकर प्रस्ताव आएगा तब जाकर यह clear होता है।

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : आप अपनी मिनिस्ट्री में कमेटी का गठन कीजिए जिस में लोग आकर मिलेंगे और आपको जानकारी होगी और आप कुछ कर पाएंगे।

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया : जो भी सुझाव आएगा, निश्चित रूप से हम उसे देखेंगे।

डा० ऐजाज़ अली : सर, सवाल पेश करने से पहले मैं माननीय मिनिस्टर साहब से एक बात कह देना चाहता हूँ। मेरी बात में ही मेरा सवाल छुपा हुआ है। सर, हम जरा धीरे बोलेंगे। सर, schedule caste reservation में religious binding लगा हुआ है जिस के चलते दलित मुसलमान, दलित ईसाइयों को रिजर्वेशन नहीं मिला है, लेकिन schedule tribe में किसी तरह का religious binding नहीं है।

* Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

Q. No.223 (Contd.)

ڈاکٹر اعجاز علی : سر، سوال پیش کرنے سے پہلے میں مائے منسٹر صاحب سے ایک بات کہہ دینا چاہتا ہوں۔ میری بات میں بی میرا سوال چھپا ہوا ہے۔ سر، ہم ذرا دھیرے بولیں گے۔ سر، شیڈول کاسٹ ریزرویشن میں religious binding لگا ہوا ہے جس کے چلتے دلت مسلمان، دلت عیسائیوں کو ریزرویشن نہیں ملا ہے، لیکن شیڈول ٹرائب میں کسی طرح کا religious binding نہیں ہے۔

ش्री سभापति : आप सवाल पूछिए।

डा0 ऐजाज़ अली : पूरे देश में पठारी इलाकों के जो मुसलमान मूल निवासी हैं, जिनको आवाई मुसलमान कहते हैं, वे सब schedule tribe origin के हैं, लेकिन सिर्फ लक्षद्वीप और जम्मू काश्मीर को छोड़कर बाकी हिंदुस्तान के जितने भी पठारी इलाके हैं, आप झारखंड देख लीजिए या मुर्शिदाबाद देख लीजिए, यहां के जो मूल निवासी मुसलमान हैं, उन्हें schedule tribe में नहीं रखा गया है। झारखंड की आबादी का 80 प्रतिशत मुसलमान, मूल निवासी मुसलमान tribal origin का है। वह किसी-न-किसी मुंडा, हो, पहाड़िया, नंदनिया..(व्यवधान)

ڈاکٹر اعجاز علی : پورے دیش میں پٹھاری علاقوں کے جو مسلمان نواسی ہیں، جن کو آبائی مسلمان کہتے ہیں، وہ سب schedule tribe origin کے ہیں، لیکن صرف لکشدیپ اور جموں کشمیر کو چھوڑ کر باقی ہندوستان کے جتنے بھی پٹھاری علاقے ہیں، آپ جہارکھنڈ دیکھ لیجئے یا مرشدآباد دیکھ لیجئے، یہاں کے جو مول نواسی مسلمان ہیں، انہیں شیڈول ٹرائب میں نہیں رکھا گیا ہے۔ جہارکھنڈ کی آبادی کا 80 فیصد مسلمان، مول نواسی مسلمان tribal origin کا ہے۔ وہ کسی نہ کسی منڈا، بو، پہاڑیا، نندیا... (مداخلت)۔۔۔

श्री सभापति : सवाल पूछिए

डा0 ऐजाज़ अली : सर, तो हम यह जानना चाहेंगे कि सिवाय लक्षद्वीप के मुल्क के किसी भी हिस्से से इस category के मुसलमानों को किसी भी हाउस में, चाहे स्टेट हाउस हो या

Q. No.223 (Contd.)

پارلیامینٹ ہو، کहीं भी representation नहीं है। तो हम आपके माध्यम से Ministry से जानना चाहेंगे कि क्या आप ने कभी इस point पर भी सोचा है कि देश के मुख्तलिफ इलाकों में जो लोग हैं, उनको tribal का दर्जा मिलना चाहिए और अगर आप ने सोचा है तो इस पर क्या कदम उठाया है?

ڈاکٹر اعجاز علی : سر، تو ہم یہ جاننا چاہیں گے کہ سوائے لکشڈیپ کے ملک کے کسی بھی حصے سے اس کٹیگری کے مسلمانوں کو کسی بھی ہاؤس میں، چاہے اسٹیٹ ہاؤس ہو یا پارلیمنٹ ہو، کہیں بھی representation نہیں ہے۔ تو ہم آپ کے مادھیم سے منسٹری سے جاننا چاہیں گے کہ کیا آپ نے کبھی اس پوائنٹ پر بھی سوچا ہے کہ دیش کے مختلف علاقوں میں جو لوگ ہیں، ان کو ٹرائبل کا درجہ ملنا چاہئے اور اگر آپ نے سوچا ہے تو اس پر کیا قدم اٹھایا ہے؟

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया : माननीय सभापति महोदय, मैंने पूर्व में भी निवेदन किया है। माननीय सदस्य ने जो प्रश्न पूछा है, वह मोवार, मउवार जाति के लिए पूछा गया है। आप अगर अलग से प्रश्न पूछेंगे तो हम उसका जवाब देंगे।

श्री सिलवियस कॉडपन : सभापति महोदय, मंत्री महोदय ने second supplementary question का जो उत्तर दिया है, मैं उसी सिलसिले में पूछना चाहता हूँ कि बहुत सारी जातियां अपनी-अपनी original states से हिंदुस्तान के ही विभिन्न प्रदेशों में चली गयीं, उनको ब्रिटिश शासन के समय में लिया गया। अब वहां जाकर backward class हो गए और अपने original state में schedule tribe रह गए। तो अभी जो constitutionally discrimination हो रहा है, इस पर पिछले 60 सालों में भी चिंता नहीं की गयी है। मैं माननीय मंत्री महोदय से प्रार्थना करूंगा कि क्या सरकार इस के बारे में चिंता करेगी?

(1ई/डीएस पर आग)

11.20/1e/RSS-DS

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया: माननीय सभापति महोदय, जैसा मैंने निवेदन किया था कि यह प्रश्न मोवार जाति और मऊवार जाति के लिए ही पूछा गया है और माननीय सदस्य ने अलग-

Q. No.223 (Contd.)

अलग स्टेट्स की जो बात कही है, वह अलग से प्रश्न पूछें, हम उसका जवाब दे देंगे
...(व्यवधान)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Smt. Kapila Vatsyayan...(Interruptions)...

SHRI BIRENDRA PRASAD BAISHYA: Sir, the question is very much related to the original question. The Minister has not answered that...
(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: In narrow technicalities, the hon. Minister is right. Please go ahead.

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Will you broaden the technicalities?

DR. (SHRIMATI) KAPILA VATSYAYAN: Sir, I would like to endorse the second supplementary just asked by Shrimati Brinda Karat. I think there is a need for relooking. The Minister said, this is a question of State Governments. Sir, anyone knows from the history of this country that the Tribes go across national or State boundaries. Also, in this country, this will not be a static category at all. And may I suggest that a Committee be formed in order to relook at the entire question of the SCs/STs? Thank you.

श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया: माननीय सभापति महोदय, माननीय सदस्य ने जो प्रश्न किया है, उस मामले में यह जरूरी नहीं कि कोई एक राज्य दूसरे राज्य में भी एक ही मान्यता ..
(व्यवधान) ...जाँच-पड़ताल करने के बाद ही ये सारी चीजें सामने आती हैं, इसलिए हम अलग से इस बात को ...(व्यवधान).. आप जो भी बात अलग से पूछेंगे, हम बताएँगे।...(व्यवधान)

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत: सर, आप एक कमेटी के गठन का ऐलान तो कर दीजिए, इसमें क्या प्रॉब्लम है?

श्री के.बी. शणप्पा: सर, यह एस.सी. का मामला...(व्यवधान)

Q. No.223 (Contd.)

श्री सभापति: आप बैठ जाइए।...(व्यवधान)

श्री के.बी. शणप्पा: बाकी के जो लोग हैं, वे लिस्ट में ऐड किये गए हैं ...(व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति: प्लीज, आप बैठ जाइए...(व्यवधान)... प्लीज...(व्यवधान)... जी...(व्यवधान).. आप बैठ जाइए।...(व्यवधान) Let us get on with the Question Hour.

(समाप्त)

Q. NO. 224

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, the present Government has very big plans for developing new projects and development of the country in respect of mining, in respect of hydro projects, in respect of construction sector, but all these projects are being delayed heavily because of the environment clearance. Sometimes, the environment clearance takes years and years. I would like to know from the hon. Minister what plan does he have to sort out this problem. Sir, the multi-purpose hydro projects are also pending for a very long time. Does he have the time-bound programme to sort out this problem? The time-bound programme may be there, but the normal excuse is, information is not given. Even in the reply, they have mentioned, 'inadequate information'. Now, at one go, if the Ministry ask all the questions as to what the problems are, it can be replied. But they are asking questions one after the other. So, I would like to know from the hon. Minister one thing. He is a very efficient Minister. Whatever has happened in the past, I am not questioning that. Can he give an assurance that no project will be delayed because of delayed environment clearance in future?

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: Sir, there is a common misperception that environment is a cause for delay of projects. I would like to categorically state here that this perception is wrong. Under the Environment Protection Act of 1986, a total time of 210 days is given to complete all formalities for environmental clearance. Under the Forest Conservation Act of 1980, a total of 150 days is given for total clearance. This question relates to environmental sanction, therefore, I will restrict myself to the environmental sanction alone. Over 90 per cent... (Interruptions)...

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Are they concurrent?

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: No, they are not concurrent, and this again is a common misperception which I want to dispel. Under the Environment Protection Act, the project authorities come for the clearance to the Ministry of Environment and Forests.

(contd. By 1f)

RG/11.25/1F

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH (contd.): Under the Forest Conservation Act, all proposals come to the Ministry of Environment and Forests from State Governments because the forests are owned by the State Governments. So, all applications, under the Forest Conservation Act, come from State Governments, whereas all applications, under the Environment Protection Act, come from project authorities, in the private sector, public sector, Central Government and State Governments. Over ninety per cent of the approvals are given within 210 days. However, I admit that there could well be, and there are, some cases that go beyond 210 days because these are extremely difficult cases. There are cases which are related to projects which may be close to a wildlife sanctuary, or, which are located in environmentally fragile zones. There are cases that could be related to complicated projects which require environmental safeguards. So, taking all these factors in mind, we are conscious of the fact that we must stick to the 210 days limit, as sanctioned by law. I want to inform the hon. Member that just last week, beginning the 30th of June, all pending projects are now up on the web site for public scrutiny. Anybody can go to the web site of the

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

Ministry of Environment and Forests and find out why projects are being delayed, where these projects are, why they are still under consideration and what next steps will be taken to clear these projects. All this is in the public domain. I would also want to say that when I came to this Ministry on the 29th of May, there were 700 cases awaiting clearance. By the end of June, those 700 had come down to almost 250. So, there was a substantial acceleration. But there have also been new cases that have come forward. Today there are over 500 cases awaiting clearance. I want to assure the hon. Member that we are conscious of the time limits. But we will not adhere to the time limits at the cost of environmental safeguards, or, of the Environment Protection Act. We will stick to the law. But we will ensure that we try to abide by the time limit of 210 days. Here, I must admit that there are some cases to which we, simply, will not be able to give the clearance within the stipulated time.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, I appreciate whatever the hon. Minister has said. I myself have said that he is an efficient Minister and he has done a good job. I congratulate him for that. But this time limit of 210 days, which the hon. Minister has mentioned, is an old story. Now, with this modern system, where information is readily available, should he not reduce it to 120 days or 150 days? That is where more efficiency is required. Otherwise, development process in the country cannot continue...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Put your supplementary, please.

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Yes, Sir. I appreciate that it is now put on the web site and we will be able to find out all the information. I would like to know about multi-purpose hydro projects, as to how many are pending with the Ministry. We know that if these hydro projects do not come up, the problem of power shortage will only increase. I would also like to know whether he has got the Afforestation Fund under his Ministry. If that is so, what is the fund and what is its utilisation?

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: Sir, the hon. Member has put three supplementaries.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You answer only one.

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: As regards 210 days, it is broken up as follows. There is the time period of sixty days stipulated for the Terms of Reference for the Environment Impact Assessment; forty five days is for public hearing; sixty days is for environment appraisal by the Environment Appraisal Committee, and there is the time period of 45 days for communicating the final decision. I am afraid I cannot give a commitment to compress this 210 days' schedule. Public hearing is, absolutely, essential; environmental appraisal is, absolutely, essential. Therefore, all that I can assure the hon. Member is that as for the 60 days' period for environmental appraisal in my Ministry, if it is possible to reduce it to 45 days, I will, certainly, examine this suggestion. Two hundred and ten days, to me, is sacrosanct. I may be able to reduce it from 210 days to 195 days. I will, certainly, examine the hon. Member's suggestion and ensure that whatever time period is taken in the Ministry of Environment and Forests is reduced. (Continued by 1G)

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

GSP-NB/11.30/1G

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH (CONTD.): But let us not reduce the period for Environmental Impact Assessment, and, let us not reduce the period for public hearing. In fact, Sir, I would argue that we need to increase the period of public hearing because many times -- I won't mind saying so -- this public hearing is a match fixing. There is no meaningful public hearing that takes place. We need meaningful public hearing. We need meaningful Environmental Impact Assessment, and, I want to assure the hon. Member that the environment is not a drab on development. We must ensure that these projects go through environmental sanction.

श्री जय प्रकाश नारायण सिंह : सभापति जी, मंत्री जी ने 210 दिन की बात कही कि वे 210 दिनों में इन प्रोजेक्ट्स का निस्तार कर देते हैं, लेकिन झारखंड में बुरई सिंचाई योजना पिछले 15 सालों से लंबित है और अभी तक वन विभाग से इसका clearance नहीं मिला है। यह dam बनना है, लेकिन अभी तक इसका clearance नहीं मिला है। हमें 210 दिनों में clearance की बात पर doubt होता है, इसलिए मैं खड़ा होकर इस बात को आपके सामने रख रहा हूँ।

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: Sir, the hon. Member has confused forest clearance and environmental clearance. Environmental clearance is within 210 days. Forest clearance is within 150 days, after we get clearance from the State Government. There are projects in Jharkhand where clearance has not been given even after three, four or five years. The hon. Coal Minister is sitting here. Sir, a large number of coal projects are stuck with the State Government. I am not blaming the State Governments. These are difficult situations. When you are going to divert thousands of acres of forest land, these are not decisions that we

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

can take in a matter of days. We must spend a lot of time before coming to final decisions. I accept the fact that there are many projects on forestry which are taking time. Frankly, Sir, my sympathies are entirely with those who are asking for a slow approach on forestry clearance because if we start giving clearance in a businesslike, fast track manner, on forest grounds, forests are simply going to disappear.

श्री कलराज मिश्र : सभापति जी, मंत्री महोदय हिंदी सवाल का जवाब हिंदी में दे सकते हैं (व्यवधान)

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Why can't they speak in English? (Interruptions) This is domination. (Interruptions)

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: Sir, this has caused so many problems in the past. (Interruptions)

श्री जयराम रमेश : यह * (व्यवधान)

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: You cannot force anybody. (Interruptions)

श्री कलराज मिश्र : जब मंत्री जी हिंदी में जवाब दे सकते हैं, तो उन्हें हिंदी में जवाब देना चाहिए, मेरा यह कहना है ... (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : कलराज जी, प्लीज़ ... (व्यवधान)

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: Sir, it is a question of integrity of the country. (Interruptions) It has become the order of the day. It has caused problems in the past. (Interruptions) They want to kindle... (Interruptions)...

श्री सभापति : प्लीज़, आप लोग बैठ जाइए ... (व्यवधान)

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Sir, it is domination. Why can't he answer in English? It is allowed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is allowed. सदन में दोनों जुबानें इस्तेमाल की जा सकती हैं
(व्यवधान)

श्री प्रकाश जावडेकर : हिंदी को * ... (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : अब आप दूसरे subjects पर मत जाइए ... (व्यवधान)

श्री वीर पाल सिंह यादव : सभापति जी, हिंदी * ... (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : कृपया आप लोग बैठ जाइए ... (व्यवधान)

श्री प्रकाश जावडेकर : मंत्री जी ने हिंदी को * ... (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए ... (व्यवधान) एक मिनट प्लीज़, आप लोग जरा मेरी बात सुनिए ... (व्यवधान)

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: You cannot compel. You cannot impose.
(Interruptions) This attitude has caused so many problems (Interruptions)

श्री वीर पाल सिंह यादव : हिंदी * ... (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए, मेरी बात सुनिए ... (व्यवधान)

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: They should stop this domination.
(Interruptions) हम कहेंगे आप तमिल में बोलो, आप मलयालम में बोलो, आप तेलुगु में बोलो (व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : जयन्ती जी, प्लीज़ ... (व्यवधान) अरे भाई, आप लोग क्यों बौखलाहट पैदा कर रहे हैं ... (व्यवधान) प्लीज़, बैठ जाइए ... (व्यवधान)

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: Don't challenge the integrity of the country. How can you compel? (Interruptions)

श्री नन्द किशोर यादव : सर,* शब्द को रिकॉर्ड से निकालना चाहिए ... (व्यवधान)

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

श्री सभापति : आप लोग बैठ जाइए, मैं आपको रूल बता रहा हूँ ... (व्यवधान)

1H/MP पर क्रमशः

MP-KS/1H/11.35

श्री सभापति (क्रमागत) : आप बैठ जाइए।(व्यवधान)... मैं कह रहा हूँ, आप बैठ जाइए। ... (व्यवधान)... देखिए, सदन में दोनों ज़बानों का इस्तेमाल करने की इजाज़त है, नंबर एक और नंबर दो, यह सवाल जो है, यह अंग्रेज़ी में पूछा गया है, इसलिए मंत्री को पूरा हक है कि वे उसी भाषा में उसका जवाब दें।(व्यवधान)... आपके पास interpretation facility मौजूद है। ... (व्यवधान)... देखिए, जो बात settled है, उसको आप क्यों दोबारा ... (व्यवधान)..

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Sir, I have one small submission. (Interruptions)

श्री वीर पाल सिंह यादव : सर, उन्होंने कहा कि हिंदी * ।

प्रो. राम गोपाल यादव : सर, सप्लीमेंटरी क्वेश्चन हिंदी में था। ... (व्यवधान)....

श्री रवि शंकर प्रसाद : हिंदी *, यह संसदीय भाषा नहीं है। हिंदी * (व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : किसने कहा? .. (व्यवधान)...

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : सर, मैं सॉल्यूशन बता सकता हूँ।

श्री सभापति : बताइए, क्या बता रहे हैं? ... (व्यवधान)... राम गोपाल जी, बैठ जाइए। ... (व्यवधान)... Dr. Malaisamy, please. (Interruptions)

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Sir, I have one small submission. (Interruptions)

सवाल किसी (व्यवधान)... मलयसामी जी (व्यवधान)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Will you, please, resume your places? (Interruptions) I think this is a totally unnecessary diversion. Please. (Interruptions)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : सर, सवाल जो है, अगर मंत्री महोदय हिंदी में ही ... (व्यवधान)... एक मिनट.... ज़रा ठहरिए, मैं पूछ रहा हूँ। ... (व्यवधान)...

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please. I have requested you... (Interruptions)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : सर, मंत्री महोदय अगर अंग्रेज़ी नहीं जानते होते, तो interpretation service है और हमें पता है कि इसमें हिंदी-इंग्लिश दोनों में interpretation होता है। हमें यह भी मालूम है कि अगर तमिल में सवाल पूछा जाए, तो उसका भी जवाब मिलता है। माननीय सदस्य का एक हिंदीभाषी होने के नाते राष्ट्रभाषा के प्रति अधिकार था, इसलिए उन्होंने सवाल पूछा। ...(व्यवधान)... मंत्री जी अगर हिंदी नहीं जानते होते, तो वे अंग्रेज़ी में जवाब दे सकते थे, पर वे हिंदी जानते हैं और उन्होंने ऐसा नहीं किया, यह गलत है।(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : देखिए....देखिए(व्यवधान).... फसाद मत पैदा कीजिए। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : यह गलत है और ऊपर से हिंदी को * कहा, यह और गलत है। ...(व्यवधान)... यह और गलत है।(व्यवधान)...

SHRI TIRUCHI SHIVA: You cannot force. (Interruptions) You cannot force. (Interruptions)

श्री सभापति : प्लीज़.....(व्यवधान)... आप लोग बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)... देखिए, जहां झगड़ा नहीं है, वहां झगड़ा मत पैदा कीजिए। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री रवि शंकर प्रसाद : हम लोग सभी भाषाओं का सम्मान करते हैं। तमिल का भी सम्मान करते हैं, लेकिन हिंदी को * कहना, यह ठीक नहीं है। इसको आप expunge कीजिए। ...(व्यवधान)... हिंदी को * कहना, यह ठीक नहीं है। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : देखिए... just one minute. अगर गलत शब्द इस्तेमाल किया गया है, तो वह expunge हो जाएगा, बात खत्म हो गई। ...(व्यवधान)... देखिए, अगर गलत शब्द इस्तेमाल हुआ है, तो वह expunge हो जाएगा, मैं रेकॉर्ड देखकर उस पर फैसला करूंगा। डा. कर्ण सिंह, बोलिए। ...(व्यवधान)... आप बैठ जाइए प्लीज़।

डा. कर्ण सिंह : सभापति जी, इस विषय में मेरा एक छोटा सा विनम्र निवेदन है कि हरेक

Q. No.224 (Contd.)

भाषा मां सरस्वती की वाहिनी हुआ करती है और किसी भी भाषा का अपमान करना उचित नहीं है, चाहे वह अंग्रेज़ी हो, चाहे हिंदी हो।

Sir, may I ask the dynamic young Minister one question? I am very glad that he is taking such a lot of interest in environment and forests. Is he aware of the fact that in the Aravalli Hills, around Delhi itself, there is massive illegal mining going on? Everyday huge trucks are carrying illegal mining products everywhere हमारी आंखों के सामने? We can see that. I agree, he is doing very well, but please try and get this loot around Delhi under control. Will you do that?

श्री जयराम रमेश : सर, मैं अरावली के बारे में यह कहना चाहता हूँ ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : यही काम पहले कर देते तो ...(व्यवधान)....

श्री जयराम रमेश : सर, पहले तो मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि मैंने कभी हिंदी का अपमान नहीं किया है। मैंने हिंदी को * नहीं कहा है। मैंने गलती से कह दिया * , उसे मैं वापस लेता हूँ। मैंने गलती से कहा * , मैं उसे वापस लेता हूँ और क्षमा चाहता हूँ। ...(व्यवधान)... मैंने हिंदी का कभी अपमान नहीं किया है, हिंदी को * नहीं कहा है। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया : सर, यह तो और सीरियस है, उस सदन के सदस्य का नाम ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : उन्होंने वापस ले लिया है, बात खत्म हो गई है। ...(व्यवधान)... बात खत्म हो गई।
...(व्यवधान)... अहलुवालिया जी, बैठ जाइए, बात खत्म हो गई।

श्री जयराम रमेश : सर, अरावली के मामले में यह बात सही है कि सुप्रीम कोर्ट का एक आदेश है कि जो कुछ माइनिंग राजस्थान और हरियाणा में हो रही है, यह गैर-कानूनी है, पर इसमें एक छोटी सी जानकारी मैं माननीय सदस्य को देना चाहता हूँ कि minor minerals की जिम्मेदारी जो है, वह राज्य सरकार की होती है।

(1J/SC पर क्रमशः)

-mp/sc-tdb/11.40/1j

श्री जयराम रमेश (क्रमागत) : और जो माइनिंग अरावली के पहाड़ों में हो रही है, वह ज्यादातर माइनर मिनिरल्स की हो रही है। हमने बार-बार राज्य सरकार को कहा है, उनको अवगत भी कराया है कि सुप्रीम कोर्ट का एक आदेश है, इसका उल्लंघन हो रहा है। दो हफ्ते पहले सारे टीवी चैनल्स पर दिखाया जा रहा था कि यहां पर अभी भी माइनिंग हो रही है। यह बात मेरी जानकारी में आयी है। I cannot use my hands very freely. क्योंकि जैसा कि मैंने कहा कि मेजर मिनिरल्स हमारी जिम्मेदारी है, माइनर मिनिरल्स राज्य सरकार की जिम्मेदारी है। मैं माननीय सदस्य को आश्वस्त करना चाहता हूं कि मैं खुद हरियाणा और राजस्थान के मुख्य मंत्रियों से बात करूंगा। अगर कोई ऐक्शन लेना है तो राज्य सरकार की ओर से लेना है, हम ऐक्शन नहीं ले सकते हैं। लेकिन हमारे Environment Protection Act - 1986 में प्रावधान है कि हम ऐक्शन ले सकते हैं। मुझे कुछ समय दीजिए, मैं वहां के मुख्य मंत्रियों से बात करूंगा। अगर राज्य सरकारों की ओर से कोई ऐक्शन नहीं लिया जाएगा तो केन्द्र सरकार जरूर ऐक्शन लेगी।

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, वैसे मैं मंत्री जी से काफी हद तक सहमत हूं लेकिन एक सवाल यह है कि environment की अनदेखी करके बहुत सी जगह फाइव स्टार होटल्स बनाए जा रहे हैं, जंगल काटकर फाइव स्टार होटल्स बनाए जा रहे हैं। क्या मंत्री जी की नॉलेज में ये चीजें हैं? चाहे हिमाचल प्रदेश में हो, धर्मशाला में हो या उत्तराखंड में हो, आज environment का सवाल बहुत ही महत्वपूर्ण सवाल है। आज जो सूखा पड़ा है, उसके पीछे भी हमारा environment है, जो प्रदूषित हो रहा है क्योंकि जंगल काटे जा रहे हैं। क्या मंत्री महोदय सारी जिम्मेदारी राज्य सरकारों पर डालकर जवाबदेही से बच सकते हैं? क्या केन्द्र सरकार पर्यावरण को बचाने के लिए कोई कानून बनाने जा रही है ताकि जंगलों को काटने से रोका जा सके और वन्यजीवों की रक्षा की जा सके।

श्री जयराम रमेश : सर, Forest Conservation Act - 1980 के तहत अगर कोई diversion होना है तो उसके लिए Central Empowered Committee और सुप्रीम कोर्ट की अनुमति की भी जरूरत होती है। अगर कोई मिसाल है जहां फॉरेस्ट एरिया को काटा गया है और फाइव स्टार होटल्स बनाए गए हैं तो मैं जरूर इसकी जांच करवाऊंगा। अगर माननीय सदस्य मुझे जानकारी देंगे। ..(व्यवधान).. मेरी जानकारी में ऐसा कोई उदाहरण नहीं है जहां मोटे तौर पर वनों को काटा गया है और वहां फाइव स्टार होटल बने हैं।

श्री गंगा चरण : Resorts बने हैं।..(व्यवधान)..

श्री जयराम रमेश : यह जानकारी मेरे पास नहीं है। अगर कोई उदाहरण है तो मुझे दीजिए, मैं इस संबंध में जरूर कार्यवाही करूंगा।

(समाप्त)

Q. NO. 225

SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA: Sir, I would like to inform the hon. Minister that in 2006, his predecessor had set up a High Level Committee to go into the issue to try and curb illegal mining, and, more recently, XLRI, Jamshedpur as well as the Indian School of Mines, at the behest of the Government of Jharkhand, has conducted a study to assess where the mines are, what is the amount of coal and whether the coal is low-lying, whether it can be run on a cooperative basis. Sir, I would like to ask the Minister what are the major recommendations of both these Committees and what does the Government propose doing on the basis of these recommendations.

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : महोदय, माननीय सदस्य ने जिस प्रश्न का पूछा है और जिस तरह से पूछा है, मैं इनकी भावनाओं से पूरी तरह से सहमत हूँ। जिस तरीके से इन क्षेत्रों में कोयले की चोरी हो रही है, स्वाभाविक रूप से यह प्रश्न उठता है कि कैसे इस चोरी को रोका जा सकता है, ऐसी कौन सी स्टडी करायी गयी है। हमारे मंत्रालय ने इसके पहले Xavier Labour Relations Institute, Jamshedpur से स्टडी करायी थी। उन्होंने बहुत सारी रिकमेंडेशंस दी हैं, अगर आप इजाजत दें तो हम पढ़ दें।..(व्यवधान).. इसके अलावा हमारी मिनिस्टरी की जो Indian School of Mines हैं, उनसे भी स्टडी करायी गयी है। उन्होंने भी बहुत सारी रिकमेंडेशंस दी हैं।

(1के-एमसीएम पर क्रमागत)

MCM-KGG/1K/11-45

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल (क्रमागत) : माननीय सभापति महोदय, मैं यह बताना चाहता हूँ कि यह जो चोरी इन क्षेत्रों में हो रही है, पिलफ्रेज हो रही है, इल्लिगल माइनिंग हो रही है, इसके बहुत सारे कारण हैं। सबसे बड़ा कारण इन क्षेत्रों की गरीबी है, इन क्षेत्रों का पिछड़ापन है और दूसरा कारण, इन क्षेत्रों में जिस ढंग से स्टेट गवर्नमेंट को इन चोरी को

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

रोकने के लिए एक्ट करना चाहिए था, क्योंकि आप जानते हैं कि.....(व्यवधान) आप अच्छी तरह जानते हैं कि.....(व्यवधान)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please do not interrupt. (Interruptions) We are running short of time, let the question be answered. (Interruptions)

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति महोदय, आप अच्छी तरह जानते हैं कि लॉ एंड आर्डर और पुलिस यह स्टेट सब्जेक्ट है। जितनी हमारी माइंस में चोरी होती है, उनको रोकने की हमारी सम्मिलित जिम्मेदारी है। लेकिन हमारी माइंस के अलावा जो कोल प्रोपर्टीज में चोरी हो रही है, उसको रोकने की जिम्मेदारी स्टेट गवर्नमेंट की है, स्टेट पुलिस की है और लॉ एंड आर्डर की है। हम स्टेट गवर्नमेंट से बराबर मिलते रहते हैं, अभी हमने जब से यह कार्यभार संभाला है, मैं जिन स्टेट्स में गया हूँ उन स्टेट्स के चीफ मिनिस्टर्स और गवर्नर्स से मिला हूँ। झारखंड के गवर्नर से मिला, वेस्ट बंगाल के चीफ मिनिस्टर से मिला और मैंने उनसे अनुरोध किया, हाथ जोड़कर अनुरोध किया कि सरकार की नाक के नीचे इतनी जबर्दस्त चोरी हो रही है, इसके बाद भी अगर सरकार कड़े कदम नहीं उठाती है, यह हमारे लिए दुर्भाग्य की बात है। हमें आश्वासन मिला है, झारखंड के गवर्नर साहब ने भी आश्वासन दिया है और पश्चिमी बंगाल के मुख्य मंत्री ने भी आश्वासन दिया है कि हम एक ऐसी कोआर्डिनेशन कमेटी बैठाएंगे, जिसके माध्यम से कोयले के अवैध खनन में और कोयले की चोरी रोकने के लिए कड़े कदम उठाए जा सकते हैं। हम माननीया सदस्या को आश्वस्त करते हैं कि जिस तरीके से हमने शुरू किया है, हम उम्मीद करते हैं कि आने वाले समय में इस चोरी पर कुछ न कुछ अंकुश जरूर लगेगा। हम यह तो नहीं कह सकते कि हम चोरी रोक लेंगे, न हम यह कह सकते हैं कि इल्लिगल माइनिंग हम रोक लेंगे, लेकिन कुछ न कुछ.....(व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए.....(व्यवधान) आप बैठ जाइए। Please do not interrupt. (Interruptions)

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति महोदय, इस पर कुछ न कुछ अंकुश जरूर लगेगा, यह आश्वासन हम जरूर देते हैं।

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let us not waste any time, please resume your places.
(Interruptions)

SHRI PARIMAL NATHWANI: Sir, I want to say...

MR. CHAIRMAN: The second supplementary now. (Interruptions) Please do not interrupt, the floor has not been given to you. Please resume your place. If you wish to ask a question, there is a procedure for it.

SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA: The hon. Minister says that it is a State-subject but he would understand more than anyone else since he was in the Home Ministry in the previous tenure that it is the law and order subject as well. Therefore, would the Centre consider setting up some sort of a formal mechanism by which it becomes mandatory that the Centre and the States jointly start reviewing the progress made? This is going on for very long and to simply palm it off as the State-subject is not going to take us any further. So, would he set up a joint mechanism to jointly coordinate and take steps to prevent the illegal mining?

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति महोदय, माननीया सदस्या ने जो कुछ कहा है, हमारे प्रश्न का जवाब खुद ही इन्होंने दे दिया है कि यह लॉ एंड आर्डर का सब्जेक्ट है और मैं यही कहना चाहता हूँ कि लॉ एंड आर्डर स्टेट सब्जेक्ट है। लेकिन जैसा कि माननीया सदस्या ने पूछा है, हम खुद इस बात की कोशिश कर रहे हैं कि हर स्टेट में वहां के मुखिया से मिलकर, वहां के मुख्य मंत्री से मिलकर और अगर वहां गवर्नर है तो उनसे मिलकर हम कैसे इस क्षेत्र में चोरी को रोक सकते हैं, इस क्षेत्र में कैसे इल्लिगल माइनिंग को रोक सकते हैं, यह प्रयास कर रहे हैं। इसके सकारात्मक प्रयास माननीया सदस्या को बहुत जल्दी दिखलाई पड़ेंगे।

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

SHRI N.K. SINGH: Sir, arising out of the Minister's reply to the first part to the first supplementary asked by the hon. Member, would the Minister concede that the basic cause which encourages illegal mining is the high profitability arising out of an over-regulated regime, which exists in the coal sector? Would the Minister, while considering detailed action of coordination between States, look to a kind of a regulatory framework which mitigates illegal mining, encourages productivity, and enhances overall availability of coal for the energy sector?

(Contd. by gs/1)

GS-KLS/1L/11.50

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति जी, माननीय सदस्य ने जो कहा है उसमें कोई शक नहीं है कि कोयले की चोरी उस क्षेत्र में उद्योग बन गया है। हजारों की तादाद में लोग इसको रोजगार का साधन बना चुके हैं। जैसा कि मैंने आपको शुरू में बताया कि यह सब कुछ गरीबी के कारण, पिछड़ेपन के कारण, अशिक्षा के कारण हुआ है। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : मंत्री जी, उनका सवाल है कि आप illegal को legal बना रहे हैं। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : प्लीज़ ...(व्यवधान)... आप लोग बैठ जाइए।...(व्यवधान)... आप लोग बैठ जाइए।...(व्यवधान)... Please stop this. ...(Interruptions)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, अगर माननीय सदस्य का सवाल है कि illegal को हम legal बनाएंगे, तो illegal को legal कोई नहीं बना सकता है। ...(व्यवधान)... माननीय सभापति जी, illegal को legal कोई नहीं बना सकता है। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : प्लीज़, आप लोग बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)... प्लीज़, आप बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)... आप बैठ जाइए।...(व्यवधान)... Please resume your place.

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति जी, illegal को legal कोई नहीं बना सकता है, लेकिन कुछ pragmatic कदम उठाने की सलाह दी जा रही है। जैसे - जिन क्षेत्रों में ज्यादा चोरी होती है, वहां पर कोआपरेटिव सोसायटी बनाकर स्टेट गवर्नमेंट्स छोटी-छोटी coal प्रोपर्टी उनको दे दें और उनसे यह कहें कि वह कोआपरेटिव सोसायटीज़ बनाकर, उसमें वहां के किसानों को, गरीबों को भागीदार बनाकर, उन सोसायटीज़ के माध्यम से माइनिंग कराएं। ...(व्यवधान)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please do not interrupt. ...(Interruptions)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : जिससे कि उनको रोजगार का साधन भी उपलब्ध हो जाए और वास्तव में चोरी भी रुक जाए। इस तरह के प्रयास किए जा रहे हैं, लेकिन अभी हम कह नहीं सकते हैं कि इसमें कितनी सफलता मिलेगी, उसका कारण है कि इसमें बहुत बड़ा रिस्क भी इन्वाल्व है। अगर कोआपरेटिव सोसायटीज़ को माइनिंग करने के लिए दिया जाता है और उन किसानों से कहा जाता है कि आप लोग माइनिंग करिए। उन लोगों के पास न तो ऐसे उपकरण हैं, न ही उनके पास कोई ऐसी जानकारी है, न ही उनके पास कोई ऐसी ट्रेनिंग है। अगर माइनिंग करते समय कोई हादसा हो जाता है, तो इसकी भी जिम्मेदारी कहीं न कहीं सरकार पर आती है। हम लोग प्रयास यह कर रहे हैं कि कोई ऐसा तरीका निकाला जाए जिससे कि उस क्षेत्र के लोगों को रोजगार के साधन भी उपलब्ध हो जाएं और illegal माइनिंग पर अंकुश लग सके।

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Sir, I am on a point of order. ...(Interruptions)... I am on the question of propriety. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: There is no point of order in the Question Hour. ...(Interruptions)... What is the point?

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Sir, there is an insinuation that is being made by the Minister and that is गरीबी कारण है चोरी का। सर, यह कहना कि गरीब ही चोरी करता है(व्यवधान).....

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, no. ...(Interruptions)... If that is so, I will look into it.....(Interruptions)...

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Sir, I want to submit जहां तक पता है अमीर लोग ही ज्यादा चोरी करते हैं। इस तरह से गरीबों का अपमान कर रहे हैं।... सर, मंत्री जी, इस सदन में गरीबों का अपमान नहीं करें। ...(व्यवधान)... उन्होंने गरीबों का अपमान किया है। इसके लिए मंत्री जी माफी मांगें। मंत्री जी ये शब्द वापस लें।...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : माननीय सभापति जी, माननीय येचुरी जी ने हमारी भावनाओं को और हमारे भाव को सही तरीके से नहीं समझा है। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : देखिए, आप वहां से ऐसा नहीं करेंगे। आप बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)... आप बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : आपने अगर यह अर्थ निकाला है कि गरीबी ही चोरी का कारण है, तो यह बिल्कुल अनुचित अर्थ है। ...(व्यवधान)...मेरे कहने का आशय यह है कि जो illegal माइनिंग जो हो रही है, उसके पीछे उस क्षेत्र के पिछड़ेपन का, उस क्षेत्र की गरीबी का, उस क्षेत्र की बेरोजगारी का बहुत बड़ा कारण है। मेरे कहने का आशय यह है कि ...(व्यवधान)... इसमें अगर आप यह समझते हैं कि मैं यह कह रहा हूं कि ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री तारिक अनवर : पूरा देश जानता है कि कोयला चोरी होता है और इसमें माफिया शामिल होता है।...(व्यवधान)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: If you wish me to conclude the Question Hour, I will do so. ...(Interruptions)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, मैं इस बात को स्वीकार करता हूं कि ...(व्यवधान)...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD: Sir, I wish to submit something. ...(Interruptions)... Please allow me, Sir. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is that you wish to raise?... (Interruptions)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, मैं इस बात को स्वीकार करता हूं कि ...(व्यवधान)...

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

श्री सभापति : देखिए, आप बैठ जाइए।... (व्यवधान)... पाणि जी, आप बैठ जाइए।... (व्यवधान)... देखिए, आप बार-बार इंटरैक्ट कर रहे हैं।... (व्यवधान)... आप ऐसा मत कीजिए। आप बैठ जाइए।... (व्यवधान)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, क्या मैं जवाब दे सकता हूँ ?

श्री सभापति : इनको सवाल पूछने दीजिए, तब जवाब दीजिए। ... (व्यवधान)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, जो सवाल सीताराम येचुरी जी ने उठाया है । ... (व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : वह सप्लीमेंट्री सवाल नहीं है।... (व्यवधान)...

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, इस क्षेत्र में बड़े-बड़े माफिया सक्रिय हैं, इस बात को मैं पूरी तरह स्वीकार करता हूँ। ... (व्यवधान)... उन माफियाओं के उकसाने पर ही ... (व्यवधान)...

मैं जवाब दे रहा हूँ। ... (व्यवधान)... इन माफियाओं के उकसाने से ही वहां के क्षेत्रीय लोगों ने इस तरह की घटनाओं को अंजाम देना शुरू किया है। उन माफियाओं से निपटने का काम हमको भी करना पड़ेगा और राज्य सरकारों को भी करना पड़ेगा। ... (व्यवधान)...

श्री बलबीर पुंज : सर, उन्होंने पहले कहा कि गरीबी चोरी का कारण है, इसके लिए उनको माफी मांगनी चाहिए।... (व्यवधान)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: You are not allowing me to ... (Interruptions)... मैं क्या करूँ ? ... (व्यवधान).. आप बैठ जाइए। आप बैठ जाइए। ... (व्यवधान)... मंत्री जी, आप बैठ जाइए। प्लीज़ । ... (व्यवधान)...

श्री बलबीर पुंज : पहले कहा कि गरीब लोग चोरी करते हैं और बाद में कहा कि माफिया करते हैं। मंत्री जी को पहले बयान पर माफी मांगनी चाहिए। ... (व्यवधान)...

(एएससी/1एल पर आगे)

ASC-SSS/11.55/1M

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए, प्लीज़। .. (व्यवधान).. Stop interrupting please. बलबीर जी, आप बैठ जाइए, प्लीज़। ... (व्यवधान).. प्लीज़। .. (व्यवधान) देखिए, पाणि जी, .. (व्यवधान)..

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

I am afraid...(Interruptions)... Just one minute please. ...(Interruptions)...

The Chair is now making a note. (Interruptions)

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, can I now...

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ जाइए। ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री विनय कटियार : क्या आप इनके उत्तर से सहमत हैं? एक तरफ गरीब को ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री सभापति : देखिए. ..(व्यवधान).. अगर उनके जवाब से आपको कोई एतराज है, तो आप अलग से पूछिए।

श्री विनय कटियार : सभापति जी, मैं आपका संरक्षण चाहता हूँ।...(व्यवधान).. मंत्री जी ... (व्यवधान)..

एक माननीय सदस्य : वे क्षमा मांगे। ... (व्यवधान)..

श्री शिवानन्द तिवारी : अगर मंत्री जी ने गरीबों का अपमान किया है, ... (व्यवधान).. इनको खेद व्यक्त करना चाहिए। ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री सभापति : I can't hear anything...

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Okay, let me finish my chance. (Interruptions)

श्री सभापति : देखिए, आप अपने साथी का हक मार रहे हैं। ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री विनय कटियार: सभापति महोदय, मैं आपका संरक्षण चाहता हूँ। सदन में गरीबों को ... (व्यवधान)..

श्री सभापति : आप जरा बैठ तो जाइए, भाई। ... (व्यवधान).. बलबीर जी, प्लीज़। पाणि जी, प्लीज़। ... (व्यवधान).. प्लीज़। ... (व्यवधान)...

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, you have given the chance to me. (Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Just one minute please. देखिए, टाइम जाया हो रहा है। ..(व्यवधान).. The Chair is getting the impression that there is a concerted effort to disrupt the Question Hour and not allow the questions to be

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

answered. If that is so, I will conclude the Question Hour. (Interruptions)

Please put your question. (Interruptions)

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Should I not get a chance? Everybody is interfering when my chance is given.

श्री विनय कटियार : आपका chance है, हम आपके chance को नहीं खोने देंगे।
(व्यवधान)..

DR. K. MALAISAMY: You wait. You speak when your chance is given. I should be given the permission. I should be allowed to speak.*

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, अभी तक इनका अनुवाद उपलब्ध नहीं है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, he will translate it for you.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: It is not possible for him to answer? Can he answer? I am trying to put my question in Tamil. Can he afford to speak in Tamil?

MR. CHAIRMAN: You did not give a notice. Please put your question in either Hindi or English.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: *

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please Dr. Malaisamy, no notice has been given.

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल : सर, चूंकि ट्रांसलेशन उपलब्ध नहीं है, इसलिए मैं इनके प्रश्न का जवाब नहीं दे पा रहा हूं। ...(व्यवधान)

DR. K. MALAISAMY: If he cannot understand Tamil I am capable of speaking in English also.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please do. You have taken too much time.

* Hon. Member spoke in Tamil.

Q. No.225 (Contd.)

DR. K. MALAISAMY: As far as illegal mining is concerned, my information is, that this is being done with the active connivance and consent of your lower level officers. If that be the case, do you have any mechanism to control and contain that kind of malpractices?

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल :सर, इसमें कोई शक नहीं है कि जहां कहीं भी चोरी होती है, जहां कहीं भी illegal माइनिंग होती है, जहां कहीं भी कोई गलत कार्य होता है, उसमें कहीं न कहीं अधिकारी व वहां के लोगों की मिलीभगत होती है। इसलिए मैं आपकी इस बात को स्वीकार करता हूं (व्यवधान)..

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: The Minister knows English. When the question is in English, he should reply in English. Why does he not reply in English?

श्री श्रीप्रकाश जायसवाल :सर, मैं यह प्रयास करूंगा(व्यवधान).. सर, मैं यह प्रयास करूंगा कि संबंधित अधिकारियों से मिलकर और अपने कोयले अधिकारियों के साथ मिलकर, इस चोरी को रोका जाए।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question Hour is over.

-SSS/NBR-LT/1N/12.00.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Before we go to the next item on the agenda I would like the hon. Members to introspect very seriously, a totally extraneous matter was raised which has resulted in a loss of precious time. Now, Papers to be laid on the Table. Before we proceed to next item on our agenda, I would like the hon. Members to introspect very seriously. A totally extraneous matter was raised which has resulted in a loss of precious time.

Now, Papers to be laid on the Table.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

1. SHRI B.K. HANDIQUE: Sir, I lay on the Table

I. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Mines Notification G.S.R. 96 (E), dated the 17th February, 2009, amending the Second Schedule of the Mines and Mineral (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, under sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the said Act.

II. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

- (i) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region) and the North Eastern Regional Agricultural Marketing Corporation Limited (NERAMAC), for the year 2009-10.
- (ii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region) and the North Eastern Handicrafts & Handlooms Development Corporation (NEHHDC) Limited for the year 2009-10.
- (iii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Mines) and the Mineral Exploration Corporation Limited (MECL), for the year 2009-10.
- (iv) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Mines) and the National Aluminium Company Limited (NALCO) for the year 2009-10.

(MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN IN THE CHAIR)

2. **श्री कांतिलाल भूरिया :** उपसभापति जी, मैं आपकी अनुमति से निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ : I lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Tribal Affairs) and the National Scheduled Tribes Finance and Development Corporation (NSTFDC) for the year 2009-10.

3. SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL: Sir, I lay on the Table

I. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Coal, under Section 7A of the Coal Mines Provident Fund Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1948.

- (1) G.S.R. 154 (E), dated the 5th March, 2009, publishing the Coal Mines Pension (Amendment) Scheme, 2009.
- (2) G.S.R. 822 (E), dated the 24th March, 2009, regarding exemption of the executive cadre employees of the Coal India Limited from the Coal Mines Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976.
- (3) G.S.R. 348 (E), dated the 22nd May, 2009, publishing corrigendum to G.S.R. 154 (E), dated the 5th March, 2009.

II. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Coal) and the Coal India Limited, for the year 2009-10.

4. SHRI SALMAN KHURSHEED: Sir, I lay on the Table

I. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, under sub-section (3) of Section 63 of the Competition Act, 2002:

- (1) G.S.R. 338 (E), dated the 15th May, 2009, publishing the Competition Commission of India (Number of Additional, Joint, Deputy or Assistant Director-General, other officers and employees, their manner of appointment, qualification, salary, allowances and other terms and conditions of service) Rules, 2009.
- (2) G.S.R. 439 (E), dated the 23rd June, 2009, publishing the Competition Commission of India (Number of Additional, Joint, Deputy or Assistant Director-General, other officers and employees, their manner of appointment, qualification, salary, allowances and other terms and conditions of service) Amendment Rules, 2009.

II. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, under sub-section (3) of Section 79 of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008: ¤

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

- (1) G.S.R. 891 (E), dated the 31st March, 2009, regarding the enforcement of the certain sections of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 with effect from the 31st March, 2009.
- (2) G.S.R. 229 (E), dated the 1st April, 2009, publishing the Limited Liability Partnership Rules, 2009.
- (3) G.S.R. 1323 (E), dated the 22nd May, 2009, publishing the date from which certain provisions of the Act shall come into force.
- (4) G.S.R. 1324 (E), dated the 22nd May, 2009, publishing the date from which certain provisions of the Limited Liability Partnership Rules, 2009, shall come into force.
- (5) G.S.R. 385 (E), dated the 4th June 2009, publishing the Limited Liability Partnership (Amendment) Rules, 2009.

III. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs Notification G.S.R. 386 (E), dated the 4th June, 2009, publishing the Alteration in the Second, Third and Fourth Schedules to the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008, under sub-section (3) of Section 78 of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008.

IV. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, under sub-section (3) of Section 642 of the Companies Act, 1956:

- (1) G.S.R. 183 (E), dated the 20th March, 2009, publishing the Companies (Central Governments) General Rules and forms (Amendment) Rules, 2009.
- (2) G.S.R. 225 (E), dated the 31st March, 2009, publishing the Companies (Accounting Standards) Amendment Rules, 2009.
- (3) G.S.R. 284 (E), dated the 24th April, 2009, publishing the Companies (Central Governments) General Rules and forms (Third Amendment) Rules, 2009.
- (4) G.S.R. 257 (E), dated the 17th April, 2009, publishing the Companies (Central Governments) General Rules and forms (Second Amendment) Rules, 2009.
- (5) G.S.R. 251 (E), dated the 15th April, 2009, publishing the Companies (Issue of Indian Depository Receipts) (Second

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Amendment) Rules, 2009.

V. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs Notification G.S.R. 226 (E), dated the 31st March, 2009, publishing the Amendment to the Schedule-VI to the Companies Act, 1956, under sub-section (3) of Section 641 of the Companies Act, 1956.

5. SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH: Sir, I lay on the Table

I. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Environment and Forests, under Section 26 of the Environment Protection Act, 1986:ꣳ

- (1) S.O. 97 (E), dated the 18th February, 2009, publishing the Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2009.
- (2) S.O. 1355 (E), dated the 27th May, 2009, amending S.O. 683 (E), dated the 23rd March, 2001, to substitute certain entries in original Notification.
- (3) S.O. 1356 (E), dated the 27th May, 2009, amending S.O. 1174 (E), dated the 18th July, 2007, to substitute certain entries in original Notification.
- (4) S.O. 2728 (E), dated the 25th November, 2008, amending S.O. 1174 (E), dated the 18th July, 2007, to substitute certain entries in original Notification.
- (5) G.S.R. 149 (E), dated the 4th March, 2009, publishing the Environment (Protection) Second Amendment Rules, 2009.

II. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Environment and Forests, under sub-section (3) of Section 62 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002:ꣳ

- (1) S.O. 783 (E), dated the 19th March, 2009, notifying the list of endangered species and prohibition and regulation on their collection for the State of Himachal Pradesh.
- (2) S.O. 997 (E), dated the 23rd April, 2009, notifying the list of endangered species and prohibition and regulation on their collection for the State of Kerala.
- (3) S.O. 998 (E), dated the 23rd April, 2009, notifying the list of endangered species and prohibition and regulation on their

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

collection for the State of Uttar Pradesh.

- (4) S.O. 999 (E), dated the 23rd April, 2009, notifying the list of endangered species and prohibition and regulation on their collection for the State of Uttarakhand.

III. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:ꣳ

- (i) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Animal Welfare Board of India, Chennai, for the year 2007-08, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts.
- (b) Statement by Government accepting the above Report.
- (c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (i) (a) above.
- (ii) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the G.B. Pant Institute of Himalayan Environment and Development, Almora, for the year 2007-08, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts.
- (b) Review by Government on the working of above Institute.
- (c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (ii) (a) above.

6. SHRI JITIN PRASADA: Sir, I lay on the Table

I. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas Notification S.O. 2832 (E), dated the 2nd December, 2008, publishing Corrigendum to S.O. 1110 (E), dated the 8th May, 2008, under Section 62 of the Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board Act, 2006.

II. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas Notification S.O. 586 (E), dated the 2nd March, 2009, appointing Company Secretary of M/s Balmer Lawrie & Co. Ltd. to be the Estate Officer of the Company, under sub-section (3) of Section 18 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971.

III. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the following Papers:ꣳ

- (i) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Bharat

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

- Petroleum Corporation Limited (BPCL) for the year 2009-10.
- (ii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and M/s Biecco Lawrie Limited for the year 2009-10.
 - (iii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and M/s Balmer Lawrie and Company Limited for the year 2009-10.
 - (iv) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) for the year 2009-10.
 - (v) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Engineers India Limited (EIL) for the year 2009-10.
 - (vi) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL) for the year 2009-10.
 - (vii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited (ONGC) for the year 2009-10.
 - (viii) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas) and Oil India Limited for the year 2009-10.

7. SHRI BHARATSINH SOLANKI: Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

- (1) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Power) and the North Eastern Electric Power Corporation Limited (NEEPCO) for the year 2009-10.
- (2) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Power) and the Rural Electrification Corporation Limited, for the year 2009-10.
- (3) Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

India (Ministry of Power) and the Tehri Hydro Development Corporation Limited (THDC), for the year 2009-10.

8. DR. S. JAGATHRAKSHAKAN: Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

- (i) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Film and Television Institute of India (FTII), Pune, for the year 2007-08 together with the Auditors Report thereon.
- (b) Review by Government on the working of the above Institute.
- (c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (i) (a) above.
- (ii) (a) Annual Accounts of Prasar Bharati (Broadcasting Corporation of India), New Delhi, for the year 2007-08, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts, under sub-section (4) of Section 21 of the Prasar Bharati (Broadcasting Corporation of India) Act, 1990.
- (b) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (ii) (a) above.

(Ends)

MATTERS RAISED WITH PERMISSION OF CHAIR**RE. ALLEGED IRREGULARITIES IN FUNCTIONING OF A.I.C.T.E.**

SHRI M.V. MYSURA REDDY (ANDHRA PRADESH): Sir, with your permission, I wish to raise the issue regarding the irregularities in the functioning of the AICTE.

Sir, AICTE is the apex body to look after the standards of technical education in the country. There have been allegations that the Chairman, Members, Secretary and other officials are involving in corrupt practices and getting bad name, not only to the AICTE but also to the standard of education. Proving this correct, the CBI has caught red-handed one Member while accepting Rs. 5 lakhs as bribe from the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

management of an engineering college at Hyderabad. The CBI has also registered a case against the Chairman, Adviser and Regional Advisors on charges of corruption and nepotism.

The Minister of State for HRD has also written a letter to the Minister of HRD. With your permission, I wish to quote the letter. It says, "It is very sad that the AICTE, which is to strive for the progress of higher education in the country, is standing as a hurdle. All the technical institutions in the country have become frustrated. There is a delay in giving permission. Members and officials have become irresponsible. There is a high-level corruption. As a result, the technical institutions in the country are disillusioned. So, I request you to immediately take steps for overhaul of the entire AICTE set up."

This clearly shows the pathetic condition of the functioning of the AICTE. So, I request the hon. Minister to change the guidelines for sanctioning of engineering colleges. I also request for instituting an enquiry on the availability of quality of infrastructure, whether the qualified staff is available in engineering colleges and also the standard of education in the permitted colleges. Thank you.
(Ends)

**RE. FIRING AT ARMY RECRUITMENT CENTRE IN CHANDALI,
UTTAR PRADESH**

श्री नन्द किशोर यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति जी, धन्यवाद। मेरा विषय सेना में जवानों की जो भर्ती होती है, उसमें दलालों का वर्चस्व है, उसमें धांधली होती है, के संबंध में है। यह बहुत ही गंभीर प्रश्न है। दिनांक 19 जुलाई को चदौली जनपद, उत्तर प्रदेश में सेना में भर्ती हो रही थी। इस भर्ती का कार्यक्रम 13 जुलाई से चल रहा था और 20 जुलाई तक

चलने वाला था। रक्षा विभाग की ओर भर्ती का काम सेना के अधिकारी और कर्मचारी कर रहे थे।

(akg/10 पर जारी)

AKG-USY/10/12.05

श्री नन्द किशोर यादव (क्रमागत) : 17 जुलाई को भर्ती का जो काम हुआ, उसमें धाँधली हुई, इसका आरोप वहाँ भर्ती होने के लिए जो युवक आए थे, वे लगा रहे थे। उस समय चन्दौली प्रशासन और सेना के अधिकारियों ने कोई ध्यान नहीं दिया। 19 जुलाई को चन्दौली और पूर्वांचल के आसपास के 15 जिलों, गाजीपुर, आजमगढ़ और देवरिया आदि के 20 हजार नौजवान वहाँ भर्ती होने के लिए उपस्थित हो गए। यह भर्ती की प्रक्रिया सुबह 4 बजे से प्रारम्भ कर दी गई। 7 बजे से लेकर 9 बजे तक दौड़ का एक कार्यक्रम था, जिसमें 4 राउंड लगाने होते थे। जो बच्चे 4 राउंड लगा लेते थे, उनको qualify कर दिया जाता था। जब आखिरी राउंड की दौड़ हो रही थी, तो सेना के अधिकारियों ने अपने चहेते बच्चों को, जिनको वे भर्ती करना चाहते थे, आखिरी राउंड में दौड़ाने का काम किया। इसी बात को लेकर वहाँ जो युवक भर्ती होने आए थे, वे आक्रोशित हो गए। इसके बाद वहाँ भगदड़ मच गई। भगदड़ में वहाँ firing हुई। बड़ी हास्यास्पद स्थिति है कि वहाँ सेना के जो उच्च अधिकारी थे, वे कहते हैं कि हमने firing नहीं की है; जिला प्रशासन, जिसका काम law and order maintain करना था, वह कह रहा है कि हमने वहाँ पर firing नहीं की है। चन्दौली से जो समाचार मिल रहे हैं, उसमें 4 लोगों के मरने की बात सामने आ रही है। जिला प्रशासन कह रहा है कि वहाँ केवल एक आदमी मरा है। वस्तुस्थिति यह है कि उसमें कम-से-कम 4 लोगों की मौत हुई है और 1,000 लोग घायल हुए हैं। 7 घंटे तक पूरे चन्दौली जनपद का प्रशासन एकदम मूक दर्शक बना रहा और पूरा जनजीवन ठप रहा।

महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से यह चाहता हूँ कि इस पूरे प्रकरण की उच्चस्तरीय जाँच कराई जाए कि सेना की तरफ से गोली चलाई गई है या स्थानीय प्रशासन की तरफ से गोली चलाई गई है। मेरा दूसरा प्रश्न यह है कि सेना में जो भर्ती हो रही थी, उसमें युवकों द्वारा धाँधली लगाने का जो आरोप है, वह सही है या गलत है?

(समाप्त)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री रुद्रनारायण पाणि (उड़ीसा) : सर, मैं अपने आपको इस विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री रघुनन्दन शर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश) : सर, मैं अपने आपको इस विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

प्रो. राम गोपाल यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, मैं अपने आपको इस विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री शिवानन्द तिवारी (बिहार) : सर, मैं अपने आपको इस विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, मैं अपने आपको इस विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Kalraj Mishra to associate.

श्री कलराज मिश्र : सर, मैंने नोटिस दिया है।

श्री उपसभापति : आपने नोटिस दिया है, लेकिन विषय वही है, तो आप associate कर दीजिए।

श्री कलराज मिश्र : सर, मैं अपनी बात रखना चाहता हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : ठीक है, आप एक मिनट में अपनी बात रख दीजिए।

श्री कलराज मिश्र (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, मैंने नोटिस दिया है और मेरी नोटिस स्वीकार भी हुई है। चूँकि मेरी नोटिस स्वीकार हुई है, इसलिए मैं 3 मिनट बोलने का अधिकारी हूँ।

मान्यवर, मैं आपको बताना चाहूँगा कि उत्तर प्रदेश में गत कई वर्षों से जब भी सेना में भर्ती शुरू हुई, तो किसी-न-किसी कारणवश वहाँ हादसा हुआ है। चाहे फैजाबाद हो, चाहे लखनऊ हो, वहाँ भी भर्ती के दौरान इसी प्रकार का किसी-न-किसी ढंग से पक्षपातपूर्ण रवैया अपनाने के कारण उपद्रव हुआ और हादसा हुआ। उसी तरीके से चन्दौली में 13 तारीख से लेकर 20 तारीख के बीच जो भर्ती अभियान प्रारम्भ हुआ, उस भर्ती अभियान के अन्तर्गत 17 तारीख को युवकों को लगने लगा कि किसी-न-किसी प्रकार से पक्षपातपूर्ण रवैया अपनाया जा रहा है। इसलिए लोगों ने अपनी बात कहनी चाही, लेकिन उस समय किसी ने सुना नहीं। जैसा हमारे श्रीमान् यादव जी ने बताया कि 19 तारीख को लगभग 15 जिलों के लोग आए हुए थे और काफी संख्या में लोग थे। वहाँ एक पॉलिटेक्निक विद्यालय है। वहाँ काफी लम्बी लाइन लग गई थी। जब यह दौड़ हो रही थी और चौथा राउंड प्रारम्भ हुआ, तो उस समय सेना के कुछ लोगों के द्वारा, जैसा वहाँ जो लोग भर्ती होने के लिए गए हुए थे, वे लोग बताते हैं कि उसमें कुछ लोगों को लगा दिया गया, ताकि उनके चहेते उसमें

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

चयनित किए जा सकें। जब अधिकारियों से लोगों ने अपनी बात कहनी चाही, तो अधिकारियों ने उनको (समय की घंटी) श्रीमान्, अभी तीन मिनट नहीं हुए हैं।

श्री उपसभापति : नहीं, आपको तीन मिनट नहीं दिए गए हैं।

श्री कलराज मिश्र : श्रीमन्, अधिकारियों ने उनकी बात अनसुनी कर दी। परिणाम यह हुआ कि भगदड़ मची, लोगों ने पथराव करना शुरू किया और हवाई फायरिंग नहीं हुई, बाकायदा गोली चलाई गई। उसमें 4 लोगों के मौत की अपुष्ट सूचना है, लेकिन एक की मृत्यु हो गई, ऐसा वहाँ के जिलाधिकारी ने बताया है।

श्रीमन्, दूसरा पक्ष यह है कि भगदड़ शुरू हो जाने के बाद वहाँ जो न्यायालय था, उसे लोगों ने जला डाला और कई वाहनों को फूँक डाला। वहाँ 4-5 घंटे तक अराजकता का माहौल बना रहा।

(1पी/एससीएच पर जारी)

-USY/PK/SCH/1P/12.10

श्री कलराज मिश्र (क्रमागत): उत्तर प्रदेश की पुलिस एक तरफ हो गई, सेना के अधिकारी एक तरफ हो गए, जिसका नतीजा यह हुआ कि नौजवानों ने बिल्कुल खुले तौर पर उपद्रव किया और एनएच को भी रोक डाला। किसी ने उनको नियंत्रित करने की कोशिश नहीं की। अगर दोनों का तालमेल बनाकर यह कार्य किया गया होता, तो शायद ऐसी स्थिति पैदा नहीं होती।

श्रीमन्, मेरा कहना केवल इतना है कि सेना में युवकों का जाना आवश्यक है। इसके लिए लगातार भर्ती की प्रक्रिया होनी चाहिए और जिला स्तर पर अभियान चलाकर पूरे देश भर में नौजवानों की भर्ती के लिए योजना बननी चाहिए एवं उसके लिए केन्द्र खुलने चाहिए। यह क्रम लगातार होना चाहिए, प्रतिवर्ष होना चाहिए, ताकि इस प्रकार के हादसे न हो सकें।

महोदय, इसके साथ ही साथ मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूँ कि इस मामले की उच्चस्तरीय जांच होनी चाहिए और जो मारे गए हैं, उनको निश्चित रूप से पांच लाख से दस लाख रुपये मुआवज़े के रूप में दिए जाने चाहिए।

(समाप्त)

REPORTED SHIFTING OF PATNA BHEL OFFICE

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

TO DURGAPUR IN WEST BENGAL

श्री अली अनवर अंसारी (बिहार): महोदय, पटना में पावर प्लांट से संबंधित BHEL की एक इकाई है, लेकिन उसको वहां से शिफ्ट करके दुर्गापुर ले जाया जा रहा है।

महोदय, हम आपको बताना चाहते हैं कि जो बरौनी और कांटी थर्मल पावर स्टेशन हैं, वहां अभी 800 करोड़ रुपये की लागत से उनके रेनोवेशन का काम चल रहा है। क्या तुक है कि इसी बीच में इस पावर प्लांट यूनिट को पटना से उठा करके दुर्गापुर ले जाया जाए। यह क्या साजिश है? क्या आप चाहते हैं कि बिहार में आज जो बिजली का संकट है, बिजली की scarcity है, उसको और भी गहरा बना दिया जाए? हर स्टेट में इस तरह का एक सर्विस सेंटर होता है। बंगाल में ऑलरेडी एक सेंटर है। दुर्गापुर को आप एक और सर्विस सेंटर देना चाहते हैं, तो दे दीजिए, हम लोगों को कोई ऐतराज नहीं है, लेकिन बिहार से उठा करके आप उस सर्विस सेंटर को क्यों वहां पर ले जा रहे हैं?

महोदय, इसके कारण पटना में आंदोलन हो रहा है। कांटी, मुजफ्फरपुर और पूरे बिहार में इसके लिए आंदोलन हो रहा है। लोग धरने पर बैठे हुए हैं, प्रदर्शन कर रहे हैं। यह एक उकसाने वाली बात है क्योंकि पहले से वहां पर विकास का काम हो रहा है और बहुत मुश्किल से वह एक ट्रैक पर आया, जिससे लोगों ने चैन की सांस ली। भारत सरकार आखिर क्या चाहती है? वह क्यों वहां पर डिस्टर्बेंस पैदा करना चाहती है? अगर आप ऐसा करते हैं तो उससे इम्प्लॉइमेंट के जो ऐवीन्यूज़ बनते हैं, उन पर भी इसका असर पड़ने वाला है और जो राजस्व की प्राप्ति होती है, उस पर भी इसका एडवर्स इफैक्ट पड़ेगा।

महोदय, इसलिए हम कहना चाहते हैं कि केन्द्र सरकार इस तरह की हरकत से बाज आए, वरना हम लोग इस बात को कतई बर्दाश्त नहीं करेंगे। सरकार की तरफ से मंत्री महोदय जवाब दें और इस सदन को आश्वासन दें कि वह ऐसा काम नहीं करेंगे और उसे वहां से उठा करके शिफ्ट नहीं किया जाएगा।

श्री शिवानन्द तिवारी (बिहार): महोदय, मैं इनका समर्थन करता हूं। इस पर मंत्री महोदय से जवाब दिलाया जाए।

श्री एन.के सिंह (बिहार): महोदय, मैं भी इनका समर्थन करता हूं।

डा. सी.पी. ठाकुर (बिहार): महोदय, मैं भी इनका समर्थन करता हूं।

REGIONAL IMBALANCE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF NATURAL GAS
SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN (WEST BENGAL): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, through you, I would like to draw the attention of this august House to the fact that the Government of India has gone on record rightly in asserting that the country's natural gas reserves including the gas of KG Basin is a national asset and the interest of the national economy cannot be held hostage to the benevolence and mercy of some private players. This had been widely reported in the media yesterday. I highly appreciate and welcome such firm assertion by the Government of India and also by the hon. Minister who is sitting over here. Such a firm assertion, if we have to remain consistent with, needs urgent action in the matter of a proper, transparent and rational pricing and, at the same time, a proper distribution mechanism under the control of the State. Sir, natural gas is our property; it is growing in our land and there is, absolutely, no justification of pricing the product linked with the international price of altogether a different product, the crude oil. This is being done by the empowered group of Ministers, raising the price to US \$ 4.32 per MMSCMD. I demand this is not rational and that must be reviewed downwards and revised if this national asset, as rightly said, is to be properly harnessed for national goal.

Sir my second point relates to distribution. Sir, my State West Bengal and the entire Eastern region is gas starved. They are being made to use costlier LPG for their public transport instead of CNG.

(Contd. by PB/1Q)

PB-PSV/1q/12.15

SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN (CONTD.): So, I demand to have a proper distribution. The Southern Region is also suffering from that. So, Sir, in the face of the surreptitious and unauthorised bid of appropriating country's sovereign natural resources as family property -- these are all coinage from the Government statement -- by some private players, the need of the hour -- and I demand -- is a Government takeover, rather, nationalisation of the distribution and marketing of natural gas, including that of KG-D6 basin by the Government. We welcome the announcement of the Government to have a National Gas Grid. So, that National Gas Grid has to be put in place. The distribution and marketing of natural gas at the wellhead must be taken over by the Government and operated through that National Grid, as is being done in the case of electricity by the Power Grid Corporation of India. Then only, this vital national asset can be properly harnessed. Thank you, Sir.

(Ends)

FLOOD SITUATION IN KERALA

SHRI P. RAJEEVE (KERALA): Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity.

I would like to draw the attention of the House to the serious flood situation in Kerala. During the past 24 hours, eight persons have died in monsoon-related disaster. With that, the total number of people killed during the monsoon in the State has risen to 96. In a primary assessment, the loss would run into more than Rs. 300 crores, around 650 houses have collapsed totally and 1500 houses have collapsed

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

partially. The heavy rain has caused damage to agriculture crops of more than 2000 hectares; 10,000 people have been shifted to relief camps in various parts of the State. The State Government has initiated relief programme in an urgent manner.

So, I request the Central Government to sanction immediate debt relief to the State of Kerala. It was a normal practice that a Central Team is sent only after sometime, after the disaster occurs. So, I urge the Central Government to send a special team to the State of Kerala urgently to assess the damage caused by heavy rain and flood, etc. Thank you, Sir.

(Ends)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kurien, associate. ...(Interruptions)...

PROF. P.J. KURIEN (KERALA): Sir, I always associate with whatever would be said, but this being such a serious subject, Sir, nearly 100 people died due to flood that is there for the last two-three days in Kerala. Then, loss to the cultivation is more than 10,000 hectares and Rs. 300 crores is the estimated loss. What I want is, the Government of India should immediately intervene and release assistance to the State of Kerala. That's all.

(Ends)

SHRI D. RAJA (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I associate myself with the Zero Hour Mention made by the hon. Member.

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I also associate myself with it.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I would also like to associate myself with it.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I also associate myself with it.

श्री भगवती सिंह(उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदय, मैं अपने को इससे सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

SHRI M.P. ACHUTHAN (KERALA): Sir, I also associate myself with it.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All associate.

REPORTED SCAM IN RICE IMPORT

SHRI D. RAJA (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I am raising an issue which is very important. The Outlook journal and some other journals have reported a newsitem regarding the export of rice to the African countries which appears to be a very big scam. The Government of India banned the export of non-basmati rice in 2007. Subsequently, we witnessed a steep rise in the rice prices in the international market. More than 20 African nations have been exempted from the ban. On their requests, some of these countries named some private traders such as a Delhi-based company, Amira Foods (India) Limited and another Delhi-based rice-exporting company Shivnath Rai Harnarain (India) Ltd. They were allowed to procure foodgrains at lower prices and to sell at higher prices, thereby making huge profits.

(Contd. by1r/SKC)

1r/12.20/skc

SHRI D. RAJA (Contd.): Government did not procure it through the Food Corporation of India, and it did not transport it through the Shipping Corporation of India. These private traders were responsible for hoarding in the country, even hiking prices of food grains in the domestic market and weakening the public distribution system in the country. I think, both the Ministry of Food and Public Distribution and

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

the Ministry of Commerce must be held responsible for such a situation. Now, there is an enquiry in Ghana, one of the African nations. The deal with another African nation, Sierra Leone, is shocking. Instead of the Letter of Credit coming from its Government, it came from a Switzerland based company called Novel Commodities. Going by the reports, it appears that the scam would run to the tune of Rs. 2500 crores. That is what the journals are reporting. Therefore, I would urge upon the Government to order a thorough enquiry and this loot must be exposed. Those who are responsible for such a scam and loot must be prosecuted and punished because at the time when our Government, the Congress-led UPA Government, has been talking about food security and bringing a legislation on food security, you cannot allow these private traders to loot not only India, but also other countries. It is a shame on India. Such scams should not happen. I appeal to the entire House to demand from the Government, in one voice, to order an enquiry.

(Ends)

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I associate myself with what the hon. Member has said.

DR. CHANDAN MITRA (NOMINATED): Sir, I associate myself with what the hon. Member has said.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I also associate myself with what the hon. Member has mentioned.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Just a minute. Shri Balbir Punj.

SHRI BALBIR PUNJ (ORISSA): Mr. Deputy Chairman Sir, I am grateful to you for having allowed us to raise this very important issue. The issue

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

has been raised at two levels. First, the latest issue of a very prestigious magazine of India, Outlook, which Mr. Raja has referred to, has detailed the entire issue, to the last comma. Secondly, the scam, as it happened in the case of Bofors, did not break in India. The story first broke out in Ghana, one of the countries involved, where the earlier regime had been the beneficiary of the loot which originated in this country. And after the earlier Government demitted office, the subsequent Government conducted an inquiry and when the then Deputy Prime Minister of Ghana was investigating, it turned out that he got involved with an export house called Amira Exports of India, and made a request to the Government of India for the import of rice at concessional price. Rice export was banned in India; but a loophole was kept. Prices were allowed to increase in India from three hundred and odd dollars to almost one thousand dollars in the international market. Now, two things happened. One, after the export was banned, prices in India got depressed and prices in the international market sky-rocketed. The Ghana Government placed an order on Amira Exports. The three public sector undertakings, the STC, the PEC and the MMTC, which are governed by the Commerce Ministry and were under the charge of Mr. Kamal Nath at that point of time, were asked not to get into this and to, instead, just nominate Amira Exports and this entire shipment was made. But it never reached Ghana. And, it is not only Ghana, similar deals were made with twenty other countries also. The foodgrains and the rice never reached the target countries and, instead, those foodgrains and rice were sold on the high seas at a very high price. Two consequences followed. One, unscrupulous traders in India, the highest

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

levels in the Government, of bureaucracy -- and I am not hinting at the political bosses -- made a killing and the amount, as has been mentioned by Shri Raja and estimated by the Outlook magazine, is Rs.2,500 crores.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All right.

SHRI BALBIR PUNJ: Point number two, Sir.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your time is over. (Interruptions) It will not be recorded. (Interruptions) It will not be recorded. (Interruptions)
(Followed by 1s/hk)

DS-HK/1s/12.25

SHRI BALBIR PUNJ: *

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: I am associating with him. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Sir, it is very important. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Both the hon. Members have clearly made their points..(Interruptions).. Now, it is for the Government to

* Not recorded.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

take note of it. ..(Interruptions).. Please associate. ..(Interruptions).. You have made your points. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI BALBIR PUNJ: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please associate. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: I am associating with it. ..(Interruptions)..

श्री प्रकाश जावडेकर (महाराष्ट्र): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री विक्रम वर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ।

डा. सी.पी. ठाकुर (बिहार): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री शान्ता कुमार (हिमाचल प्रदेश): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ।

श्री रुद्रनारायण पाणि (उड़ीसा): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ। मैं तो आगे जाकर कहता हूँ ..(व्यवधान)... यह दूसरा बोफोर्स कांड है। ..(व्यवधान)

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: I just want to make one point. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You just associate. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: I am associating. The main point is that the Government gave grains at PDS prices. ..(Interruptions).. That is the issue. They gave it at PDS prices. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN: Sir, we associate. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There are other ways of raising it and seeking the Government's response. ..(Interruptions).. Please do it.

* Not recorded.

..(Interruptions)..

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: They gave it at subsidised prices.

..(Interruptions).. Can you imagine? ..(Interruptions).. It is given at PDS prices. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It has been said. ..(Interruptions).. There is no point in saying it again. ..(Interruptions).. Now we are taking up Special Mentions. The legislative business will be taken up at 2 o'clock. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: We want a statement from the Government. It is very serious. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ahluwalia, the Government ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: It is a serious issue. ..(Interruptions).. The Government should come out with a statement. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: They gave it at PDS prices. ..(Interruptions)..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What is this? ..(Interruptions).. There is a procedure for Zero Hour. ..(Interruptions)..

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Sir, it is a serious issue...(Interruptions).. Let the Minister respond. ..(Interruptions).. Let the Minister respond. ..(Interruptions)..

श्री उपसभापति: आप बैठिए। आप सब के उठ कर खड़े हो जाने से क्या रिस्पॉंस मिलेगा? ..(व्यवधान) आपकी तरफ से आपके डिप्टी लीडर बोल रहे हैं। अगर आप सब उठ कर खड़े हो जाएंगे तो क्या होगा?

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI PRITHVIRAJ CHAVAN): Sir, there is an agreement that we don't respond immediately to Zero Hour mentions. I have taken note of the issues raised by the hon. Members. I will bring it to the attention of the Government.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we are taking up Special Mentions, as a special case, because there is still time left. The legislative business will be taken up at 2 o'clock.

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद: सर, ...(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: दो बजे से....(व्यवधान)... मंत्री तैयार थे, मगर..(व्यवधान)

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद: सर... (व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: आप बैठिए न। इसमें विवाद किस बात का है? ..(व्यवधान)

(Ends)

SPECIAL MENTIONS

DEMAND TO RESOLVE THE PLIGHT OF INDIANS WORKING IN SPAIN

SHRI AVTAR SINGH KARIMPURI (UTTAR PRADESH): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I want to draw the attention of the House to an issue of public importance concerning the plight of over 5000 Indians, majority of them from Punjab, who are earning their livelihood by working in Spain in the hotel industry, agricultural and piggery farms. But their livelihood is at stake due to the changes made by the Spanish Government in the immigration rules by stipulating that the immigrants have to produce Police Clearance Certificate (PCC) issued by the Union Government of the country of the immigrant for permanent immigration purposes.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

But this long pending demand of the immigrants for getting the PCC from the Union Home Ministry in New Delhi was not heeded to and they had to stage a protest *dharna* outside the Indian Consulate in Barcelona on Monday. They have also been compelled to launch a hunger strike in front of Indian Embassy in Madrid.

I request the Union Home Ministry to immediately address the needs of Indians working in Spain by issuing the said Police Clearance Certificate immediately by amending its rules. I also request the Prime Minister's Office (PMO) to intervene in this matter and direct the Indian High Commission in Spain to liaisen with the Spanish Government through diplomatic channel to protect the rights of Indians working there immediately.

((Ends))

सरदार तरलोचन सिंह (हरियाणा): सर, मैं इस विषय से अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ।

(Followed by 1t/KSK)

aka-ksk/1t/12:30

DEMAND TO GIVE FERTILIZER SUBSIDY TO FARMERS DIRECTLY
श्री मोती लाल वोरा (छत्तीसगढ़) : उपसभापति महोदय, मेरे विशेष उल्लेख का विषय है - खाद सब्सिडी सीधे किसानों को दिया जाना।

महोदय, रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय द्वारा वर्तमान में सब्सिडी का 80 प्रतिशत भाग संबंधित उर्वरक उत्पादन करने वाली कम्पनियों को सीधे दिया जा रहा है और मात्र 20 प्रतिशत भाग राज्य सरकारों की जांच रिपोर्ट आने के बाद दिया जाता है। दुखद स्थिति यह है कि निजी उर्वरक कम्पनियों द्वारा जाली दावे बनाकर रसायन एवं उर्वरक मंत्रालय से सब्सिडी ली जा रही है, जबकि वास्तव में उनमें से अधिकांश का अस्तित्व ही नहीं है।

Economic Offence Wing (EOW) द्वारा की गई जांच के समय न तो इन कम्पनियों ने अपने उर्वरक उत्पादन संबंधी कोई दस्तावेज प्रस्तुत किए और कई स्थानों पर

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

तो तथाकथित कम्पनियों का अस्तित्व ही नहीं था। इस प्रकार उर्वरक उत्पादक कम्पनी के नाम पर सरकारी धन की खुलकर लूट की जा रही है। EOW की जांच में यह भी एक खुलासा हुआ है कि जो कुछ उर्वरक की आपूर्ति की भी गई, वह दुपहिया या तिपहिया वाहन के द्वारा की गई तथा उसे सरकारी दस्तावेजों में ट्रक दर्शाया गया। EOW के अनुसार प्रतिवर्ष केवल उत्तर प्रदेश में ही 1200 करोड़ का घोटाला है तथा पूरे देश में लगभग 1,20,000 करोड़ का है।

मेरा सरकार से अनुरोध है कि उर्वरक कम्पनियों को दी जाने वाली सब्सिडी पर तत्काल प्रभाव से रोक लगाई जाए, कम्पनियों को अब तक दी गई सब्सिडी की जांच कराई जाए तथा सब्सिडी सीधे किसानों को उपलब्ध कराई जाए।

(समाप्त)

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं अपने आपको श्री वीरा जी के स्पेशल मेशन के साथ एसोसिएट करता हूँ।

**DEMAND TO EXPEDITE COMPLETION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY
NO:16 BY BORDER ROADS ORGANISATION CONNECTING
CHHATTISGARH AND ANDHRA PRADESH**

श्री श्रीगोपाल व्यास (छत्तीसगढ़) : उपसभापति महोदय, मेरे स्पेशल मेशन का विषय है - सीमा सड़क संगठन छत्तीसगढ़ व आन्ध्र को शीघ्र जोड़े।

महोदय, सभी जानते हैं कि छत्तीसगढ़ व आन्ध्र सर्वाधिक नक्सल प्रभावित हैं। छत्तीसगढ़ में बस्तर के केन्द्र जगदलपुर से आन्ध्र के निजामाबाद तक का राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग क्रमांक 16 का काम सीमा सड़क संगठन को 2001 में दिया गया था। निर्माण कार्य की गति धीमी होने के कारण वह निर्धारित अवधि, यानी 2010 तक पूरा होता नहीं दिखता। क्षेत्र के नक्सल ग्रस्त होने के कारण साधारण ठेकेदार उसे कर भी नहीं सकते। चूंकि सड़क निर्माण क्षेत्र की सुरक्षा व विकास के लिए शीघ्रातिशीघ्र आवश्यक है, मेरा सरकार से आग्रह है कि वह सीमा सड़क संगठन को न केवल काम पर बनाए रखे वरन् उसके काम में आ रहे अवरोधों को भी दूर करे।

(समाप्त)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री रुद्रनारायण पाणि (उड़ीसा) : महोदय, मैं श्री श्रीगोपाल व्यास जी के इस विशेष उल्लेख को एसोसिएट करता हूँ।

DEMAND TO MAKE RIVER RAM GANGA FREE OF POLLUTION

श्री वीर पाल सिंह यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं इस विशेष उल्लेख के माध्यम से रामगंगा नदी के प्रदूषण के विषय में कुछ कहना चाहता हूँ।

महोदय, रामगंगा नदी उत्तराखंड के कालागढ़ बांध से निकलकर उत्तर प्रदेश के जनपद मुरादाबाद, रामपुर, बरेली व शाहजहांपुर होते हुए फर्रुखाबाद जनपद में गंगा नदी में मिल जाती है। उक्त नदी में उक्त जनपदों में रहने वाली जनता की उतनी ही आस्था है, जिस तरह से आम जन की गंगा नदी में आस्था है, वहां दशहरा व पूर्णिमा को गंगा स्नान करते हैं, परन्तु इस नदी में जनपद मुरादाबाद, जे0पी0 नगर, रामपुर व बरेली की कई फैक्ट्रियों का गन्दा व प्रदूषित पानी व उक्त शहरों के गन्दे नाले मिलकर हालत यह बना देते हैं कि उक्त आस्था की नदी भी नाला बन जाती है और उसका पानी इतना प्रदूषित हो जाता है कि स्नान करने मात्र से चर्मरोग हो सकते हैं व उसका पानी जंगली अथवा पालतू जानवर पी लेते हैं तो वह मर जाते हैं। राम गंगा के खादर में रहने वाले हजारों पशु-पक्षी इसका पानी पीने से मौत का शिकार हो चुके हैं व इसके आसपास उगने वाली वनस्पति व पेड़-पौधे भी नष्ट हो गए हैं और आगे जाकर यह नदी गंगा नदी को भी प्रदूषित करती है।

अतः मेरा सरकार से अनुरोध है कि उक्त रामगंगा नदी को स्वच्छ बनाने की कृपा करें, जिससे वहां की जनता की आस्था को ठेस न पहुंचे। धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं अपने आपको श्री वोरा जी के स्पेशल मेंशन के साथ एसोसिएट करता हूँ।

**DEMAND FOR EARLY COMPLETION OF RAILWAY PROJECTS IN
TRIBAL AREAS OF ORISSA PARTICULARLY
IN MAYURBHANJ DISTRICT**

SHRI BHAGIRATHI MAJHI (ORISSA): Sir, in the Railway Budget, 2009-2010, presented by the Government, the people of Mayurbhanj District of

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Orissa State have been neglected, as the tribal people of this region have got nothing.

During NDA regime, only a major work of broad gauge line conversion from Rupsa to Bangariposi was sanctioned which is also pending.

(continued by 1u - gsp)

GSP-NB/12.35/1U

SHRI BHAGIRATHI MAJHI (CONTD.): Now, therefore, the people of the tribal dominated area of Mayurbhanj District of Orissa have four major demands. Firstly, the super fast train from Baripada to Bhubaneswar has to be extended up to Puri. At present, there is no AC coach in this train. So, the demand is that AC coach has to be provided in the train. Secondly, there is an urgent need of a passenger train from Baripada to Howrah, a big market in Kolkata, for business class people. Third demand is construction of a new line from Baripada to Jamshedpur via Gorumahisani, which will be a major support for this area's development, and, fourth is that the Tata-Badampahar rail line should be extended up to Keonjhar.

I, therefore, request the Government to take immediate steps to fulfil the above mentioned demands of the people of Orissa.

(Ends)

SHRI RUDRA NARAYAN PANY (ORISSA): Sir, I associate myself with the Special Mention made by the hon. Member.

**REQUEST TO ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OF
LIFE SCIENCES LABORATORIES OF DRDO**

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (RAJASTHAN): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the House to the unsung and often underestimated contribution of the nine life-sciences laboratories of DRDO in providing protection to our Armed Forces against hazardous environment. The mandate of these DRDO labs is to enhance survivability, sustainability, efficiency and lethality of our 13 million soldiers operating in extreme environmental conditions. Out of the total Defence R&D Budget of Rs. 6,000 crores, the share of the life sciences laboratories is meagre one per cent of this amount. The life sciences laboratories of DRDO have developed composite herbal preparation to supplement nutritional requirements as also the treatment of high altitude maladies and cold injuries to enhance survivability. A path-breaking research has led to improvement of blood oxygen level and has saved more than 500 lives of the troops in extreme high altitude areas. With high altitude agriculture, 4000 metric tonnes of fresh vegetables have been produced, catering to 56 per cent of total requirements of the troops in western Himalayas. Considering the steep freight charges of transporting vegetables, it is a saving for the exchequer. About Rs. 400 crore worth nuclear-biological-chemical products, developed with a meagre investment of Rs. 30 crore by these laboratories, have been inducted into the services. The processed food technologies developed for soldiers have also been transferred to food processing industries in civil sector as spin off. Considering the handicap, our forces would have to suffer without these products. I, therefore, request the Government to see that instead of dismantling, these institutions should

be further developed and strengthened.

(Ends)

**DEMAND FOR CENTRAL ASSISTANCE TO SAVE THE
STATE OF NAGALAND FROM DROUGHT LIKE CONDITIONS**

SHRI KHEKIHO ZHIMOMI (NAGALAND): Hon. Deputy Chairman, Sir, through you, I would like to draw the attention of the Union Government towards the drought-like condition in the State of Nagaland.

Sir, the current monsoon season has recorded the lowest rainfall during the last five years in the State of Nagaland. The unprecedented drought situation has adversely affected the current season's paddy transplantation as well as *jhum* cultivation, which is entirely dependent on seasonal rains. The horticulture crops have failed to flower with reports of unusual pest and insect infestation. Like other parts of the country, Nagaland is also facing a very high monsoon deficit. Against an average rainfall of 750.50 mm normally received during the period from January to 15th July, only 471.18 mm of rainfall has been received this year, indicating a deficit of about one-third.

(Contd. by ysr-1w)

-GSP/YSR/12.40/1W

SHRI KHEKIHO ZHIMOMI (CONTD.): This has already affected 25 per cent of Jhum cultivation and 50 per cent of Wet cultivation. Normal life in the State has also been severely affected, with more and more people afflicted with drought-related diseases and sickness. Many have lost their livelihood because agricultural activities have come to a standstill. Due to a lack of enough fodder, the livestock are also dying. Crops

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

have failed and a possible famine and shortage of food are looming large in the State.

Keeping in view the grave situation arising out of the drought-hit conditions, the State Government had already declared the State as a 'drought-hit' State for the current season. The State Government has set up State-level and District-level Task forces to assess the situation and provide relief to the affected people.

Sir, the situation is somewhat alarming, and intervention of the Central Government is absolutely necessary for providing relief and rehabilitation to the drought-affected people of the State. Short-term measures for providing immediate relief and long-term measures like providing irrigation facilities, borewells, etc., have to be given top priority to prevent such situations in future.

I, therefore, appeal to the Central Government, through you, Sir, to come to the rescue of the State Government and the affected people of Nagaland which will go a long way in tiding over the current situation in the State.

(Ends)

**DEMAND TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STIPEND TO TRAINEE
DOCTORS IN ENTIRE COUNTRY, PARTICULARLY TAMIL NADU**

SHRI N.R. GOVINDARAJAR (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I would like to request the Central Government to provide additional stipend to the trainee doctors since the stipend provided by some State Governments is meagre. In Tamil Nadu, the provision of stipend for trainee doctors is so meagre that last week it resulted in a serious situation when trainee doctors went on an indefinite hunger strike unto death. In general,

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

engineering courses with lesser capitation fee and time period for the completion of the course, and good employment opportunities with higher salary are treated as profit-oriented professional courses. But medical graduation has five-and-a-half years of duration with unimaginable capitation fee and additional education expenditure. It is also treated as a life-saving professional course with the motto of 'serving the society without any parity.' So, it is the duty of the Government to give more importance to medical students. Presently, the stipend provided in some States, especially Tamil Nadu, is not adequate in comparison to the huge expenditure, duration of course and the never-ending service. Last week, this provision of low stipend forced the trainee doctors to undertake indefinite hunger strike unto death. Many hospitals in Tamil Nadu were running without trainee doctors, especially the outpatients in Government hospitals were facing severe difficulty due to this strike. So, I urge the Government that it is its duty to consider to provide additional stipend in addition to the State Governments', keeping in view the service of the doctors who studied medical courses by spending a huge amount of money and longer duration.

(Ends)

SHRI S. ANBALAGAN (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I associate myself with the Special Mention made by the hon. Member.

SHRI A. ELAVARASAN (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I associate myself with the Special Mention made by the hon. Member.

(Followed by VK/1X)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

**DEMAND FOR STRICT REGULATIONS TO CONTROL THE
CONFUSING PUBLICATIONS BROUGHT OUT BY PRIVATE
PUBLISHERS FOR CHILDREN**

श्री कलराज मिश्र (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं आपकी विशेष अनुमति से इस विषय को सदन में उठाना चाहता हूँ। देश में प्राइवेट प्रकाशकों की किताबें बच्चों में भ्रम पैदा करने के साथ-साथ वर्णमाला के ज्ञान की जगह उनके बालमन को सिनेमा की ओर धकेल रही हैं। उक्त वर्णमाला में "ऋ" से ऋषि की जगह ऋतिक रोशन और "ऐ" से ऐनक की जगह ऐश्वर्या राय पढ़ाया जा रहा है तथा उनका चित्र भी उक्त पुस्तक की वर्णमाला के क्रम में प्रकाशित है। प्रकाशकों की लापरवाही यहीं नहीं रुकती है बल्कि महान वैज्ञानिक जगदीश चन्द्र बोस की जन्मतिथि को लेकर भी भ्रम पैदा कर रही है। एक प्रकाशक द्वारा 2004-05 के संस्करण में उनकी जन्मतिथि 30 नवम्बर 1858 तथा 2008-09 के संस्करण में 13 नवम्बर 1858 दर्शायी गयी है। छात्र किस जन्मतिथि को सही मानें?

केन्द्रीय मान्यता प्राप्त और राज्य सरकारों की मान्यता के अधीन संचालित विद्यालयों के प्रबंधकों द्वारा एनसीईआरटी की पुस्तकों की कमी का बहाना बनाकर प्राइवेट प्रकाशकों की किताबें स्कूल में पढ़ायी जाती हैं और अभिभावकों का शोषण किया जाता है।

मैं सदन के माध्यम से मांग करता हूँ कि उसके ऊपर प्रभावी निगरानी रखी जाए तथा शिक्षा के साथ खिलवाड़ करने वाले प्रकाशकों को दंडित किया जाए।

(समाप्त)

**DEMAND TO AMEND SECTION 304-A OF INDIAN PENAL CODE IN
VIEW OF VARIOUS TRAGEDIES CAUSED DUE TO NEGLIGENCE**

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, उपहार सिनेमा हाल त्रासदी पीड़ित संघ ने गत शुक्रवार, दिनांक 10 जुलाई, 2009 को राष्ट्रपति महोदय को एक ज्ञापन दिया है जिसमें यह मांग की गई है कि अगर कोई आदमी अपने कार्य से बहुत से आदमियों के जीवन को खतरे में डालता है तो इस तरह के अपराध की जांच और न्यायालिक कार्यवाही के लिए एक नया कानून होना चाहिए। यह ज्ञापन उन घटनाओं को मद्देनजर रख कर दिया गया है जिसमें मनुष्य ने अपने लालच और लापरवाही से हजारों लोगों की जान ली। भोपाल गैस त्रासदी में 1000 लोगों की जानें गयीं, हरियाणा डबवाली में 452 आग की लपटों में झुलस कर मर

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

गए, उपहार सिनेमा त्रासदी में 59 लोगों ने जानें गवार्यीं, प्राइमरी के 90 बच्चे कुम्भकोणम में आग की लपटों में समा गए और मेरठ के विक्टोरिया पार्क के मेले में 59 आदमी मर गए। ये सभी घटनाएं मैनमेड हैं, मनुष्य की गलतियों का नतीजा हैं। इन त्रासदियों से हमने कुछ नहीं सीखा और सब कुछ ज्यों का त्यों चल रहा है। इन घटनाओं के जिम्मेदार रहे कितने व्यक्तियों को सजा मिली? इस समय के कानून के अनुसार इस तरह के जुर्म करने वाले व्यक्ति पर भारतीय दण्ड संहिता की धारा 304-क के तहत मुकद्दमा चलाया जाता है जो लापरवाही से किसी व्यक्ति की मृत्यु होने पर लगता है। यह कानून व्यवस्था का मजाक है कि जिस व्यक्ति ने अपना पैसा बचाने और लालच के चलते सैकड़ों आदमियों की जान ली, उस पर सिर्फ लापरवाही का मुकद्दमा हो जिसकी सजा सिर्फ दो साल है। 1860 में बनी भारतीय दण्ड संहिता में इस तरह के अपराधों का कोई प्रावधान नहीं है। 304-क एक साधारण प्रावधान है जो कहीं भी लगा दिया जाता है। आवश्यकता है कि हम इस colonial कानून से बाहर आकर सोचें और इस विषय पर एक सख्त कानून बनाएं। अतः मेरा आपके माध्यम से सरकार से अनुरोध है कि इस दिशा में उचित कदम उठाए और आवश्यक कार्यवाही करे।

(समाप्त)

**CONCERN OVER WIDESPREAD POVERTY
AND MALNUTRITION IN THE COUNTRY**

DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE (MAHARASHTRA): Sir, India aspires to be an economic world power. Despite recession and meltdown, our economy is not threatened. The Economic Survey 2008-09 paints a very rosy picture of India. But, the other side is dismal and dark. We have 260 million under-nourished people in India. They constitute 27 per cent of the world's under-nourished. About 46 per cent children under three years suffer from malnutrition. Hunger and disease are our greatest enemies.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

What is the root cause of this malady? It is, of course, poverty and inequality. Poverty and inequality have been our age-old curse. They are responsible, by and large, for malnutrition, unemployment and health problems. We have failed in providing the basic needs of life.

Small children in *adivasi* and remote areas suffer from hunger and malnutrition. The Government of India has passed the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act which ensures employment to the BPL families for one hundred days in a year. But, this is not enough. Like primary education, work also should be made compulsory. It should be a Fundamental Right.

The labour classes can hardly meet their basic needs. Can we think of an inclusive society in such a situation? Unless we generate employment in rural sector, we cannot give justice to the poor people. It is said that India is the richest nation of the poorest of the poor. It should be a welfare nation and we have to take care of that. Thank you, Sir.

(Ends)

SARDAR TARLOCHAN SINGH (HARYANA): Sir, I associate myself with the Special Mention made by Dr. Janardhan Waghmare.

(Followed by RSS/1y)

DEMAND TO GIVE DALIT MUSLIMS THE STATUS OF SCHEDULED CASTES

डा० ऐजाज़ अली (बिहार) : महोदय, दलित मुसलमानों अरज़ाल को अनुसूचित जाति में शामिल करने की मांग गत डेढ़ दशकों से की जा रही है। सच्चर कमेटी की रिपोर्ट के अनुसार अरज़ाल मुस्लिमों की जनसंख्या का लगभग 0.8 प्रतिशत है। इस समुदाय की शैक्षिक, आर्थिक एवं सामाजिक स्थिति अत्यन्त दयनीय है। यद्यपि 1936 से 1950 तक इसाई समुदाय को छोड़ बाकी सभी धर्म में दलितों को अनुसूचित जाति का दर्जा हासिल था। राष्ट्रपति आदेश 1950 द्वारा इसे केवल हिन्दू-दलितों के लिए सीमित कर दिया गया और मुस्लिम, सिख तथा बौद्ध धर्मों के दलितों को इस दर्जे से वंचित कर दिया गया। दलित मुस्लिम (अरज़ाल) को अनुसूचित जाति में शामिल करने की संस्तुति सच्चर कमेटी के अतिरिक्त रंगनाथ मिश्र आयोग, राष्ट्रीय अनुसूचित जाति आयोग एवं राष्ट्रीय अल्पसंख्यक आयोग ने भी की है। ज्ञात हो कि 1950 के प्रेसीडेंशियल आर्डर में बाद में दो बार संशोधन किया गया है, जिसके द्वारा 1956 में सिख दलितों को एवं 1990 में नव-बुद्धिष्ठ को अनुसूचित जाति में दोबारा शामिल कर लिया गया। परन्तु दलित मुस्लिम (अरज़ाल) को आज तक शामिल नहीं किया गया है, जबकि 1950 के पहले सिख एवं बौद्ध की तरह वे भी अनुसूचित जाति में शामिल थे। महोदय, दलित मुस्लिमों को अनुसूचित जाति में रखने का प्रश्न केन्द्रीय काबीना में विचाराधीन है। सभी प्रमुख संवैधानिक निकायों ने अरज़ाल को अनुसूचित जाति में रखने की सिफारिश की है। फिर भी इस पर कोई निर्णय नहीं हो पा रहा है।

महोदय, मेरा सरकार से निवेदन है कि दलित मुसलमान (अरज़ाल) को अनुसूचित जाति में शामिल करने के लिए केन्द्र सरकार प्रेसीडेंशियल आर्डर 1950 में संशोधन करते हुए उसमें हिन्दू, सिख एवं नव-बौद्ध के साथ मुस्लिम शब्द को भी जोड़े, जिससे केवल 0.8 परसेंट जनसंख्या वाले इस वर्ग को भी अपना शैक्षिक, आर्थिक एवं सामाजिक स्तर सुधारने का अवसर मिले, ताकि वे भी सम्मान से अपनी जिंदगी गुजार सकें और अन्य धर्म के दलितों की तरह मुख्य धारा में शामिल होकर राष्ट्र निर्माण में सहयोग दे सकें। शुक्रिया।
(समाप्त)

ڈاکٹر اعجاز علی : مہودے، دلت مسلمانوں ارزال کو انوسوچت جاتی میں شامل کرنے کی مانگ پچھلے ڈیڑھ دسکوں سے کی جا رہی ہے۔ سچر کمیٹی کی

رپورٹ کے مطابق ارزال مسلمانوں کی جن نسخیہ کا لگ بھگ 8-0 فیصد ہے۔ اس سمودائے کی شیکشک، آرتھک اور سماجک استھتی اتینت دینئے ہے۔ یدھپی 1936 سے 1950 تک عیسائی سمودائے کو چھوڑ کر باقی سبھی دھرم کے دلتوں کو انوسوچت جاتی کا درجہ حاصل تھا۔ راشٹر پتی آدیش 1950 دوارا اسے کیول بندو۔ دلتوں کے لئے سیمت کر دیا گیا اور مسلم، سکھ اور بودھ دھرموں کے دلتوں کو اس درجے سے ونچت کر دیا گیا۔ دلت مسلمان (ارزال) کو انوسوچت جاتی میں شامل کرنے کی سنستتی سچر کمیٹی کے اتیرکت رنگ ناتھن مشرا آیوگ، راشٹریہ انوسوچت جاتی آیوگ اور راشٹریہ الپ سنخیک آیوگ نے بھی کی ہے۔ گیات ہو کہ 1950 کے پریذیڈینشل آرڈر میں بعد میں دو بار سنشودھن کیا گیا ہے، جس کے دوارا 1956 میں سکھ دلتوں کو اور 1990 میں نو-بدھشت کو انوسوچت جاتی میں دوبارہ شامل کر لیا گیا۔ پرننو دلت مسلم (ارزال) کو آج تک شامل نہیں کیا گیا ہے، جبکہ 1950 کے پہلے سکھ اور بودھ کی طرح وہ بھی انوسوچت جاتی میں شامل تھے۔ مہودے، دلت مسلمانوں کو انوسوچت جاتی میں رکھنے کا پرسن کیندریہ کابینہ میں وچار ادھین ہے۔ سبھی پرمکھ سنودھانک نکایوں نے ارزال کو انوسوچت جاتی میں رکھنے کی سفارش کی ہے۔ پھر بھی اس پر کوئی نرنئے نہیں ہو پا رہا ہے۔

مہودے، میرا سرکار سے نویدن ہے کہ دلت مسلمان (ارزال) کو انوسوچت جاتی میں شامل کرنے کے لئے کیندر سرکار پریذیڈینشل آرڈر 1950 میں سنشودھن کرتے ہوئے اس میں بندو، سکھ اور نو-بودھ کے ساتھ مسلم شبد کو بھی جوڑے، جس سے کیول 8-0 فیصد جن نسخیہ والے اس ورگ کو بھی اپنا شیکشک، آرتھک اور سماجک استر سدھارنے کا اوسر ملے، تاکہ وہ بھی سمآن سے اپنی زندگی گزار سکیں اور انئے دھرم کے دلتوں کی طرح مکھئے دھارا میں شامل ہو کر راشٹریہ نرمان میں سہیوگ دے سکیں۔ شکر یہ۔

(ختم شد)

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद (बिहार) : महोदय, मैं अपने आपको सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

DEMAND TO STOP EXPLOITATION OF RARE MEDICINAL HERBS IN HIMALAYAS

श्री भगवती सिंह (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, उत्तराखण्ड की हिमालयी पर्वतमाला आयुर्वेद औषधियों के लिए धनी क्षेत्र रहा है। सरकारी उदासीनता के कारण यह क्षेत्र उजड़ रहा है। अविवेकपूर्ण दोहन से वनों का जबर्दस्त कटान और बिगड़ते हुए पर्यावरण ने संकट खड़ा कर दिया है। हिमालय से लेकर शिवालिक क्षेत्र में वैध और अवैध रूप से सैकड़ों ठेकेदार जड़ी-बूटी संग्रह में लगे हुए हैं। दवा निर्माता कम्पनियां भी इस क्षेत्र में सक्रिय हैं। आयुर्वेद औषधियों तथा सौन्दर्य प्रसाधनों की बढ़ती हुई मांग के कारण तस्करी बड़ी पैमाने पर हो रही है। विदेशों में भी आयुर्वेद के प्रति आकर्षण बढ़ा है। इस कारण जड़ी-बूटियों की तमाम प्रजातियां संकटग्रस्त हैं। भारतीय वनस्पति सर्वेक्षण विभाग ने भी स्वीकार किया है कि जड़ी-बूटियों की करीब एक हजार प्रजातियों के मिलने का उल्लेख किया है, जिनमें तमाम प्रजातियां मिलती ही नहीं और 200 प्रजातियां विलुप्त अवस्था में हैं। हमारी सरकार से मांग है कि दुर्लभ जड़ी-बूटियों के भण्डार को बचाने के लिए प्रभावकारी कदम उठाएं। धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री वीर पाल सिंह यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, मैं इसका समर्थन करता हूँ।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House is adjourned for lunch till 2.00 p.m.

The House then adjourned for lunch at fifty-five minutes past twelve of the clock.

-MKS-TMV-ASC/2A/2.00

The House re-assembled after lunch at two minutes past two of the clock, MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Bill, 2008. Mr. Kapil Sibal to move the motion for consideration.

**THE RIGHT OF CHILDREN TO FREE AND
COMPULSORY EDUCATION BILL, 2008.**

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI KAPIL SIBAL): Sir, I beg to move:

That the Bill to provide for free and compulsory education to all children of the age of six to fourteen years, be taken into consideration.

The question was proposed.

डा.(श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (राजस्थान) : सभापति महोदय, मंत्री जी ऐसा बिल लेकर आए हैं कि जिसको कोई oppose करेगा ही नहीं। बच्चों की तालीम को तो कोई oppose करेगा नहीं। जब मैं राज्य सभा में चेयर पर थी, तब सन् 2002 में

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

डॉ मुरली मनोहर जोशी जी ने 80th अमेंडमेंट लाकर, इसको फंडामेंटल राइट से कांस्टीटूशन अमेंडमेंट चेंज में लेकर, फंडामेंटल राइट बनाया। इसलिए हम तो इसका oppose करेंगे ही नहीं। हमें दुख इस बात का है कि इसके आने में इतना वक्त क्यों लगा? यह बिल 2005 में आ जाना चाहिए था, मगर नहीं आया। मंत्री जी इसको 2005 में न लाकर अब लाए हैं। कोई बात नहीं, आप इसको लाए हैं, हमें इस बात की खुशी है। उपसभापति महोदय, मैं तो भोपाल में पैदा हुई हूँ। भोपाल में लड़कियों के लिए कोई एजुकेशन फीस नहीं थी। उनके लिए फ्री एजुकेशन थी। मंत्री जी, मैंने Ph.D तक एक पैसा खर्च नहीं किया। हम केवल पूअर स्टूडेंट्स फंड में पैसे देते थे। भोपाल की तारीख में चार महिलाएं रही हैं, जो वहां पर हुकूमत करती थीं। वे मुसलमान औरतें थीं। जो वहां की आखिरी रानी सुलतानजहां बेगम थी, उनका ध्यान एजुकेशन और खासकर गर्ल्स एजुकेशन के ऊपर बहुत था। उन्होंने हिन्दुस्तान में शायद पहली बार लड़कियों के लिए जबरिया स्कूल, कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन का कंसेप्ट दिया, जो कि भोपाल में था। लड़कियों को घरों से ले जाकर स्कूल में दाखिल करा देते थे और उनके मां-बाप को stipend देते थे, ताकि वे कोई बहाना न करें कि ये घरों में काम करेंगी। उन्हें वजीफा दिया जाता था। मैं भी भोपाल में पैदा हुई, यह मेरी तकदीर थी, चूंकि मेरी दादी जो मौलाना अबुल कलाम आजाद की बहन थी, उनको सुलतानजहां बेगम भोपाल लेकर आईं। वे उतनी ही पढ़ी-लिखी थीं, जितने कि उनके भाई थे।

(क्रमशः 2B/LP पर)

LP/RG/2.05/2B

डा.(श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत) : उन्हें भोपाल लेकर आईं और लड़कियों की एजुकेशन का इंचारज बनाया। उन्हीं के जमाने में भोपाल में लड़कियों के टेक्नीकल स्कूल खुले। हमारे घर का एजुकेशन से शुरू से ही ताल्लुक रहा है और उसी को अहमियत दी है। हमने लड़का-लड़की में कभी फ़र्क महसूस नहीं किया। मैं यहां मंत्री जी की बात से सहमत हूँ कि कुछ स्टेट्स ने इसे माना है, कुछ स्टेट्स ने इसे नहीं माना है। आपने जो युनिवर्सल एजुकेशन की बात कही है, वह बहुत सही है। आपने जो स्टैण्डेडाइजेशन और एग्जामिनेशन की बात कही है, वह बहुत सही कही है, क्योंकि कंपीटीशन में एक लेवल प्लेइंग ग्राउंड मिलना चाहिए। बहुत से लोगों ने इस पर एतराज किया है। बहुत सी स्टेट्स ने इसे नहीं माना है। वे यह समझते हैं कि इससे उनके जो

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

एग्जामिनेशन बोर्ड्स हैं, उनकी वैल्यू खत्म हो जाएगी। मैं यह समझती हूँ कि यह बहुत जरूरी है कि मुल्क में युनिवर्सल एजुकेशन हो। 1948 में, शायद अप्रैल 27 या 28 को हिंदुस्तान के पहले एजुकेशन मिनिस्टर श्री मौलाना अबुल कलाम आजाद ने कांस्टीट्यूट असेम्बली को खत लिखा था। जब एजुकेशन की ग्रांट्स मांगी जा रही थीं, तब उन्होंने कांसेप्ट ऑफ युनिवर्सल एजुकेशन की बात कही थी। उन्होंने यह सोचा था, कहा था कि मुल्क आजादी के पहले जिन हालात से गुजरा है, जिस divisive और fissiparous tendency से गुजरा है, उस संदर्भ में यह बहुत जरूरी है कि देश की एकता और अखंडता को कायम रखने के लिए एक युनिवर्सल एजुकेशन होनी चाहिए। उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि education should be treated on par with defence क्योंकि जब मुल्क पर कोई आपत्ति आती है, तो कहीं न कहीं से मुल्क अपनी हिफाजत के लिए साधन जोड़ लेता है, परंतु अफसोस की बात यह है कि ऐसा हो नहीं पाया। उन्होंने सेंटर-स्टेट के बारे में भी लिखा कि 30% सेंटर को स्टेट की मदद करनी चाहिए, परंतु वह भी हो नहीं पाया है। सर, सबसे अफसोस की बात यह है कि जब पहला ड्राफ्ट बना, जब हमने फाइव ईयर प्लान की नीति बनाई, तो जो फर्स्ट प्लान का ड्राफ्ट बना था, उस ड्राफ्ट के अंदर एजुकेशन का कोई जिक्र ही नहीं था। उसकी वजह यह बताई गई कि इसका क्विक रिटर्न नहीं होता है। यह अफसोस की बात है कि आजादी के बाद मुल्क क्विक रिटर्न की बात सोचे। आज जो एजुकेशन का वैश्वीकरण हो रहा है, जिसमें लोग कमा रहे हैं, उससे लोगों को क्विक रिटर्न मिल रहा है। प्राइवेट इंस्टीट्यूशन्स को मिल रहा है। ये लोग केपिटेशन फीस ज्यादा लगाकर बच्चों से फीस ज्यादा भरकर चला रहे हैं। मैं एलिमेंट्री एजुकेशन पर एक आर्टिकल पढ़ रही थी। जब मैं अमरीका में शिकागो में युनिवर्सिटी की कांफ्रेंस में गई थी, तो वहां मैंने इंटरनेट पर आर्टिकल पढ़ा और मुझे खुशी हुई कि कपिल सिब्बल साहब ने एजुकेशन के स्टैण्डर्ड बढ़ाने के लिए फॉरेन युनिवर्सिटी के साथ कॉ-ऑपरेशन की बात कही है। इस पर लोगों ने एतराज क्यों किया, यह मुझे समझ नहीं आया। बाद में खबर आई कि आपने उसे ठंड बस्ते में डाल दिया है। आपने युनिवर्सल एजुकेशन की बात कही, उस पर एशियन एज में मैंने एक आर्टिकल पढ़ा "Sibal's reforms must pass language test." यह पूरा आर्टिकल पॉजिटिव है। जहां इस आर्टिकल में

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

आपकी तारीफ की है, वहां एक बात और भी कही है कि प्रो. यशपाल की कमेटी की जो रिपोर्ट थी, इसमें उसका भी जिक्र है.. ..।

(akg/2c पर जारी)

AKG-KS/2C/2.10

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत) : ... और language के बारे में बात की है। यह बात ठीक है कि हमारे मुल्क में जो provinces बनीं, स्टेट्स बनीं, वे language की बुनियाद पर बनीं। यह बड़े फ़ख़ की बात है कि हमारे मुल्क में इतनी ज़बानें हैं। सिब्बल साहब, आप आज क्वेश्चन ऑवर में नहीं थे, यहाँ तकरीबन एक हंगामे का माहौल पैदा हो गया था। वह कोई हिन्दी, अंग्रेजी या तमिल की लड़ाई नहीं है। अंग्रेजी हमारी, कहिए, मजबूरी है; अंग्रेजी हमारी जरूरत है और अंग्रेजी हमारी strength भी है। उसका कोई झगड़ा नहीं था, मगर सवाल उठाया गया कि अंग्रेजी में जवाब दे रहे हैं, वह हिन्दी में देते। उस पर शायद तमिल के लोग सोचें कि तमिल के खिलाफ है। जहाँ अंग्रेजी-हिन्दी की बात है, Tamil has nothing to do with it because Tamil is a very rich language and we are proud of the fact that we have so many languages in our country. Every time I travel abroad I carry one or two small currency notes to see how many languages we have in our country. न सिर्फ़ हमारे मुल्क में अलग-अलग ज़बानें हैं, बल्कि लिपियाँ भी हैं। They are languages with their own scripts. We are proud of it. मंत्री जी, मैं समझती हूँ कि elementary education mother tongue में देनी चाहिए, मादरे ज़बान में elementary education देनी चाहिए। जब बच्चा पैदा होता है और अपनी mother tongue में बोलता है, तो उसको grammar नहीं सीखनी पड़ती। वह खुद-ब-खुद सही grammar बोलता है, सही tense बोलता है, सही gender बोलता है। मैं अपनी मिसाल दूँ। मैंने मैट्रिक तक उर्दू में तालीम हासिल की। मुझे इस बात का फ़ख़ है कि मैंने अपनी मादरे ज़बान में तालीम हासिल की। But, English is a strong subject. I studied it as a language. मैट्रिक के बाद जब मैंने कालेज/यूनिवर्सिटी में switch over किया, तो मुझे कोई तकलीफ़ नहीं हुई, मुझे कोई problem नहीं हुई। My record is that I was a first-class

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

throughout and I topped in my post-graduation in my university. जब 22 साल की उम्र में मैंने अपनी PhD की डिग्री ली, तो मैंने अंग्रेजी में अपनी thesis submit की। अंग्रेजी से कोई लड़ाई नहीं है, मगर सवाल यह होता है कि जब हम अपनी मादरे ज़बान में पढ़ते हैं, तो उसके साथ-साथ हम अपना culture भी सीखते हैं। अंग्रेजी ज़बान में पढ़ेंगे, तो यकीनन शेक्सपीयर एक बहुत बड़े playwright थे या वर्ड्सवर्थ की 'Daffodil' के बारे में हम पढ़ेंगे, तो as a literature हम पढ़ेंगे, मगर जब हम हिन्दी में पढ़ेंगे, तो हमें कालीदास की 'मेघदूत' भी याद आएगी और सर मोहम्मद इकबाल की 'सारे जहाँ से अच्छा हिन्दोस्ताँ हमारा' भी या इसी तरह तमिल के किसी बहुत बड़े poet के बारे में या तेलुगु के बारे में पढ़ेंगे, तब भी। मैं आपको एक reference देना चाहती हूँ कि अंग्रेजों ने हिन्दुस्तान पर हुकूमत क्यों और कैसे की। 1935 में मैकाले ने कहा था and I quote him, "I do not think that we would ever conquer this country, India, unless we break the very backbone of this nation which is her spiritual and cultural heritage and, therefore, I propose that we replace her old and ancient education system, her culture, for, if the Indians think that all that is foreign and English is good and greater than their own, they will lose their self-esteem, their native culture and they will become what we want them, a truly dominated nation". यह अंग्रेजों का ख्याल था। मगर जैसा मैंने कहा कि अंग्रेजी हमारी जरूरत है, हमारी ताकत है, हमारी necessity है।

(2डी/एससीएच पर जारी)

TDB-SCH/2.15/2D

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत): 1951 में पटना यूनिवर्सिटी में मौलाना अबुल कलाम आजाद ने यह बात कही थी कि देश को जोड़ने के लिए and communication between North and South, East and West, we have to have English. स्ट्रैंथ इसलिए है कि एक बार जब मैं मिस्टर ली पेंग से बात कर रही थी कि हमने अपने पार्लियामेंट को कैसे कंप्यूटराइज्ड किया, कैसे सब मैम्बर्स ने कम्प्यूटर को अपना लिया, तो उन्होंने कहा "Because you people have English as a link language. We are

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

teaching English in China for them to adopt the computer, the modern technology." आज हम ग्लोबलाइज्ड वर्ड में रहते हैं। इस बात में कोई शक नहीं है कि हमें अंग्रेजी पढ़नी है, मगर हमें हिन्दी, उर्दू, तमिल और मराठी पढ़ कर नॉलेज हासिल करने में कोई बाधा नहीं आती। मुझे नहीं लगता कि नॉलेज या ज्ञान किसी लैंग्वेज के अंदर बंधा हुआ है। एक छोटे बच्चे को अगर ऐलिमेंटरी ऐजुकेशन आप उसकी मातृभाषा में देंगे, तो यह बैटर होगा, यूनिवर्सल होगा। वह बच्चा अपने कल्चर की क़द्र करेगा। वह सिर्फ़ हैरी पॉटर नहीं पढ़ेगा, वह पंचतंत्र की कहानियाँ भी पढ़ेगा, जिसका ट्रांसलेशन सदियों पहले इब्न बतूता ने अरेबिक में किया था। हिन्दुस्तान हमेशा नॉलेज का सेंटर रहा है। चाहे चाईना के ह्वान सांग या फाहियान हों या फिर इब्न बतूता हों, वे हमारी तक्षशिला और नालंदा यूनिवर्सिटीज़ में पढ़ने के लिए, इल्म हासिल करने के लिए हिन्दुस्तान आते थे।

जब अंग्रेज़ी अपने nascent stage पर थी और यूरोप जहालत के अंधकार में डूबा था, उस समय हिन्दुस्तान में ये यूनिवर्सिटीज़ थीं और बेस लैंग्वेज संस्कृत थी। इस लैंग्वेज की ग्रामर को रशियन और लैटिन इत्यादि दूसरी ज़बान के लोगों ने भी अपनाया।

मंत्री जी, आपने इसमें बहुत सी बातें कही हैं। एक बात आपने इसमें नेबरहुड स्कूल की भी कही है, लेकिन नेबरहुड स्कूल की डेफिनेशन आपने नहीं बताई। हमारी जो पार्लियामेंट की स्टैंडिंग कमेटीज़ हैं, उनमें आप अपने लैजिस्लेशन्स को भेजते हैं। सर, ये स्टैंडिंग कमेटीज़ क्यों बनीं? ये इसलिए बनीं, ताकि मैम्बर्स ऑफ पार्लियामेंट किसी बिल के ऊपर जिन बातों को हाउस के अंदर ध्यान न देख सकें, स्टैंडिंग कमेटीज़ उन सब बातों के ऊपर अच्छी तरह से विचार करें। मैं यहां पर एक बात और कहना चाहूंगी कि एजुकेशन को आजादी के बाद बहुत इम्पोर्टेंट दर्जा दिया गया। पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू जी ने अपने सीनियर मोस्ट साथी, मौलाना अबुल कलाम आजाद को एजुकेशन मिनिस्ट्री दी। लेकिन 1958 में उनके जाने के बाद एजुकेशन की वह अहमियत नहीं रही। उनके ज़माने में एजुकेशन के साथ Science and Technology, Culture, Sports and Youth सब कुछ जुड़ा हुआ था। हमारी साहित्य अकादमी, ललित कला अकादमी, संगीत नाटक अकादमी, ICCR, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research इत्यादि भी उन्हीं दिनों में क़ायम हुए। उनके बाद भी कुछ इम्पोर्टेंट लोग एजुकेशन मिनिस्टर रहे, जैसे प्रो.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

हुमायूँ कबीर, प्रो. नुरुल हसन, श्री एम.सी.छागला इत्यादि। ये सब क़ाबिल लोग थे, आप भी क़ाबिल हैं और एक अच्छे वकील भी हैं। मुझे यकीन है कि इस मिनिस्ट्री में रह कर आप हमारे लीडर की तरह अपनी इस मिनिस्ट्री के लिए सुप्रीम कोर्ट में अच्छा आर्गुमेंट करेंगे। मुझे इस बात की खुशी भी है कि मनमोहन सिंह जी ने सैम पेट्रोडा के अंदर एक नॉलेज कमिशन बनाया और साथ ही आपको यह मंत्रालय दिया। पिछले 37-38 साल में एजुकेशन के बारे में कोई ज्यादा बात नहीं हुई। 1985 में जब स्वर्गीय राजीव गांधी प्रधान मंत्री बने, तो वे एक न्यू एजुकेशन पॉलिसी लाए।

2e/psv पर जारी

-SCH/PSV-KGG/2.20/2E

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत): वह new education policy लाए और उन्होंने अपने सबसे सीनियर साथी नरसिम्हा राव जी को यह मंत्रालय सौंपा तथा concept of human resource development की स्थापना की। मुझे याद है कि जब विज्ञान भवन में उन्होंने new education policy का introduction किया, तो उन्होंने वहाँ यह कहा कि human resource development का यह कंसेप्ट मौलाना आज़ाद का था। ये राजीव गांधी जी के अल्फ़ाज़ थे। उन्होंने बहुत अच्छा किया। उन्होंने उसमें न सिर्फ़ एजुकेशन रखा बल्कि कल्चर, स्पोर्ट्स, वूमन और हैल्थ भी उसी में जोड़ा। मुझे याद है कि नरसिम्हा राव जी के साथ तीन महिला मंत्रियाँ थीं। मंत्री जी, आपके साथ भी एक महिला मंत्री हैं। She is very good. She answers questions with a lot of confidence. We never have any problem about her answers in the House. We know her commitments. उस जमाने में new education policy के बाद Operation Black Board हुआ। उस समय मैंने नरसिम्हा राव जी को एक चिट्ठी लिखी थी। उस चिट्ठी में मैंने उन्हें लिखा था कि हमारे मुल्क में बहुत से ऐसे इलाके हैं-- डिप्टी चेयरमैन साहब, आपका कर्णाटक है, फ़ारुख अब्दुल्ला साहब कश्मीर से आते हैं, तमिलनाडु के लोग मेरे साथ बैठे हैं। बनारस में साड़ियाँ बुनी जाती हैं। यह जो हमारे traditional crafts हैं, जो हमारी indigenous crafts हैं, जो हमारे आर्ट्स हैं, जैसे कानी शाल को बुनने का या कारपेट बनाने का या बनारस की साड़ियाँ बनाना या तमिलनाडु में जो साड़ियाँ बनती हैं अथवा पत्थर

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

पर नक्काशी या खुदाई होती है या लकड़ी पर खुदाई या inlay work आपके यहाँ कर्णाटक में होता है और मैसूर में होता है, उनके कारीगरों के जो बच्चे हैं, उनको ये आर्ट और क्राफ्ट्स नसलन-बारा-नसलन, generation-to-generations विरासत में मिलती हैं। मगर उनके बच्चे स्कूल और कॉलेज की तालीम हासिल करने के बाद white collar unemployed के ग्रुप में आ जाते हैं। सरकार उन्हें एक तरफ तो नौकरियाँ नहीं दे सकतीं और दूसरी तरफ उनके जो traditional crafts हैं, उनको भी ये छोड़ देते हैं, इससे वे भी खत्म हो जाती हैं। आज फारुख साहब मुझे बताएँगे कि कश्मीर में ऐसे कितने लोग हैं, जो कानी शॉल बुनना जानते हैं या दोरुखी बुनते हैं? ऐसे कितने लोग हैं, जो ये काम कर पाते हैं? मैंने नरसिम्हा राव जी को लिखा था कि आप जब new education policy बना रहे हैं तो इसे curriculum में डालिए। आप चाइल्ड लेबर के तौर पर-- जैसे अमेरिका में उन दिनों एक बिल आया था कि चूँकि चाइल्ड लेबर का उसमें इस्तेमाल होता था इसलिए हम हिन्दुस्तान का कारपेट नहीं खरीदेंगे, तो आप curriculum के तौर पर उन्हें उनका हुनर सिखाइए, जिससे कि बच्चे स्कूल में उसे सीखें ताकि जब वे बाहर निकलें, तो उन्हें इस बात पर फख हो कि हमने एक हुनर सीखा है। मुझे मौलाना साहब ने अपनी एक चिट्ठी में यह लिखा था कि तुम जो भी तालीम हासिल करना चाहो करो, चाहे वह जूते सीने का ही इल्म क्यों न हो। तुम अपने हुनर में अपने को माहिर करो कि लोग तुम्हें तुम्हारे इल्म व हुनर की वजह से पहचानें। इस तरह हमारे वे बच्चे जो कश्मीर, तमिलनाडु या बंगाल अथवा यू0पी0 से निकलेंगे, वे अपने हुनर की वजह से पहचाने जाएँगे। वे unemployed Graduate नहीं होंगे।

DR. FAROOQ ABDULLAH: Could I just inform the hon. Member? The people who make carpets-- अगर मैं हिन्दी में बात करूँगा तो शोर हो जाएगा।

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: क्योंकि मैं अभी हिन्दी में बोल रही थी ...(व्यवधान)...

DR. FAROOQ ABDULLAH: All these people who are artisans, who do work on the carpets, we started schools for them. A part of the time, they were taught. When the blackboard thing was brought by hon. Shri Rajiv Gandhiji for our border people because they are the ones who are

the most neglected lot, it helped a lot. This is just for information to say how these two programmes really took education further.

(Followed by hms/2f)

2F/HMS-KLS/2.25

डा0 (श्रीमती) नजमा ए0 हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत) : शुक्रिया फारूख साहब, आपने बताया, बहुत अच्छा किया। दो दिन पहले मैंने एक तस्वीर देखी जोकि दिल्ली के आसपास कहीं की थी, उस फोटो में छोटे-छोटे बच्चे दरदोजी का काम कर रहे थे। मुझे यकीन है कि दरदोजी का काम यू0पी0 में बहुत अच्छा होता है, पत्ती का काम अलीगढ़ में होता है। क्या अलीगढ़ और मुल्क के दूसरे हिस्सों में भी आप इसी तरह की स्कीम लागू कर सकते हैं?

सर, दूसरी चीज जो मैंने नरसिम्हा राव जी को उस समय लिखी थी, वह यह थी कि हमारे यहां आजादी के बाद से educational tool kits नहीं बने, specialised tool kits नहीं बने। सर, आप आगरा में जाकर देखें, वहां खुदाई का काम, inlay का वैसे ही करते हैं। उनके पास कोई बेंचेज नहीं होतीं जिन पर कि बच्चों को सिखाया जाए और वे सीख सकें कि inlay work क्या होता है। लकड़ी पर खुदाई के काम के लिए कोई tools हमने डवलप नहीं किए जो हमारे आर्ट और क्राफ्ट के काम को दुनिया में और मशहूर कर सकें व हम उनको कायम रख सकें।

सर, dignity of labour बहुत बड़ी चीज है। अगर dignity of labour होगी तो किसी को भी कोई काम करने में एहसास-ए-कमतरी नहीं होगा।

They would never feel any inferiority complex when they learn any art and craft, which is pride of our nation, and also keep it as their pride possession.

सर, दूसरी बात मुझे आप से neighbourhood स्कूल्स के बारे में पूछनी है। इस neighbourhood का concept क्या है? यह बात हमारी Standing Committee ने भी आप से पूछी थी। आपने उनकी recommendation मानी या नहीं मानी, यह बात बिल से पता नहीं लगी। I will read the recommendations of the Committee. "The Committee, however, would like the inclusion of distance and time for

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

commuting as one of the criteria for the neighbourhood school so that children do not have to commute long distance which may cause exertion. This criteria can be different for plain, mountain region, desert, etc. The Committee, therefore, recommends that the term 'neighbourhood school' should be defined properly. Neighbourhood आप नंबर ऑफ inhabitants पर रखेंगे, सिटीज और गांवों में distance पर रखेंगे जैसे कि स्टैंडिंग कमेटी ने कहा है, आप किस तरह से neighbourhood को define करेंगे? इस के बारे में मंत्री जी कृपया बताइएगा।

Women education के ऊपर आपने भी जोर दिया है, शुरू से जोर दिया गया है। मुझे हैरत होती है, मौलाना साहब न सिर्फ एक पढ़े-लिखे आदमी थे वरन् एक religious leader भी थे। उन्होंने औरतों की एजुकेशन पर बहुत जोर दिया था। आज अलकायदा वाले औरतों को पढ़ाना नहीं चाहते। खुदा का शुक्र है कि वे हमारी बॉर्डर के बाहर हैं, लेकिन कहीं-न-कहीं खतरा लगा ही रहता है।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: How much more time would you need because one more Member from your party has also to speak? ... (Interruptions)..

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA A. HEPTULLA: How much time have I taken, Sir?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have already taken 29 minutes and only another 13 minutes are left for the BJP.

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA A. HEPTULLA: I will just finish. ... (Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your party has 13 minutes more but you have one more speaker.

डा० (श्रीमती) नजमा ए० हेपतुल्ला : इस के लिए finances आप कहां से लेकर आएंगे? The Central Advisory Board of Education Report, 2005 estimated that an

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

additional funding of Rs.3.25 lakh crore to Rs.4.36 lakh over six years was required.

सर, हमने एजुकेशन के ऊपर "सेस" लगायी। "सेस" का कितना पैसा जमा हुआ, कितना खर्च हुआ? हमारे जो विद्यालय हैं और हमारा सर्व शिक्षा अभियान का कार्यक्रम है, उस के ऊपर कितना खर्च हुआ? इस में पैसे की सेंट्रल की कितनी जिम्मेदारी रहेगी और स्टेट की जिम्मेदारी कितनी रहेगी? सर, यह बहुत important है कि आप सेंटर और स्टेट को कैसे पैसा देंगे?

सर, आपने सीटर रिजर्वेशन करने की बात कही है। वहां minority institutions में आप जो कर रहे हैं, जो आर्टिकल 30 के अंतर्गत आते हैं, उनका क्या रोल होगा? मेरा ऐसा ख्याल है और यह जो पैसे की बात है, आप ने एक सवाल के जवाब में 3-4 दिन पहले कहा है कि ...

(2जी/डीएस पर क्रमशः)

-hms/ds-sss/2.30/2G

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (क्रमागत): जो पैसा सेंट्रल से गया था, उसका misappropriation हुआ। कहीं तो उस पैसे को खा लिया गया, कहीं गबन कर लिया गया और कहीं पैसा divert कर दिया गया। आप finances पर कैसे control रखेंगे, इसको आप बताएं। करप्शन के ऊपर आप कैसे - क्योंकि state subject होगा। यह राजीव जी के जमाने में concurrent subject हुआ, स्टेट से सेंट्रल में आया। अब आप वापिस सेंट्रल से स्टेट में डाल रहे हैं। आप क्या कर रहे हैं, आपने कुछ clarify नहीं किया है। अभी तीन दिन पहले AICTE के डायरेक्टर embezzlement में बहुत पैसे के साथ पकड़े गए। जब ऐसे हालात हों, लाखों-करोड़ों रुपये - मैंने सौ करोड़ रुपया सुना है, लेकिन आज तक सौ करोड़ इकट्ठा देखा भी नहीं है कि कितना होता है? उसके बारे में आप क्या करेंगे? मुझे डिप्टी चेयरमैन साहब ने चुप रहने के लिए कह दिया है, जो काम मैं करती रही...(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: जो आप कर रही थीं, वही मैं कर रहा हूँ।

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: सर, आप अच्छा कर रहे हैं। ..(व्यवधान).. आप बहुत अच्छा कर रहे हैं। ...(व्यवधान).. सर, आप यह notification कब करेंगे? यह 2002 में

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

आया है और 2005 में इसके ऊपर अमल होना था, लेकिन यह नहीं हुआ। When are you going to notify it because until and unless you notify, it cannot be operative and I seek assurance from the Minister that the Government will frame all the required rules under Section 34 within six months, and, with it in this period Finance Commission will be able to devise the formula for developing Government-in-aid to States and the Government will notify this Fundamental Right as soon as it is passed by Parliament.

सर, यहाँ मेरे साथ अस्म जेटली जी बैठे हैं। आप भी बड़े वकील हैं, आप वहाँ बैठे हैं। आप चाहे इसे Fundamental Rights में रखिए या Directive Principles में रखिए, सवाल नीयत का है, Nomenclature का नहीं है। अगर आप इसे Fundamental Rights में रखते हैं और उस Fundamental Right को किसी ने नहीं माना और इस पर क्या disputes होंगे, क्या इसके बारे में आपने सोचा है? Under Fundamental Rights क्या बच्चे मां-बाप पर मुकदमा दायर करेंगे? क्या सरकार पर करेंगे? क्या स्टेट्स गवर्नमेंट पर करेंगे या टीचर्स पर करेंगे? आपने इसके बारे में क्या सोचा है? चाहे आप इसे किधर भी रखिए। आप Fundamental Rights में रखिए, वह तो है ही। मैं पैदा हुई हूँ तो मैं खाना तो खाऊंगी ही। That is my Fundamental Right. केवल Constitution में इधर से उधर घुमाने से आपकी नीयत की पूर्ति तो नहीं हो जाती है? अगर आपने इसे Fundamental Right कर दिया तो इसका मतलब यह नहीं हो गया कि मुझे एजुकेशन मिल ही जाएगी, कोई आसमान से आकर मुझे पढ़ा ही देगा। सवाल यह है कि आप उस Fundamental Right की पूर्ति करने के लिए क्या करने जा रहे हैं? With these words, I support it with an apprehension, with a lot of riders, which I have spoken before you. We have lot of hopes from you. Even Mrs. Bill Clinton in a meeting in Mumbai at St. Xavier's College, where Aamir Khan was sitting... (Interruptions)

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Leave out 'Bill'. Call her 'Hillary'.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA A. HEPTULLA: Okay, Hillary Clinton. मैंने छोड़ दिया। मैं बिल पर बोल रही हूँ, इसलिए "बिल" की याद आ रही है। मतलब, बिल तो याद आ ही जाता है! इस हाउस में हम कुछ भी करें, बिल नहीं छोड़ सकते। चाहे वह महिला का बिल हो जो बिल में चला जाता है या ऐसा बिल हो, जिस पर हम बात करते हैं। Legislation में हमारा काम ही है बिलों पर बात करना। तो उन्होंने भी आपकी बड़ी तारीफ की है, आपको dynamic minister बताया है। हम सब लोगों को आपसे उम्मीद है। डिप्टी चेयरमैन साहब, जब किसी से उम्मीदें बढ़ जाती हैं, उसकी ख्वाहिशत उन पर depend करती हैं तो उन सारी उम्मीदों की पूर्ति करना difficult हो जाता है। All our good wishes are with you. I hope you will be able to solve these problems. I hope there will not be many disputes where my Leader of the Opposition will have to take the recourse of going to the court because we want him to sit here in the House, not going to the courts. Thank you very much.

(Ends)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, only eight minutes are left for the next speaker. I am just reminding the Members because time is the major factor now.

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: सर, आप क्या एजुकेशन पर टाइम की बात करते हैं?

श्री उपसभापति: हम क्या करें? आप ही ने time fix किया है।

('2h/NBR' पर आगे)

-SSS/NBR-AKA/2H/2.35.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (RAJASTHAN): Thank you, Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir.

I rise to support the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Bill, 2008. I find the Statement of Objects and Reasons extremely meaningful. They are most desirable. Even if it is a bit too

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

late to bring it before the House, I would say that it is never too late for the country. The crucial role of universal elementary education for strengthening the social fabric of democracy through provision of equal opportunities to all has been accepted since inception of our Republic. To achieve this goal, every child has a right to be provided full-time elementary education of satisfactory and equitable quality. The next purpose is, compulsory education casts an obligation on the Government to provide and ensure admission, attendance and completion of elementary education. How is it going to be achieved? I don't know. I am sure, the hon. Minister is an efficient Minister and will be able to find ways to ensure all these things and take steps to make education completely free. No kind of fee or charges or expenses may prevent him or her from pursuing and completing elementary education. Sir, I stick to elementary education, because my predecessor, Najmaji, has covered a very vast subject. She has considerable time at her disposal. But, I am short of time. So, I will not be able to cover all those points. I would say that I will agree with some points that have been raised and on some points I have difference of opinion. Now, this responsibility, according to the Statement of Objects and Reasons, is not merely the responsibility of schools run or supported by the appropriate Government, but also of schools which are not dependent on Government funds. It is a very important object. I do not know how the hon. Minister will be able to handle this. Equitable quality education is every child's birth right as Madam Najmaji has mentioned and education cannot be taken as a joke. The minds of children are at stake. As she mentioned, in what language should we start the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

elementary education? She has also mentioned that it has not been taken very seriously. Sir, I will just quote what Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru has said. He said, "No subject is of greater importance than that of education. It is the men and women in the country that make and build a nation and it is education that is supposed to build those men and women." This is the sentiment and this is what our first Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, had said. And, the same thing has been further pursued later by late Smt. Indira Gandhi and then, as has been mentioned by Najmaji, by our late Shri Rajiv Gandhi through different schemes in different years and this objective is going on and on. I know we have not been able to achieve as much as we wanted to be. We have not been able to achieve, probably, because in most of the sectors our needs have been much more than what we could achieve. The only thing that we have achieved is population growth. This is the area where there is a great achievement. But, otherwise, in all other aspects of our lives, much more improvement is needed and we have to achieve much more. I find a few provisions in this Bill which I would like to mention first. Sir, the hon. Minister is a very prominent lawyer. Still, I don't know whether it is correct Constitutionally. The provision for 25 per cent reservation in private schools for children from economically weaker sections may violate the Constitution. Sir, the 93rd Amendment enables the Government to require private educational institutions to reserve seats for SC/ST and socially and educationally backward classes. This does not include economically weaker groups in the society. I request the hon. Minister to look into this aspect. There is no

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

point of having a law which is not as per the provisions of the Constitution.

The second point is very, very important. The Bill does not exempt the minority institutions.

(CONTD. BY USY "2J")

-NBR-USY/2J/2.40

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (CONTD.): The Bill of 2000 had explicitly exempted these institutions. But 2008 Bill does not do so. This provision could violate article 30 of the Constitution. This also may have to be looked into. As *Najmaji* has mentioned, it is good that we want schools; we want education for every child. There is nothing like it. But how to handle it? The Bill specifies that the Central Government and the State Governments will have concurrent responsibility for implementing the Bill. It does not explicitly specify the share of funding that each Government will provide. It leaves this determination to be made by the Central Government, from time to time. It has been noticed that whenever any scheme or anything is concurrently handled by the State Governments and the Central Government and when the finances are to be shared, the State Governments say that they don't have the finances. The result is that even the proportionate fund does not come from the Central Government also. So, that particular State suffers. In fact, a number of schemes, where entire funding was done by the Central Government, had suffered because these were handled by different States in different ways. Here, when the funding is done by both, the State Government as well as the Central Government, I really don't know whether we would be able to achieve this noble aspect of the Bill. I would like the hon. Minister to look into this and explain to the House and the country as much as possible on this aspect so that we are sure that every child is really, really educated. Now, for example, if we refer to the Budget, the new Budget offers them only 4.15 per cent share of all allocations quota. This is 0.78 per cent less than what it

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

was in 2007-08, and only 0.02 per cent more than what the Budget of 2008-09 had allocated. Is this sufficient attention to 41 per cent of the total population? This education will cover 41 per cent of the total population. With 4.15 per cent, do we have enough funds? I shall come a bit later on what is the situation in other countries. But enough funds and enough resources are a must, either it is for health or it is for education. Most of the countries have got more than 6 per cent. Unless 6 per cent of the GDP is provided for it, we will be having a big problem in achieving our goals. Our funding allocation is about half of that, about 3 per cent. This aspect has also to be looked into.

Sir, it is unfortunate that for everything, even in administrative matters also, the Supreme Court has to come into picture. This is our responsibility. It is not the responsibility of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has ruled that the Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) should be universalised by 2008 to cover all the children of 0-6 age group. But the Budget proposes an increase of only Rs. 361 crores in the ICDS funding. The Plan has projected an estimate of Rs. 45,000 crores, needed for the eleven lakh additional *aanganwadis*, proposed in the Plan. So, according to the Plan, you need eleven lakh additional *aanganwadis*. But the fund provided for the purpose is not good even for 3.5 lakh *aanganwadis*.

(Contd. by 2k -- PK)

-USY/PK/2K/2.45

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (CONTD.): Then, what is the use of this kind of planning unless it is synchronised with the allocation of funds? We know that we need it, but we do not have the funds. Then, we can

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

make planning with whatever funds we have and whatever resources we have. Shri Narayan Murthy of Infosys has said, "the outlay for health, nutrition, women and children is inadequate." Around 45 per cent of our children are below the age of five and suffer from malnutrition. We cannot afford this kind of a situation. These aspects have to be really synchronised with all the aspects of development of the country. One Department says something else and the other Department says something else. This way, we are not going to really achieve the results we want to achieve. A half-page advertisement appeared in a national daily on 6th July declaring ICDS as a flagship scheme, announcing expansion of ICDS coverage from 10.5 lakh *aanganwadis* to 14 lakh *aanganwadis*, but I said only 3.5 lakhs. This is not really going to help us. We have not been able to tackle even infant mortality. These are all the things which have to be seen when we are talking about universal education, or, elementary education for all. I agree that schemes like the Mid-Day Meal Scheme, which is one of the best schemes of the world today, are of great help. But, still, if you see the figures, Sir, you will find that in 1991-92, the drop-out rate was about 50 per cent. Even today, the drop-out rate is the same, that is, 50 per cent. I am saying this based on some figures; I can give the references. If I am wrong, I would like to be corrected by the hon. Minister, but this is based on some figures provided by some experts. Sir, out of the total allocation earmarked for children in the Union Budget 2009-10, 71.3 per cent is meant for child education schemes. About 71.3 per cent is a good allocation because out of this less capital available, at least, a large chunk is for education. In 2009-10, the total allocation earmarked

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

for children has increased by Rs.5193 crores. These are, Sir, in absolute terms. There are no visible measures which have been taken for strengthening the ICDS system in the States. We want the ICDS not only at the Centre, but it also has to be developed in the States. But there is no visible action by which this system is being improved. Sir, sector-wise, if we look at the total allocation earmarked for children in the Union Budget, we will find that 71.3 per cent, as I have said, is for education; for health, it is 11.1 per cent; for child development, it is 16.7 per cent and for child protection, it is 0.8 per cent. Unless the children are protected, all these allocations become meaningless. So, the hon. Minister may look into this as to how do we protect the children.

Sir, allocation of food subsidy by the Centre and all the States is nutrition security for the children. As regard this subsidy, in 2004-05, there were allocations of Rs.23,280 crores and that of the States was Rs.1199 crores. Every year, it has been going down. In 2007-08, the State allocation was only Rs.861 crores. In this situation, the responsibility of the Centre becomes much higher. The Centre's allocation is Rs.25,696 crores. Why do not we take the full responsibility if the States are not willing to participate in this scheme? At least, we will be sure that every child is getting proper nutrition.

(Contd. by 2L/PB)

PB/2/2.50

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (CONTD.): Sir, when our hon. Minister was, in the earlier Government, the Minister of Science and Technology

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

and all that, his Committee of Central Advisory Board of Education ...
...(Interruptions)... I said that. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: 'And all', that is a very big holder;

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, the whole problem is this. At this stage, my sisters -- I will mention about Najmaji first. She mentioned that she had studied in Urdu up to Class Xth. After that, she did English and she stood First; she is a Gold Medallist and Doctorate. She has a super intelligence. But that is what is not applicable to most of the children in our country. Sir, most of children are very weak like me. ...(Interruptions)... They are very weak like me. Sir, she had mentioned that education should be given in local language. I will like to give here a specific example. I don't know whether I should mention the name of a person, who is a Minister in our Cabinet today.

डा० (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : मिनिस्टर हैं तो ले सकते हैं।

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Let me complete. I know it legally. But I am not talking about it legally. I don't know whether I should mention it. I don't think it would be good to mention the name. But this Minister was campaigning that up to Class VIII only, the education should be given in local language. See the result. What happened, the children did not get any jobs. They were not suitable for any jobs. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bagrodia, just to remind you, the time allotted to you is ...

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, it is 20 minutes. You let me know at the eighteenth minute, I will sit in two minutes.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am rightly reminding you at the seventeenth minute. So, you have another three minutes.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: So, I have three minutes. So, I will take only three minutes. In that case, I will not go into these details. ... (Interruptions)...

डा0 (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : सर, क्या मैं एक मिनट में जवाब दूँ? मेरा नाम लिया है।

श्री उपसभापति : मत दीजिए। उनका टाइम खराब हो जाएगा।

डा0 (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : उनके हिसाब से नहीं, मेरे हिसाब से दे दीजिए।

श्री उपसभापति : आपका टाइम खत्म हो गया है।..(व्यवधान)..

डा0 (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : मेरा नाम लिया है। मैंने कहा कि अंग्रेजी पढ़ाओ, यह थोड़ा ही कहा है कि मत पढ़ाओ।..(व्यवधान).

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: So, I will not go into this argument in which language, they should be given education. I would first like to mention about the kind of allocation that we have got. As is said, this particular Bill is good in intention, but the delivery is very difficult. Sir, in literacy and enrolment, I will just mention what is happening all over the world. In Japan, it is 100 per cent; in France, it is 99; in United States, it is 92; in Brazil, it is 95; in Bangladesh, it is 94; in Sri Lanka, it is 97; these are our nearby countries. Even a country like Kenya has got 90 per cent; India has 89 per cent and when I mention the drop-out rates(Interruptions)...

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : केरल पर आइए।

श्री संतोष बागड़ोदिया : कृपया मुझे बोल लेने दीजिए। हमें दो-तीन मिनट का टाइम मिला है। मैं आपसे हाथ जोड़कर अनुरोध कर रहा हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : आप इधर देखिए।

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री संतोष बागड़ोदिया : मुझे discipline मँटेन करने दीजिए। मैं फटाफट बोल देता हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : आप मेरी तरफ देखिए, वहाँ क्यों देख रहे हैं?

श्री संतोष बागड़ोदिया : अब मैं किस-किसको देखूँ?

श्री उपसभापति : आप सिर्फ मुझे देखिए।

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, the dropout rates in 1990-91 for boys was 59.1 per cent and now, in 2004-05, it is 50.4 per cent. For girls, earlier it was 65.1 per cent and now it is 51.3 per cent. What I mean to say, this has not been reduced as much as it should have reduced.

(Contd. by 2m/SKC)

2m/2.55/skc

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA (Contd.): That is why we must take necessary steps to see to it that these are monitored properly. The hon. Prime Minister has already put up a special delivery monitoring unit -- I compliment him for that -- where along with the hon. Minister even the Prime Minister would be involved in monitoring. As I said earlier, the Bill has good intentions, but how do we deliver? Lord Macaulay might have said anything, but it has not worked. We have not changed. We still remain the same. All over the world, wherever we may go, nobody can touch Indian culture. You may relax, my sister, whatever he might have said... (Interruptions)... we are now independent and we will continue to be independent. Nobody in the world can touch our culture; our culture will continue. Wherever you go, you take your own food; you wear your own clothes!

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, conclude.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, I fully agree with the inclusion of art and craft as a curriculum, but it has to be made lucrative. Unless it is

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

made lucrative, the training in art and craft is not going to help. Lastly, the 'neighbourhood' point has to be defined. I fully support whatever she has said on this. It has to be clearly defined. Sir, in Rajasthan, people have to travel ten to twenty kilometres; in some areas like Barmer, they have to travel even up to 40-50 kilometres; there is no transportation available. If that is called 'neighbourhood', then I do not think we will be able to achieve anything, particularly in a place like Rajasthan. That has to be defined.

Thank you very much, Sir, for giving me this opportunity.

(Ends)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri P. Rajeeve. You have 14 minutes.

SHRI P. RAJEEVE (KERALA): Mr. Deputy Chairman Sir, though late, I would like to congratulate the Central Government for presenting this Bill before this august House. The Bill is actually a product of the last UPA Government. The last UPA Government had some specialities; I do not wish to go into the political specialities of the last UPA Government! Nevertheless, I would like to congratulate the hon. Minister for continuing the process.

Sir, right to education has been universally recognized since the universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948. At the time of framing our Constitution, it was placed only in Part IV of the Constitution under the Directive Principles of State Policy. If we look into the Constituent Assembly debates, we would find that even at that time there were strong arguments to include the right to education as a fundamental right in our Constitution. We had to wait for more than 50 years to make an amendment to the Constitution making education a fundamental right

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

in our Constitution. The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Bill, 2008, seeks to give effect to this cherished goal.

Sir, the right to education is an enabling right. It is entirely different from other rights because education creates the voice through which the right can be claimed and protected. In a historical judgement, the Supreme Court of India, in the Unnikrishnan case, 1993, held that all children up to the age of 14 years have got the fundamental right to education. It was propounded in the judgement that the fundamental right to life enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution should be read along with the Directive Principles of State Policy in Article 45 to provide free and compulsory education to children aged zero to 14 years, including those below six years. However, the 86th Constitutional Amendment, which incorporated Article 21A into our Constitution, limited the fundamental right to education to 6-14 years, and this Bill has repeated the mistake by not recognizing the importance of pre-school training. This is in contradiction with India's own commitment at the Jomtien Conference, 1990, acknowledging expansion of early childhood care and developmental activities as an integral part of education for all. There is a global recognition that the early years of childhood are very important and crucial years for lifelong development. Some studies on the development of the human brain have shown that neglect during early years can often result in irreversible reduction in the development of the brain's potential. Section 11 has stated, 'the appropriate Government may make necessary arrangements for providing free pre-school education for such children'. The word 'may' has reflected the real intention of the section! It is only a face-saving sentence. This

should be re-drafted in a proper way to ensure free pre-schooling to all children as a fundamental right.

(Contd. at 2n by hk)

HK/2n/3.00

SHRI P. RAJEEVE (CONTD.): Now the education structure is totally changed. Minus-2 to plus-2 is the well-known structure of our school system. Normally, there is no other chance for financial assistance for plus-2 studies. India is a signatory to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Child, which recognises the right to education for everybody up to the age of 18 years. Education for 14-18 age groups can be tailored to provide such skills in the form of education to go for higher education or additional vocational education. So, I request the hon. Minister through you, Sir, to ensure that the free education system contemplated here should cover all schools, from pre-primary school to plus-two stage. I also support the points raised by hon. Najmaji regarding the neighbourhood school system. It should be defined in a proper way.

Sir, this Bill is not clear on financial allocations. Universalisation of primary education remains a mirage till today because the Centre was not ready to bear the burden of that. The allocation for school education in the current Budget is only Rs.200 crore. If this is the approach, right to education would be a dream unfulfilled. The major liability should be carried by the Central Government. But the provision for this in the finance memorandum stated that 'the Central Government may make a request to the President of India.' I urge the Government to change the way and say, 'the Central Government shall ask the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

President to make a reference to the Finance Commission to make allocations to State funds needed to implement provisions of this Bill.'

The Saikia Committee has estimated financial requirement of Rs.40,000 crore to bring 6.3 crore out-of-school children into school over a period of three years. However, the expert group constituted by the Government of India on the recommendations of Saikia Committee has estimated that Rs.1,36,000 crore would be required to implement fundamental right to education over a period of ten years. There should be a detailed financial statement for defining the primary and major responsibility of Central Government in this regard. Unless the Government commits that certain minimum expenditure per pupil, say that, of the Kendriya Vidyalayas, the provision of free education will not serve any purpose. If this is not done, it is clear that the Government is interested to provide 'namesake' education to all but good quality education to only elite groups of our country. The cumulative gap building up since Kothari Commission's recommendations in 1966 is to be filled up within the next five years, followed by maintenance of annual educational expenditure at the level of 6 per cent of the GDP.

Regarding financing, I would like to add one more point. Till now the Central Government has been applying the same norms and guidelines to all states without taking into consideration the varied nature of their requirements. In Kerala, access and equity, as far as primary education is concerned, are more or less achieved. But quality is a big problem. So, each State may be allocated with sufficient funds for achieving the objectives contemplated in this Bill.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Sir, we are discussing this Bill in a knowledge-based society or a knowledge-based economy. Enclosure of the treasure by enclosure of the knowledge is a well-known slogan of globalisation. My point of view is entirely different. I am standing for democratisation of knowledge and according to my opinion education should be used for the benefit of common man and entire society. Despite the difference of opinion in this regard, it is a fact that knowledge has played a major role in this era. Article 1(2) of Declaration of 1960 UNESCO Convention is against discrimination in education and it has been clearly stated that there shall be no discrimination in "all types and levels of education including access to education, the standard and quality of education and the conditions under which it is given." This means quality education is not a privilege 'but it is the right of the children.' It is true that under *explanation* (g) to sub-clause (a) of Section 8 states about the meaning of compulsory education as ensuring good quality elementary education to the standards and norms specified in the Schedule. In my point of view, this is not sufficient. The title of the Bill should be changed as the Right of Children to Free, Compulsory and Quality Education.

(Contd. by 20/KSK)

KSK/3.05/20

SHRI P. RAJEEVE (CONTD): Getting admission and receiving education of equitable quality in the neighbourhood school should be the fundamental right of every child.

(THE VICE-CHAIRMAN, PROF. P.J. KURIEN in the Chair)

Right to education Bill fails to define 'equitable quality' unequivocally. Though certain norms are mentioned about the physical

infrastructure, yet nothing is said about the learning process. For ensuring quality in education, one provision should be strictly incorporated in the Bill that 'no school shall have norms and standards relating to infrastructure, teachers, pupil-teacher ratio, curriculum and teaching lower than that of Kendriya Vidyalayas'. Qualified teachers are a pre-requisite for the quality of education. Qualifications for appointment and terms and conditions of service of teachers are specifically stated in section 23 of the Bill. But, from a bare reading of the provision itself, it is crystal clear that there are enough loopholes and space for diluting the qualifications. So, I suggest that all the teachers should qualify a national level eligibility test such as NET conducted by UGC. Proper allocation of funds should be made in the Budget itself for conducting teachers training programmes. But, it was shocking that the current Budget allotted only Rs.1 crore for teachers training institutes.

As per the provisions of the Bill, a school needs to have only a minimum of two teachers and two classrooms. At present, usually, primary schools have five grades. If they are accommodated in two classrooms and attended by only two teachers, what will be the quality of education that we can guarantee? The present Bill should have necessary provisions to ensure that there should be a classroom and a teacher for each grade of students. It may be noted that the children belonging to Scheduled Tribes and other vulnerable sections of the society are the students of these multi-grade schools. The parents of these children are not in a position to provide any family support to the education of these children. (Time-Bell)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Only two more minutes.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI P. RAJEEVE: I am going to raise very important point. Please give me five more minutes.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: What can I do?

SHRI P. RAJEEVE: The Bill must strive to work against creating a parallel and discriminatory system of alternative, non formal education, into which are enrolled children from most disadvantaged circumstances, for example, street and working children, and children with disabilities.

All the sections of the society should have a proper representation in the teaching community. So, the reservation principle should be mandatory in all schools which are availing the financial support from appropriate Government agencies. There are some such private schools, but actually, it is public financing, private management schools. This reservation system should be implemented in those schools also.

Section 29 has elaborated on framing of curriculum but failed to stress one important point, that is, the perspective of curriculum should be progressive, secular and democratic. There has to be common content, common method of transaction and evaluation on the lines of National Curriculum Framework, 2005, which has to be followed by all schools in the country. (Time-Bell)

Sir, I have one more point regarding private cost of education. Actually, 47 per cent of the expenditure of States and Union Territories is on household expenditure. There should be some provision for stipend and scholarship to meet this private cost of education.

I want to make some more points. This Bill is a law which lacks tooth and the authorities cannot be hauled up in a court of law for violation of the provisions of the Bill. The responsibility of the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Government for protecting the rights should be mentioned in this Bill. Under clause 35, in case of complaints against violations by private schools, one must seek the permission of an authorised Government officer. This is dilution of the provisions of the Bill. Clause 36 states provision in case of violation of rights or provisions by an officer occurs in spite of good will and good intentions. This clause is intended to dilute the interest of the Bill.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): One more point only.

SHRI P. RAJEEVE: I would like to raise one more point regarding physically challenged children. This Bill, in a very complicated manner, defines the physically challenged children. It is silent on facilities needed to enable children with disabilities to attend schools such as ramps, Braille readers, etc. In this Bill, the disability has the meaning as assigned in the Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995, which does not include such other disabilities as defined by the National Trust Act, 1999, such as autism and cerebral palsy. It should be incorporated in the Bill in a proper way.

I hope the hon. Minister will look into these points raised by me and take necessary steps to accommodate these points.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: The last point was the best point. Other points were also good.

(Ends)

(followed by 2p - gsp)

LP/3.10/2P

उपसभाध्यक्ष (प्रो.पी.जे.कुरियन) : श्री ब्रजभूषण तिवारी। तिवारी जी, आपकी पार्टी के 13 मिनट हैं और दो स्पीकर्स हैं।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : ठीक है, जितना हो पाएगा, हम उतना पूरा करेंगे।

उपसभाध्यक्ष : आप सात मिनट लीजिए। आपके सहयोगी भी हैं।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : ठीक है, यदि समय बचेगा तो मैं बोलूंगा। उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, यह बिल बहुत ही अच्छा है। इसका जो उद्देश्य इस बिल में दिया गया है, "प्रस्तावित विधान को विश्वास के आधार पर तैयार किया गया है कि समता, सामाजिक न्याय और लोकतांत्रिक मूल्य तथा एक न्यायसंगत और मानवीय समाज का सृजन केवल सभी व्यक्तियों के लिए समावेशी प्राथमिक शिक्षा के उपबंध के माध्यम से ही संभव है", इस विधेयक में यह जो बात कही गई है, मुझे इस पर विश्वास नहीं हो पा रहा है कि क्या इस उद्देश्य को इस विधेयक के जरिए हासिल किया जा सकता है। यह अच्छा विधेयक है और जैसा कि माननीय सदस्यों ने कहा कि संविधान में भी इसका प्रावधान था, डायरेक्टिव प्रिंसिपल फोर स्टेट पॉलिसी में भी बहुत स्पष्ट तौर पर कहा गया है, कई बार इस संबंध में कानून बनाने के भी प्रयास किए गए, परंतु ले-देकर कोई नतीजा नहीं निकला। यह सही है कि हमारे जो माननीय शिक्षा मंत्री हैं, ये बहुत ही उत्साही हैं और शिक्षा में सुधार लाने के लिए बहुत ही जल्दी में भी हैं, मगर यह बिल दो अंतर्विरोधों से ग्रस्त है। एक तरफ तो मंत्री जी इस विधेयक के जरिए हर किसी को शिक्षा का अधिकार देना चाहते हैं, फंडामेंटल राइट के तहत हर बच्चे को पढ़ने का अधिकार है और दूसरी तरफ उन्मुक्त बाजार की बात भी करते हैं। ये कहते हैं कि क्योंकि सरकार के पास इतने साधन उपलब्ध नहीं हैं, इसलिए निजी लोगों को भी स्कूल खोलने की इजाजत हो, विदेशी शिक्षण संस्थाओं को भी देश में संस्थाएं खोलने की अनुमति दी जाए। एक तरफ शिक्षा का अधिकार और दूसरी तरफ शिक्षा का उन्मुक्त बाजार, ये दोनों बातें एक-दूसरे के अंतर्विरोध में हैं। मैं माननीय मंत्री जी को बताना चाहता हूं कि जो उन्मुक्त बाजार होता है, यह कभी भी गरीब के लिए नहीं होता है। यह बाजार हमेशा ताकतवर और धनी के लिए होता है। इसीलिए आज जो वस्तुस्थिति है, जो जमीनी हकीकत है वह यह है कि हमारी जो प्राथमिक शिक्षा है, यह बहुत ही दयनीय स्थिति में है। आज

प्राथमिक शिक्षा में कौन पढ़ता है? जो समाज का निर्धनतम व्यक्ति होता है या अनाथ व्यक्ति होता है, वह पढ़ता है। प्राथमिक शिक्षा में पढ़ाने वाले अध्यापक भी अपने लड़के को प्राथमिक स्कूल में नहीं पढ़ने देना चाहते हैं। हम सभी लोग प्राइमरी स्कूलों से पढ़कर निकले हैं। पहले प्राइमरी स्कूलों में हर वर्ग के लोग पढ़ते थे, परंतु आज यह स्थिति है कि समाज का जो सबसे निर्धनतम, सबसे उपेक्षित, दलित और गरीब व्यक्ति है, प्राइमरी स्कूल में केवल उसी के बच्चे पढ़ते हैं। इसलिए यह जो भेदभाव या गैर बराबरी है, इससे मुझे नहीं लगता कि समावेशी विकास का, समावेशी शिक्षा का जो लक्ष्य हम प्राप्त करना चाहते हैं, हम उसे प्राप्त कर सकते हैं। हम देखते हैं कि दुनिया के जितने भी विकसित देश हैं, अगर उन्होंने तरक्की की है, तो वह तरक्की इसी आधार पर की है कि जो प्राथमिक शिक्षा है, उसे समान रखा है। हम यह बराबर मांग करते रहे हैं कि प्राथमिक शिक्षा समान हो। हमारे नेता डा. राम मनोहर लोहिया, जिनकी हम जन्मशती मनाने जा रहे हैं, वे अक्सर कहा करते थे कि आर्थिक बराबरी हासिल करना कठिन है, सामाजिक बराबरी हासिल करने के लिए भी लंबी लड़ाई लड़नी पड़ेगी, मगर जो दिमागी बराबरी है, हम उसे हासिल कर सकते हैं, अगर प्राथमिक स्तर के विद्यालय एक समान हों, जिसमें गरीब-अमीर का फर्क नहीं हो, जिसमें गरीब का भी बेटा पढ़े और अमीर का भी बेटा पढ़े। जब यह बात कही जाती थी तो जो समाज के ताकतवर लोग थे और निहीत स्वार्थ के लोग थे, वे कहते थे लोहिया यहां की अच्छी पढ़ाई को खराब करना चाहते हैं। हम लोगों की मान्यता थी कि दो प्रकार के प्राथमिक विद्यालय नहीं चल सकते हैं। एक तरफ अच्छे विद्यालय अंग्रेजी माध्यम के खर्चीले स्कूल, जहां पर संपन्न लोग, बड़े लोगों के बच्चे पढ़ें और दूसरी तरफ प्राथमिक स्कूल।

(akg/2q पर जारी)

AKG-YSR/2Q/3.15

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी (क्रमागत) : आज हम उसका नतीजा देख रहे हैं कि प्राथमिक स्कूलों की क्या स्थिति है और जो निजी स्कूल्स हैं, वे किस तरीके से फलते-फूलते चले जा रहे हैं। यह सबसे बड़ी जरूरत है कि आखिर इस भेदभाव को, इस गैर-बराबरी को हम कैसे खत्म कर सकें।

दूसरी बात यह है कि आज इस बिल में कहा गया कि इस समय स्कूल में पढ़ने वाले विद्यार्थियों की संख्या करीब 19 करोड़ है, जिनमें से 4 करोड़ मान्यता प्राप्त निजी स्कूल्स में पढ़ रहे हैं और अगर यह 'शिक्षा का अधिकार' कानून अमल में आ जाए, तो एक करोड़ अतिरिक्त बच्चे निजी स्कूलों में पढ़ने जाएंगे। अब सवाल यह पैदा होता है कि इन 14 करोड़ बच्चों का क्या होगा? कहाँ से विद्यालय आएंगे? मैं ऐसा मानता हूँ कि सरकार के दिमाग में यह बात बैठ गई है कि शिक्षा और स्वास्थ्य सरकार के काम नहीं हैं। सरकार के दिमाग में यह बात बैठ गई है कि सब बच्चों को गुणवत्तापूर्ण समान शिक्षा नहीं दी जा सकती है। इसलिए केवल झुनझुना थमा कर, केवल लम्बी बातें करके, केवल कानून पास करके, हमारा मुँह बन्द करने की कोशिश की जाए, तो इससे कोई नतीजा नहीं निकलता। इसलिए आज आवश्यकता यह है कि हमें इसके बारे में दिमाग साफ करना पड़ेगा।

अभी विश्व बैंक द्वारा सर्व शिक्षा अभियान शुरू किया गया। इसने हमारी व्यवस्थित शिक्षा प्रणाली को और भी नष्ट-भ्रष्ट कर दिया। हमें पैसा मिला, गाँवों में भवन तो बन गए, परन्तु प्राथमिक स्कूल के जो अध्यापक हैं, वे नदारद हैं। एक-एक अध्यापक दो-दो, तीन-तीन क्लासों को पढ़ाते हैं। नए अध्यापकों की नियुक्तियाँ नहीं होतीं। हमारे एक पैरा-शिक्षक (समय की घंटी) जो शिक्षा मित्र हैं, जो अप्रशिक्षित हैं, पूरे तरीके से पढ़े-लिखे नहीं हैं, ऐसे लोगों की वहाँ नियुक्ति होती है और उससे भी हमारी प्राथमिक शिक्षा का बहुत ही नुकसान होता है।

मान्यवर, इसी के साथ-साथ मैं माध्यम के बारे में भी कहना चाहता हूँ। अभी हमारा जो बिल है ... (व्यवधान) ...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (प्रो. पी.जे. कुरियन) : तिवारी जी, आपकी पार्टी के दूसरे स्पीकर भी हैं, उनके लिए बाकी टाइम है।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : महोदय, मुझे अपनी पूरी बात खत्म करने दीजिए, हमारा समय पहले है।

मान्यवर, शिक्षा के माध्यम के बारे में इस विधेयक में यह बात कही गई है, जरा इस पर गौर करिएगा, कि शिक्षा का माध्यम जहाँ तक साध्य हो, बालक की मातृभाषा होगी। अब यह बड़ी होशियारी की बात कह दी गई कि 'जहाँ तक साध्य हो'। इसके मायने कि अंग्रेजी

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

माध्यम के जो तमाम प्राथमिक स्कूल चलते हैं, वे अबाध गति से चलेंगे। हाँ, जहाँ गरीब के लिए सम्भव होगा, वहाँ मातृभाषा में पढ़ाई होगी। मैं बिल्कुल साफ तौर पर कहना चाहता हूँ कि यह देश के लिए और देश की जनता के लिए बहुत बड़ा धोखा है। मैं यहाँ डा. लोहिया की बात नहीं करना चाहता, मगर मैं यहाँ महात्मा गाँधी को quote करना चाहता हूँ। मैं quote करता हूँ। कोलकाता में 27 दिसम्बर 1917 को महात्मा गाँधी ने कहा, "यदि हम अंग्रेजी के आदी नहीं हो गए होते, तो यह समझाने में हमें देर नहीं लगती कि अंग्रेजी के शिक्षा के माध्यम होने से हमारी बौद्धिक चेतना जीवन से कट कर दूर हो गई है। हम अपनी जनता से अलग हो गए हैं। जाति के सर्वश्रेष्ठ विभागों का विकास रुक गया है (समय की घंटी) और जो विचार हमें अंग्रेजी के माध्यम से मिले हैं, उन्हें जनता में फैलाने में हम नाकामयाब रहे हैं। पिछले 60 वर्षों से हमने विचित्र-विचित्र शब्दों को केवल रटना सीखा है। तथ्यपूर्ण ज्ञान पचाने के बदले शब्दों का उच्चारण सीखा है। जो विरासत में हमें अपने बाप-दादों से हासिल हुई, उसके आधार पर नवनिर्माण करने के बदले हमने उस विरासत को भूलना सीखा है।" ... (व्यवधान) ...

उपसभाध्यक्ष : तिवारी जी, कृपा करके आप समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : सर, बस मैं खत्म कर रहा हूँ। अब गाँधी जी को कोई नहीं याद करता, सब नेहरू को ... (व्यवधान) ...

उपसभाध्यक्ष : अब मैं क्या करूँ। आपके साथी के लिए केवल तीन मिनट बाकी हैं।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : मान्यवर, मुझे उनका उद्धरण पूरा कर लेने दीजिए।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Tiwariji, please conclude.

I am speaking for your other colleague. He will get only three minutes.

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी : सर, हमें अपनी बात पूरी कर लेने दीजिए। अगर समय मिलेगा, तो उनको समय दीजिएगा।

(2आर/एससीएच पर जारी)

SCH-VKK/3.20/2R

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी (क्रमागत): तो मान्यवर मैं कह रहा था, "तथ्यपूर्ण ज्ञान पचाने के बदले शब्दों का उच्चारण सीखा है। जो विरासत में हमें अपने बाप-दादों से हासिल हुई, उसके

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

आधार पर नव-निर्माण करने के बदले हमने उस विरासत को भूलना सीखा है। इस दुर्गति की मिसाल सारी दुनिया के इतिहास में नहीं है। यह तो राष्ट्रीय शोक अथवा ट्रैजेडी का विषय है। आज की पहली और सबसे बड़ी समाज-सेवा यह है कि हम अपनी देशी भाषाओं की ओर मुड़ें और हिन्दी को राष्ट्रभाषा के पद पर प्रतिष्ठित करें। हमें अपनी सभी प्रादेशिक कार्यवाहियां अपनी-अपनी भाषा में चलानी चाहिए तथा हमारी राष्ट्रीय कार्यवाहियों की भाषा हिन्दी होनी चाहिए।" गांधी जी ने यह बात बहुत पहले कही थी।

दूसरी बात यह है कि आप इसके लिए वित्त अथवा पैसा कहां से देंगे? राज्य सरकारें कहती हैं कि यह केन्द्र की जिम्मेदारी है और केन्द्र कहता है कि इसमें राज्य और केन्द्र का हिस्सा होना चाहिए, इसलिए हमें इस चीज़ को भी समझना होगा। शिक्षा पर जीडीपी का 6% खर्च करने का वादा हमने कितने दिनों से किया है, लेकिन हम 4% तक भी नहीं पहुंच पाते हैं कि सरकार का दम फूलने लगता है और वे कहते हैं कि हमारे तो हाथ-पांव फूलने लगे हैं। इसका मतलब यह है कि सरकार कम से कम शिक्षा पर भी उतना पैसा खर्च नहीं कर पाती। कितने समय पहले कोठारी कमिशन की सिफारिश थी कि शिक्षा पर बजट का कम से कम 9% खर्च होना चाहिए। आप शिक्षा पर खर्च नहीं करेंगे और छोटे बच्चों की पढ़ाई को आप केवल बाजार के सहारे छोड़ देंगे, तो आप समावेशीय विकास नहीं कर सकेंगे। इस तरह तो आप केवल विशिष्ट वर्ग आधारित समाज की रचना करेंगे, जो लोकतंत्र और समता के बुनियादी सिद्धांतों के खिलाफ होगा। इसलिए मैं कहना चाहूंगा कि यह जो जमीनी हकीकत है, आपको उसका अहसास करना चाहिए। हम लोग समान शिक्षा की मांग करते रहे हैं और आज भी करते हैं कि जब तक एक प्रकार की प्राथमिक शिक्षा और एक प्रकार के समान स्कूल नहीं होंगे, तब तक इन विधेयकों का कोई मतलब नहीं रहेगा, साथ ही सरकार के ऊँचे-ऊँचे खयालों और उनके उद्देश्यों का भी कोई मतलब नहीं रहेगा। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं अपनी बात खत्म करता हूँ, बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Now, Shri Ganga Charan. You have ten minutes.

SHRI GANGA CHARAN: Sir, fourteen minutes.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: No. Ten minutes. That is why I am telling you in advance.

श्री गंगा चरण (उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदय, मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्री के द्वारा यह जो बिल लाया गया है, मैं इस बिल का समर्थन करता हूँ। वैसे तो यह बिल आज से 50 साल पहले आ जाना चाहिए था, लेकिन बहुत देरी से आया है, इसके लिए हमें अफसोस है, फिर भी मैं सिब्ल साहब को धन्यवाद देना चाहता हूँ कि देर आए दुरुस्त आए।

शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में आज हम दुनिया में बहुत पीछे हैं और शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में पीछे होने के कारण ही आज आर्थिक क्षेत्र में भी हम बहुत पीछे हो गए हैं। मेरे मन में एक सवाल आ रहा है, जिसे मैं सिब्ल साहब से पूछना चाहता हूँ कि इस बिल में आपने जो व्यवस्था की है, वह बहुत अच्छी व्यवस्था है, लेकिन माननीय वित्त मंत्री जी ने जो बजट दिया है, क्या उस बजट से आपका जो बिल है और इसके जो प्रावधान हैं, उन्हें आप पूरा कर पाएंगे? उन्होंने शिक्षा पर मात्र 2000 करोड़ रुपये अतिरिक्त बजट दिया है। उसी पर आपको Higher Education, Technical Education और Primary Education की सारी जरूरतों को पूरा करना है। इस कम बजट के कारण हमारे मन में संदेह पैदा होता है कि आप यह जो "The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Bill, 2008" ला रहे हैं, उसकी मंशा को आपका बजट पूरा नहीं कर पाएगा। मैं तिवारी जी की कुछ चीजों से बहुत सहमत हूँ कि डा. लोहिया ने शिक्षा के लिए हमेशा 10% बजट की बात की है, यानी टोटल बजट का 10% एजुकेशन पर खर्च होना चाहिए। इसके लिए हम अपना डिफेंस का बजट कम कर सकते हैं, दूसरे बजट कम कर सकते हैं, लेकिन एजुकेशन पर बजट कम नहीं किया जा सकता है। इस बिल के संबंध में मेरे मन में कुछ चीजें संदेह पैदा कर रही हैं। जैसे इस बिल में प्रावधान है कि कहीं भी आस-पास के विद्यालयों में 6 साल से लेकर 14 साल के बच्चे प्रवेश लेना चाहें, तो उन्हें प्रवेश मिलेगा।

2s/psv पर जारी

-SCH/PSV-RSS/2S/3.25

श्री गंगा चरण(क्रमागत): सर, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि जो निजी स्कूल हैं, क्या उनमें भी हमें प्रवेश लेने का अधिकार होगा या जो सरकारी स्कूल हैं, क्या उनका स्टैंडर्ड उन

निजी स्कूलों के बराबर होगा? हम डी0पी0एस0 के बगल में रहते हैं या उसके बगल की झोपड़पट्टी में रहते हैं, तो क्या हमें डी0पी0एस0 में प्रवेश लेने का अधिकार होगा? यदि हम लॉरेटो कॉन्वेंट के बगल की झोपड़पट्टी में रहते हैं, तो क्या उसमें रहने वाले बच्चों को उसमें प्रवेश लेने का अधिकार होगा? जो सरकारी स्कूल हैं और गाँवों के स्कूल हैं, क्या आप उनकी पढ़ाई का स्टैंडर्ड निजी संस्थानों के पब्लिक स्कूल्स के बराबर लाएँगे? क्या वहाँ पर कम्प्यूटर एजुकेशन होगा और वहाँ इंग्लिश एजुकेशन होगा? इस चीज को भी आपको स्पष्ट करना पड़ेगा।

महोदय, आज उत्तर भारत के लोग या उत्तर भारत के नेता जो पिछड़ गए हैं या उत्तर भारत आज जो पीछे जा रहा है, उसकी सबसे बड़ी कमज़ोरी मैं यह मानता हूँ-- बुरा न मानें, तिवारी जी-- डॉ0 लोहिया का अंग्रेजी-विरोधी आन्दोलन चला था, वे स्वयं तो जर्मनी से पढ़ कर आए, लेकिन आजादी के बाद उन्होंने जो अंग्रेजी-विरोधी आन्दोलन चलाया, तो उसका सबसे बड़ा कारण रहा उत्तर भारत का पिछड़ना। हमारे गाँवों में आज भी ...(व्यवधान)... मैंने तो आपको इन्टरप्ट नहीं किया था ...(व्यवधान)... सर, गाँवों के स्कूलों में आज अंग्रेजी नहीं पढ़ाई जाती। गाँव के स्कूल से हम पढ़ कर जब शहर में आते हैं, तो हमको ए, बी, सी, डी.. सिखाई जाती है। जो बच्चे कॉन्वेंट के पढ़े होते हैं, वे शुरू से ही ए, बी, सी, डी.. सीखते हैं। इसलिए हमारे गाँव के जो बच्चे हैं, वहाँ पर उनकी रैगिंग होती है, उनको 'देहाती बच्चे', 'मगहिया बच्चे' आदि बोलते हैं।

सर, जायसवाल जी हमारे एक बहुत ही पुराने मित्र हैं। जब वह हिन्दी में बोल रहे थे तब उनसे कहा गया कि नहीं, जब आपसे अंग्रेजी में सवाल किया गया है, तो आप जवाब भी अंग्रेजी में ही दीजिए। शायद हमारे जायसवाल जी भी हिन्दी माध्यम से पढ़ेंगे। उनको अंग्रेजी नहीं आती है, यह तो मैं नहीं कहता हूँ, लेकिन वह अंग्रेजी स्पीकिंग नहीं हैं। बेचारे उत्तर भारत के जो नेता हैं, उनमें आज भी इंग्लिश स्पीकिंग नहीं है, इसलिए उनकी परफॉरमेंस वीक रहती है और वे अपने को हीनभावना से ग्रसित महसूस करते हैं। हमारे जो तमाम मिनिस्टर्स हैं, वे इंग्लिश बोलना सीख रहे हैं, वे कोशिश कर रहे हैं। हमारे जायसवाल जी ने ओथ तो टाई-वगैरह बांध के ली थी। उन्होंने ओथ इंग्लिश में ली थी, पढ़ कर ली थी। मुझे यह लगता है कि एक-दो दिन उन्होंने ओथ पढ़ी होगी, तब वह वहाँ बोल पाए होंगे। वह

बुरा न मानें। मैं जानता हूँ, क्योंकि वह मेरे दोस्त रहे हैं, उनकी इंग्लिश स्पीकिंग नहीं है। वहीं सलमान साहब ऑक्सफोर्ड से पढ़ कर आए हैं, लेकिन उन्होंने हिन्दी में ओथ ली। उन्होंने हिन्दी में इसलिए ली कि सब जानते हैं कि सलमान साहब बहुत अच्छी इंग्लिश जानते हैं। आज मैं सिब्बल साहब से कहूँगा कि वह अंग्रेजी को जरूर अनिवार्य करें। अब हम इसके बगैर आगे नहीं बढ़ सकते हैं। हमारा देश इसके बगैर आगे नहीं बढ़ सकता है। हिन्दी हमारी मातृभाषा है। यह हमारी राष्ट्रभाषा है। इसे हम माँ के पेट से सीख कर आते हैं। उसे हमें सिखाने की जरूरत नहीं पड़ती है। बंगाल में रहने वाले बंगाली माँ के पेट से बंगला सीख कर आते हैं, तमिल लोग माँ के पेट से तमिल सीख कर आते हैं, पंजाबी लोग अपनी माँ के पेट से पंजाबी सीख कर आते हैं। इस प्रकार हमारी जो state languages हैं, regional languages हैं, उन्हें सिखाने की जरूरत नहीं है। लेकिन, इंटरनेशनल मार्केट में या ग्लोबल मार्केट में हमारे बच्चों को कम्पीटीशन फेस करना है, इसलिए हमारे लिए इंग्लिश जरूरी है। आज साउथ इंडिया के जो लीडर्स हैं, वहाँ के जो बैकवर्ड लोग हैं, वे वहाँ छा गए हैं, क्योंकि उनकी भाषा अंग्रेजी है। इसीलिए मैं देख रहा हूँ कि कांग्रेस पार्टी में उत्तर भारत के लीडर्स की अपेक्षा जो साउथ के लीडर्स हैं, वे अब हावी रहते हैं। ... (व्यवधान)... चूँकि उनकी इंग्लिश अच्छी है। वहाँ ओबीसी के लोग ज्यूडिशियरी में हैं, यहाँ साउथ इंडिया के लोग ज्यूडिशियरी में नहीं हैं। कुरियन साहब जानते हैं, वह भी इसे समझते हैं, क्योंकि वह साउथ के हैं।

हम लोग कैसे बराबरी पर आएँ? यह देश कैसे जुड़े? आज मैं कह सकता हूँ कि अंग्रेजी-- महात्मा गांधी कहते थे कि हिन्दी हम सब को एक सूत्र में जोड़े है, लेकिन आज हिन्दी के नाम पर जो रोज झगड़ा हो जाता है, इससे मुझे नहीं लगता कि हिन्दी हमें एक सूत्र में जोड़े है, मुझे लगता है कि अब अंग्रेजी हमें एक सूत्र में जरूर जोड़ सकती है, यदि अंग्रेजी को हम लोग आज उत्तर भारत में भी -- क्योंकि चाहे वे बंगाल के लोग हों, तमिलनाडु के लोग हों या गुजरात के लोग हों या भारतीय जनता पार्टी के लोग हों, इसके सारे लीडर्स इंग्लिश में बोलते हैं, लेकिन हिन्दी की बात हो तो एकदम से लड़ाई कर लेते हैं, लेकिन जब भी आप लोग प्रेस कांफ्रेंस करते हैं, तो आप यह दिखाते हैं कि हम हिन्दी भाषी नहीं हैं, बल्कि हम भी इंग्लिश में बोलना जानते हैं।

2T/HMS-MKS/3.30

श्री गंगा चरण (क्रमागत) : सर, इनके लीडर्स का english प्रेम किसी से छिपा नहीं है। आज reality यही है कि अगर हमें देश को एक रखना है तो हमें अपनी basic education में अंग्रेजी अनिवार्य करनी पड़ेगी। यह मेरा पहला सुझाव है। ..(समय की घंटी).. सर, हमारी पार्टी के 14 मिनट्स हैं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): You have only ten minutes. Eight minutes are over.

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, मेरे कुछ सवाल हैं, मैं चाहूंगा कि माननीय मंत्री जी अपने जवाब में उन्हें शामिल करें।

उपसभाध्यक्ष: आप के दो मिनट बाकी हैं। आप को दो मिनट में भाषण खत्म करना है।

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, आज शिक्षा व्यापार बन गयी है और Right to Education का मकसद भी यही है कि शिक्षा व्यापार न बनने पाए, लेकिन सवाल यह है कि आप गरीब-से-गरीब बच्चों को शिक्षा कैसे दिला पाएंगे? सर, देश में 14 करोड़ बच्चे हैं। आप स्लम में रहने वाले बच्चों के लिए कहेंगे कि हम एकल विद्यालय चला रहे हैं। वहां एक टीचर है, भवन नहीं है, ब्लैक बोर्ड नहीं है, फर्नीचर नहीं है, कंप्यूटर नहीं है और आप कहेंगे कि Right to Education आना चाहिए। सर, मुझे नहीं लगता कि आप 5 साल में Right to Education के इस बिल को लागू कर पाएंगे। आप वित्त मंत्री जी व प्रधान मंत्री जी से बात करिए। जब इसके लिए बजट बढ़ाएंगे तभी आपका यह सपना साकार हो सकता है। सर, आपने Slumdog Millionaire देखी होगी। उसमें स्लम्स का क्या हाल दिखाया है? आज दिल्ली की स्लम बस्तियों की क्या हालत है? आज हम सड़क पर निकलते हैं तो देखते हैं कि बच्चों का एक हुजूम छोटे-छोटे बच्चों का, भिखारियों का निकल पड़ता है। आप उनको education कैसे देंगे? क्या आप उनके लिए रोटी, कपड़ा व पुस्तकों की व्यवस्था करने जा रहे हैं? आज बच्चे घर का चूल्हा जलाने का माध्यम बन गए हैं। वे भीख मांगकर लाते हैं, काम करते हैं। आप child labour को रोक नहीं पा रहे हैं। उसके लिए कानून है, लेकिन

आप जहां भी जाइए वहां देखेंगे कि child labour काम कर रही है और घर का चूल्हा उन बच्चों की मेहनत से ही जल रहा है।

इन सारी चीजों पर आज गंभीरता से विचार करने की जरूरत है। आप उन बच्चों को काम से छुटकारा दिलाकर स्कूल में कैसे ला सकते हैं? पहले आप को उनके लिए भोजन का प्रबंध करना पड़ेगा, कपड़े का प्रबंध करना पड़ेगा, पुस्तकों का प्रबंध करना पड़ेगा और फिर उनके guardians का भी प्रबंध करना पड़ेगा। ये बहुत सारी जिम्मेदारियां आप के ऊपर हैं तभी आपका इस बिल का सपना साकार हो सकेगा। इस बिल को हमारा पूरा समर्थन है, पूरा सहयोग है। इस विषय में पूरा हाउस आप के साथ है, लेकिन बिना बजट के यह संभव नहीं है। ..समय की घंटी.. सर, मेरी पार्टी के 14 मिनट हैं।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (प्रो० पी०जे० कुरियन) : आपकी पार्टी का पूरा समय खत्म हो गया है।

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, मुझे 14 मिनट बताए थे।

उपसभाध्यक्ष : आपकी पार्टी का 10 मिनट का समय है। मैं क्या करूं हरेक पार्टी का टाइम allot है।

श्री गंगा चरण : तो सब से पहले तो आपको इस दोहरी शिक्षा पद्धति को खत्म करना होगा। आज स्टेट्स के अपने-अपने शिक्षा बोर्ड हैं, सी०बी०एस०ई० है, आई०सी०एस०ई० है। मैंने सुना है कि आप इनको खत्म कर के इंडिया में एक syllabus ला रहे हैं। यह देश को एक करने के लिए बहुत अच्छी बात है। आज देश में आर्थिक विभाजन हो गया है, आर्थिक आरक्षण हो गया है जिस में बड़े आदमियों के बच्चे सी०बी०एस०ई० व आई०सी०एस०सी० में पढ़ेंगे व गरीब आदमी का बच्चा स्टेट बोर्ड में पढ़ेगा। फिर वह competition फेस नहीं कर पाता है। ये सारे बोर्ड भंग होकर एक syllabus होना चाहिए तभी देश में समान शिक्षा लागू हो सकती है। सर, जब तक देश में समान शिक्षा लागू नहीं होगी तब तक इस Right to Education Bill का कोई मतलब नहीं है। सर, आज गांवों के झोंपड़ों में रहने वाले आदिवासी क्षेत्रों में स्कूल की दुर्दशा किसी से छिपी नहीं है। मैं एक ही अनुरोध के साथ अपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं कि समान एजुकेशन हो और शिक्षा का व्यापार खत्म हो। धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Okay. Thank you very much. Now, Dr. K. Malaisamy. ...(Interruptions)... Dr. K. Malaisamy. You are enjoying the speech! Dr. Sahib, your time is seven minutes.

DR. K. MALAISAMY (TAMIL NADU): Sir, I had a sigh of relief when you came and occupied the Chair! When I hear your comments, I do not know whether my relief is well-founded or ill-found!

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: Say only points!

DR. K. MALAISAMY: How many minutes I have been given, Sir?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: Seven minutes.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: What is your considered view, Sir?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: My personal view has no role here!

(Followed by TMV/2U)

-MKS-TMV-DS/2U/3.35

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Okay, Sir. Our age-old Indian Constitution, through its Directive Principles of State Policy, has envisaged free and compulsory education to children. It was to be done within ten years. But, unfortunately, even after 60 years, it is yet to happen. The Bill has come only now. Besides the Constitution, the Supreme Court has also come to our rescue and prompted us to do something on this aspect. Some concrete development has taken place by virtue of an amendment making this policy into a fundamental right. In spite of all that, none of the Governments seemed to be very keen to achieve this goal, probably, for want of political will or skill to get it done. Whatever it is, my observation is that it has come belated. Though it is the felt-need of the society for long, it has come late. 'Better late than never'. In that sense, I am happy about it.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Secondly, being a subject under the Concurrent List, the object, probably, has been diluted, and that is why one is not very keen on that. Whatever be the reason, it has come too late. Anyway, 'better late than never'.

Before we appreciate the Bill, let us come to the composition of the child population. As rightly stated by some of our colleagues who spoke before me, the children constitute 41 per cent of the total population. Many of the children are street dwelling; they are child labourers; they are working children who earn to supplement the income of the family. They are all living in different difficult situations. Apart from that, the total drop-out is considered to be 53 per cent. So, this is the sum and substance of the composition of the children about whom we are going to talk.

As far as the features of the Bill are concerned, I thought, by virtue of his background as a bureaucrat, by virtue of his background as an eminent lawyer, by virtue of his background as a legislator, ably supported by the Minister of State, that the it would be free from defects and deficiencies. When I look at the broad features, I am happy to note some of the highlights. I concede that. But his contribution in the preparation of the Bill might not have been available because the Bill seems to have been prepared much before he took over as HRD Minister. The Bill envisages free and compulsory education to children, rational deployment of the teachers without regional imbalances, appointment of trained teachers, norms and standards for schools and working days and working hours for teachers and institutions. These are the aspects which this Bill seeks to provide. Then, there are certain

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

prohibitions also. It envisages prohibition of punishment and harassment of the students. The screening of students and parents has been prohibited. Collecting capitation fee has been prohibited. Expulsion and detention of the children have been prohibited. Deployment of teachers for non-educational purpose has been prohibited. If all these prohibitions are invoked, I mean, if they do that, it is subject to penal action.

So, you have divided it into three parts. One is positive, the second is negative and the third is the mandatory provision that all the private schools should necessarily reserve, at least, 25 per cent of the total seats for this purpose. These are all the major features.

Now, coming to the omissions, defects and deficiencies, the Minister for Human Resource Development has rightly said in his Press report and all that this is a national priority. As far as primary education is concerned, as far as this Bill is concerned, he has come out with a version that it is a national priority. But when I read the Budget, the Finance Minister has given the priority not to primary education but to higher education. According to the Finance Minister, it is higher education and, according to the HRD Minister, it is primary education.

(Contd. by 2W/RG)

RG/3.40/2W

DR. K. MALAISAMY (contd.): What I am trying to understand is, what their real priority is. What is the policy of the UPA Government? Are they going to give priority for higher education, or, for primary education? This may be clarified. My second point is, as I mentioned to you, there are various compositions of children. So, they are subject

to poverty, deprivation of basic needs, etc. If we look at their composition, some may be working in factories; some of them could be child labour; some of them are under parent care, etc. So, they are spread everywhere. In such a situation, I would like to know whether you will be able to organise the whole group, and bring them under one umbrella for your purpose. That is my point. Thirdly, Sir, health and poverty seem to be the greatest handicap in the implementation of the scheme. As we all know, 38 per cent of the total population is still below-the-poverty-line. Farmers are committing suicides. Sir, I don't want to elaborate on it, when you are constantly looking at the time. So, the children, who belong to these poor families and are suffering, have to come out of their homes for this purpose. How can this happen with this 41 per cent of the population? Then, Sir, talking about disparity between Government schools and private schools, whether one likes it or not, disparity is bound to be there. Everybody knows about the quality of education in private institutions and Government institutions. In such a situation, I would like to know whether you can bridge the disparity between the private institutions and the Government institutions in terms of education. It is another challenge before you. My fourth point is about the norms and standards of schools. You have stated that you are trying to create good infrastructure in schools by way of library, computers, etc. I felt very happy when I went through the Bill. My point is whether you are capable of building these infrastructures. Is it possible? Then, Sir, when we look at tribal areas, - in the morning also, there was a question on it -- people are scattered around, with ten or fifteen families at one place. Now, how are you

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

going to bring all of them together? No doubt, you have talked of setting up of neighbourhood schools. How many neighbourhood schools can be created? Sir, I want one minute...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Okay, you take one minute more.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: I want one minute on this particular issue. I was in the Committee of Industry. The Committee was making a study tour, and we happened to go to Andaman & Nicobar Islands. We went into forest areas, and to be honest with you, what we saw -- the Chairman and several Members of the Committee were there -- was young men and women, walking naked on the lanes. I am sorry to say that this is the plight of these people there. I would like to know whether the tribal children could be brought into the fold, whether it is possible to create infrastructure in tribal areas. Sir, in tribal areas, there is a big assumption that toilets should not be constructed. This is their conviction; this is their sentiment. So, Sir, there are social, cultural and economic problems which the children face, and there is this gender disparity, a wide discrimination amongst girl children.

Then, Sir, coming to 19 crores of our population...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): No new points, please.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Out of 19 crores, 4 crores of people are going to private schools; the rest 15 crores of people have to depend on our Government schools. Now will there be equality among the children who have studied in private schools and Government schools?

Sir, how many minutes more would you give me? I have always co-operated with the Chair. Shall I take one minute or two minutes?

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: You finish in one minute.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, the early child care, which is an integral part of the scheme, is for children between six and fourteen years of age. Now what are you going to do about children less than six years old?

(Continued by 2X)

2x/3.45/ks

DR. K. MALAISAMY (CONTD.): Is it so easy? What I am trying to say is that it is not that easy to implement the scheme. The Bill is good, in theory. In practice, it would be very difficult, Sir. There are umpteen provisions which are not very practicable. Now, you talk about the prevention of the capitation fee. How are you going to prevent it? A number of things are happening. Private tuitions...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): All right. It is over now.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Finally, the Bill is short of transparency and accountability and it focuses on very minor issues. Sir, according to me, it is the felt need of the society, though it has come late. Let us wait and see how it is going to work out and how it is going to be implemented.

(Ends)

(Interruptions)

SHRI N. K. SINGH (BIHAR): Hon. Vice-Chairman, Sir, let me begin by complementing the Minister of Human Resources Development for the alacrity he has shown in fulfilling his 100 days promise and bringing this Bill to the House so early. Of course, perhaps, in his sincerity to do so, there are serious infirmities which the hon. Minister has overlooked. I have one broad comment and four points to make, Sir.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

My one broad comment is this that you will recall that this Bill was introduced in this House and was despatched to the Standing Committee on HRD with a direction from the hon. Chairman that the Standing Committee must report within a stipulated period of just 30 days. The Standing Committee had three sessions, fulfilled its promise and made far-reaching recommendations. I regret to say that although that Standing Committee's Report was introduced in this House on the 18th of February by Shri Janardhan Dwivedi and in the Lok Sabha by Shri Rahul Gandhi, none of those recommendations has been at all effected in the Bill which is before us. Sir, it is a sad reflection on the working of the Standing Committee because they remain the principle arm of parliamentary oversight. And if Standing Committee's recommendations are so grossly overlooked, really, Sir, this is something that does not fill us with any sense of pride. My four important points: first, on finance. The Standing Committee had pointed out that before the Bill is brought to the House the memorandum on financial matters should be settled, namely, the sharing formula between the Centre and the States -- currently it is 65:25, Sir -- whether that would remain or it would change; the kind of burden sharing in the course of the next five years and adequacy of budgetary provisions. I say this, Sir, because there are, at least, four different estimates. The Draft 83rd Amendment Bill, 1997, calculated Rs. 47,000 crores in five years; the Tapas Majumdar Committee Report of 1999 calculated Rs. 1,36,000 crores; the 93rd Amendment Bill, 2001 calculated Rs. 98,000 crores; the CAFE Committee Report, 2005, calculated Rs. 4.36,000 crores over a course of six years. Which of this is accurate? And, considering the fact that the hon.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Finance Minister has, in fact, lowered the budgetary provision for *Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan*, I plead to the Minister that the financial arithmetic of this should be reflected more accurately in the Financial Memorandum which is appended to the Bill.

My second point, Sir, relates to learning outcomes. The Bill, Sir, as you know, stipulates that no child will be held up until completion of elementary education, i.e. till the 8th standard. Now, this, Sir, as we can see, has serious adverse outcomes as have been brought out in the recent NCERT report that children who complete up to eight years are still remaining illiterate; they are securing exceedingly poor numbers in mathematics, in languages. So, I think that with the view of ensuring that nobody is held back, what does the Bill have to ensure that the outcome of teaching really meets the kind of desired standards? This is not a unique feature to us, Sir. All over the world, for instance, in the United States, there is a Bill called 'No Child Left Behind Act', which while saying the same thing, stipulates that the children would be graded, records would be kept and some kind of an outcome would be imposed on the schools really as a kind of a consequence of this.

My third point is that the Bill stipulates private schools having 25 per cent mandatory reservations. Whereas my Party, in general, does not oppose reservations for the disadvantaged and for the weaker sections, the calibration of this must be done in a manner which is socially non-disruptive and the phasing of this must be done in a manner which does not really kindle and kill any urge for private investment coming into the sector and is able to foster public-private partnership.

TDB/2Y/3.50

SHRI N.K. SINGH (CONTD.): Moreover, Sir, the manner of reimbursement of this has been left at the average of Government schools. This is really an unfortunate outcome because the Standing Committee had made, hon. Minister, a very specific recommendation that this reimbursement should be fixed on normative norms by whom, by group of experts, to be determined by the Planning Commission to ensure that the burden of cross-subsidy does really fall on the rest of the 75 per cent in terms of raising the fee.

Sir, my fourth point is about the norms for the schools. Now, differential standards are being applied on norms for schools -- for public schools and private schools. Moreover, I think, that in ensuring the teacher-pupil ratio there is no provision in the Bill which improves teaching outcome, teacher training facility and the manner in which this requirement under the Bill will be calibrated with the requirement of filling the number of required teachers within the stipulated three years which the Bill requires.

I will end by saying, Sir, that this Bill really reminds me of the famous saying of "Chamfort on Maxims and Thoughts, in which he said, "Education is construed on two prongs, the prong of morality and the prong of prudence. Morality in order to assist virtue and prudence in order to protect you against the vices of others. In tipping the scale towards morality, you merely produce dupes and martyrs. In tipping the other way, you produce egotistical schemers." It is my contention that

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

the Bill in its present form does not appropriately balance the requirement of morality and prudence. Mr. Minister, time will pass, so will this Bill. But time will tell us that this Bill in its present form does not address the multiple challenges connected to the rights of children for free and compulsory education. Thank you, Sir.

(Ends)

SHRI D. RAJA (TAMIL NADU): Sir, this is a very important Bill. In fact, our country has a number of traditions, both positive and negative. One of the negative traditions that our country has is that education was denied to vast sections of our people in the name of castes, in the name of religion too. We can be proud of our civilization which is 5000 years old, but vast sections of our people were denied to have access to education. After Independence, it took more than 60 years for the Government to think of making education compulsory at primary level, at least, which we are discussing now. Having said that, Sir, I must say this Bill falls short of many things. One may appreciate the intent of the Bill, but when you go into the contents of the Bill, it has many weaknesses which need to be strengthened. Due to constraint of time, I would run through certain points. This Bill must be routed in the framework of a fully public funded common school system, based on neighbourhood schools from pre-primary to class 12, which will place equal obligations on all categories of schools. Diversity, equality and democratisation being the touchstone; otherwise the claim of right of children to free and compulsory education will remain a claim, and we cannot realise it in real practice.

Now, Sir, the Bill has several chapters. It has got six chapters. In order to help the Minister to go into the issues in concrete terms, I first take up the first chapter. When you discuss schools, I think, all schools must be brought under this Bill.

(Contd. by 2z-kgg)

kgg/2z/3.55

SHRI D. RAJA (contd.): All schools shall be neighbourhood schools with representation of disadvantaged sections, weaker sections, gender and minorities. On Chapter-II, when you discuss the elementary education, it is not merely elementary education. The Government should think of schooling from pre-school to higher secondary. There, I suggest, in Chapter-II, clause 4, the Minister should apply his mind and the Government should apply its mind that 0-3 years, we need our children provided with nutrition and proper health care. You will have to protect the children. 0-3 is the very sensitive and delicate period. Children should have provisions for nutrition and health care.

Then for the period of 3-6, we need pre-schooling care for children. That is the very important formative period in the life of any human being and all your skills and other aptitudes grow at that point of time. In this very House, I recall our hon. colleague, Swaminathan, who spoke on this issue. When he discussed the food security he meant that children must have food and nutrition. That is an important period.

Then, Sir, 6-12 years, is also very important. Here, the Bill really focuses up to 14 years. I think, this Bill must cover class 1 to higher secondary. I do not know what would be the objective of this Bill if it

stops at 14 years. Then, what happens next? This Bill must be a comprehensive one.

Then, coming to Chapter-III, many people talked about education being on the Concurrent List. Both Centre and States have responsibilities in this subject. I am not here going to define what should be the responsibility of the Centre or the States. But, I would like to underline a point. The previous UPA Government made a promise that the Budgetary provisions will be made on education up to 6 per cent of the GDP. Here, I think, till now, the spending on education still remains at almost 3 per cent. Here, the elementary education must have priority. I think, one can spend 50 per cent on elementary education, some 25 per cent towards higher secondary, some 25 per cent on higher education. I am not saying that it should be accepted, but I am saying that it can be considered, discussed, on how to distribute the funds on various systems.

Then, Sir, clause 9 talks about norms or standards. I think, all schools must have at least the level of Kendriya Vidyalayas. We should strive for providing the standard or quality of education which is available at the Kendriya Vidyalaya level. Then, Sir, I am going to Chapter-IV. On the neighbourhood schools, it is said that all schools should have a provision of pre-school education and provide for admission to all children in neighbourhood with proportionate representation to all disadvantaged sections. Here, many Members raised the issue of street children, children who are working children who are termed as child labour, how to cover them. Then, Sir, the other thing is, there are many issues which I can go on commenting. But, one issue is the teacher-

pupil ratio. The teacher-pupil ratio should undergo some drastic change. With this, I try to conclude, Sir. The teacher-pupil ratio, it should be at least 1:30. At least one teacher per class. We should have a target. It should improve to 1:20 over a period of 6-7 years.

(Contd. by kls/3a)

KLS/3A-4.00

SHRI D. RAJA (CONTD): Teachers must be trained and they must have continuous training and equipment. Here the non-educational jobs given to teachers must be defined. Now under the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan teachers are asked to perform some 30 jobs, which can be called as non-educational jobs. That also should be considered by the Minister. (Time-bell). Finally, Sir, when you talk about Chapter IV, 12c, it is about reservation. I do not agree with Mr. N.K. Singh when he talked about this because the Bill says, 'to the extent of, at least, 25 per cent of the strength of that class, children belonging to weaker sections and disadvantaged groups.' Here, you will have to define it because 'to the extent' means, it can be 20, it can be 15'. (Time-bell) You will have to categorically define it and it must be made obligatory because, (Time-bell) Sir, one last point. ...(Interruptions)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): How many 'lasts'?

SHRI D. RAJA: You please wait for some more time. ...(Interruptions)...

The Standing Committee has given its report. That report talks about dropouts. In 2005-06, gross enrolment ratio for all children at the elementary stage stood at 94.92 per cent while dropout rate in Class I to VIII was as high as 48.71 per cent, dropout rate among Scheduled

Castes children was 55.25 per cent (Time-bell). For, Scheduled Tribes, it was 62.95 per cent.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: Okay. That is all.

SHRI D. RAJA: It makes very imperative on the part of the Government to make it clear and they must clearly mention the 'disadvantaged' sections. You must clearly mention it. It should go to Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe children then only it will be defined. (Time-bell) Otherwise, the Bill will fail to solve the problem. Thank you.

(Ends)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Shri Shyam Bengal. Shyamji, I do not know what to do. ...(Interruptions)... Shyamji, the position is very difficult. ...(Interruptions)... You can speak for five minutes. ...(Interruptions)... I think five minutes will do. ...(Interruptions)... Okay.

SHRI SHYAM BENEGAL (NOMINATED): Thank you, Sir. It is okay. I have just got a couple of points to make, really. I am not going to deal with the lacunae and the various other things about which people, more competent than I, have already spoken about those, as far as the Bill is concerned. It takes me back to couple of experiences of my own. Thirty-five years ago, I was involved in doing a series of television programmes, learning programmes, extramural learning programmes for children in the SITE programme. You know we had this particular satellite that we had borrowed and there were footprints in our country that were taken for the year 1975, I remember. At that time, it was said that we should create some learning programmes for children particularly in those rural areas where they have hardly have any schools. At that

time, I created 30 programmes, which were under the UNICEF. These were shown in many villages in that region and the most important thing that happened or that emerged from this were two things, which I remember very vividly. Firstly, when it comes to literacy, when it comes to literate education and when it comes to oral education, oral education is natural, happens to everybody naturally but the only thing about it is that it is limited to the environment of a child in which a child or adult lives in. This, of course, is fine when we think in terms of a purely agrarian society or a purely tribal society because that kind of learning is not only adequate but is probably perfect for those circumstances. But we are talking about a society like ours, which is fast urbanising and on top of it, an industrialising society, obviously, we cannot think in terms of oral education alone. The second thing that we discovered was that the moment you bring literacy to a child, the oral learning valve closes.

The oral learning is a kind of valve and when the learning valve is opened for children for oral learning, the moment you bring literacy into that the oral learning valve closes.

(Contd by 3B)

SSS/3B/4.05

SHRI SHYAM BENEGAL (CONTD.): So the problem is that a child persists or is made to persist through literacy over a period of time. You will have children -- like for instance, at that time in Chhattisgarh I remember, where 80 per cent was the drop-out rate after the first standard and 80 per cent after having done one year of school meant that all these children who had gone to school for one year were made infirm by a very simple thing because they had to learn literacy properly

on the one side and on the other hand they themselves had lost the ability to learn from the environment. So, we have this kind of a problem and it is not a problem that has been solved even to this day. It is a serious problem. We have a drop-out rate now of 50 per cent but the fact is we are talking about 50 per cent of these children who have lost the ability to learn. It is not just a question of learning through literacy. They have lost the ability to learn even orally and this, I think, is really the problem and somewhere we need to address this. I think, we have not yet understood the balance between oral and literacy learning, and, I think, one of the things that we can and we should and must do is to use television because television is one area through which you can get to every single small village of this country and we can do it, we have the capability for it and you need that kind of support system for the schools because I know ten years ago, I remember I was making a film in Sagar district in Madhya Pradesh and every little village I went to, children would be there, there would be no teacher and the children would be there playing around in the school only because they were getting a mid-day meal. Otherwise, they would not have come at all. There were no teachers because teachers would just go to Sagar town to collect their salaries every month. They were not there in the schools and these are real problems and these are problems in many parts of India. The South is a better maybe from the North, but, essentially these are the serious problems we are dealing with. This is a macro thing when you talk to Right to Free Education as a Bill which will become an Act. This is wonderful, but, I do believe that if it has to work, it has to work on a micro level where the problem really is and, I think,

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

unless we address ourselves with that particular issue we are not going to really resolve anything at all. It will just be a very biased statement which you will keep on making year after year. We will show wonderful statistics but this would prove nothing. The truth is, that we have to think of what we have by way of resources and how are we going to balance between oral forms of learning and literate forms of learning and not think that people who are literate are educated and people who have learnt orally are illiterates. That is not the comparison at all. It is a comparison between the kind of education we require to face our future or the kind of education we have that will keep us like little frogs in our own little ponds. It really is the difference between these two things, and, I think, if we don't have either it is the worst and that is what is happening to our children today because if we had got 50 per cent drop outs it means that 50 per cent of our children are really unable to deal with life itself. That is all I have to say. Thank you very much.

(Ends)

SHRI RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR (KARNATAKA): Sir, I rise to support the objectives of this Bill completely and wholeheartedly.

Sir, like many other Bills that we pass in Parliament, it is yet another Bill that is no doubt driven by good intentions and will clearly entail significant spending, but, I think, it raises more questions than it provides answers to critical issues like education outcomes, financial sharing, delivery of education etc.

Sir, we all accept that this is the single biggest investment we can make for the future of our nation. But there is a need to go beyond the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

rhetoric and vision into what will make or unmake the goals that we are setting for ourselves and the promises that we are making to our children in this Bill. So, therefore, I will make a few brief points to add to what my hon. Colleagues have already said in Parliament.

Sir, we all accept that despite the work of the Kothari Commission which was appointed in 1964, with broadly the same set of goals, the country has achieved very little of the goals and objectives set out by the Indian Education Commission of the 1960s. Understanding the 'why' of this will be very important if we are to make this Bill a success on the ground. I look forward to hearing from the hon. Minister, his views on what went wrong in the last 60 years.

(Contd. by NBR/3C)

-SSS/NBR-ASC/3C/4.10.

SHRI RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR (CONTD.): Sir, one of the big mistakes we are making is to assume that education is simply about building more schools or bringing private sector into elementary education. That would be, in my humble opinion, naive. The education problem in our country is more about capacity building through the entire education value chain which includes, at the core of it, teachers and faculty as much as school infrastructure -- the software and the hardware of education. This Bill seems to focus, predominantly, on the hardware of education i.e., schools.

The biggest crisis facing education in India, in my opinion, is the decline in profession of teaching, both in terms of numbers and in terms of quality. I would urge the Government to focus on this issue in more than passing way, as it has in the Bill so far. What is the total number of teachers required? What kind of capacity for training and developing teachers of tomorrow is the Government envisaging through this Bill? What the Government is going to make teaching an attractive profession for upcoming students? I would like to hear from the hon. Minister his strategy on these issues.

Sir, the second one is the issue of Government school system itself. Somehow, I get the sense that the hon. Minister and the Government's strategy is to use private schools to increase competition and quality in Government schools. Sir, with the greatest respect to this dream, I would say that this is not going to happen as an automatic consequence of private school and investor's entry into elementary education. The Government school system needs top to bottom reform

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

and restructuring, starting with faculty compensation, infrastructure improvement, improvement in facilities and creating a cadre of teachers and principals that can do jobs with sufficient oversight, but with little political interference.

Sir, unless the existing Government school system is invested in, reformed and modernized, it will suffer the fate that it is already suffering i.e., the students are moving to private schools for better quality education. Without fixing the current Government school system, widespread entry of private schools will hasten the demise of the Government schools. If you don't believe this theory, please look around you in other areas like hospitals, airlines, telecom where private sector competition, without preparing the Government entity, do not result in any improved quality or competitiveness on the part of the public entry, rather it hasten its decline into irrelevance.

Sir, finally, there are many more ambiguities with regard to the role of local Government, State and Central Governments in discharging this obligation of universal education which needs clarity and addressing. As we all know, many Bills have been passed by this House that have resulted in increased litigation due to broad interpretative and administrative discretion and grey areas in the draft of legislation itself.

Sir, in conclusion, I request the hon. Minister to address these issues to make the dream of universal education a reality. Otherwise, it will remain an empty promise and make this Bill yet another case of 'when in doubt' legislation. Thank you.

(Ends)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA A. HEPTULLA (RAJASTHAN): Sir, I have a point of order. Sir, when I was sitting in the Chair, if time allocated for discussion, for example, is 4 hours i.e., 240 minutes, we used to calculate one minute per Member of the House. Suddenly, how come the BJP has got only 41 minutes and other parties have got less time and where 30 minutes have gone? We are giving 30 minutes less time to Members! I have calculated. The staff members were also there. I think there is some mistake in the calculation of time. Sir, four hours means, 47 minutes for the BJP and similar time for the Congress. It is also getting less time. Others are also getting less time. We should think about it. Sir, 30 minutes given for the Minister has to be excluded from the time allocated for the debate. You should look into it.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Najmaji, you have raised a relevant point. What has been done is, 30 minutes, out of the total time allocated to the debate, has been allocated for the Minister for his reply. So, the remaining time has been divided among the parties as per the rules. I would say that your suggestion is noted. I have no objection. It should be considered.

Now, Shri Jois.

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (KARNATAKA): Sir, at the outset, I wholeheartedly support this Bill. It is the most important legislation. But, it is unfortunate that the Bill has come up after 58 years of the commencement of the Constitution, though it should have come, as my learned friend has said, 58 years earlier.

(CONTD. BY USY "3D")

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (CONTD.): I congratulate the Government for bringing forward this Bill, at least, now, for it is never too late to improve. In fact, it would have been better if the Bill were titled 'Duty of State to Provide Primary Education', as children cannot enforce their rights. Then, we always hear, in public speeches, the popular saying that children of today will be citizens of tomorrow. That means by mere lapse of time, that is, by attaining 18 years of age they are going to become citizens. But the question is: What type of citizens? If we want good citizens, we can secure this only through good education. Thus, a good primary good education is the very foundation of good education. Earlier, the 'education' was under the Directive Principles of State Policy, under article 45 of the Constitution. It was raised to the level of the Fundamental Rights by the Supreme Court in the case of Unnikrishnan. In fact, I had an opportunity of arguing that case in the Supreme Court. Then, the Bench put me a question, "What is the basis of saying that education is a part of Fundamental Rights?" Then, I quoted a *shloka*, written by Bharithari, who was the king of Ujjain, and later became a saint, in the *Nitishatika*,

विद्या नाम नरस्य रूपमधिकं
 प्रच्छन्न गुप्तं घनं
 विद्या भोगकरी यशसुखकरी
 विद्या गुरुणां गुरुः
 विद्या बंधु जनो विदेश गमने
 विद्या परा देवता
 विद्या राजसु पूजित नतुयनं
 विध्य विहीनः पशुः

I told that it meant, "*Vidya* is a special attribute of latent in man. It is a hidden treasure, provides pleasure, a real friend when one goes abroad,

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

it is God-incarnate, honoured by State, not money. A man without education is just like an animal." Basing my arguments on article 21, I said that every citizen has a Right to Personal Liberty, and personal liberty included education. If education is not given to him, he will be just like an animal. It is quoted in the judgement. The Supreme Court said, "What more is necessary to say that education is a Fundamental Right." This judgement was delivered in 1996. But the Constitution was amended after nine years and article 21(a) was added through 86th Constitutional Amendment of 2002. Again, this Bill is coming up after six years after the 'education' was added as a Fundamental Right. Earlier, it was in the Part-IV of the Constitution. Another important article has been added in the Constitution in place of earlier article 45, that is, provision for early childhood care and education below the age of 6 years. It says, "The State shall endeavour to provide early childhood care and education to all the children until they complete the age of six years." So, now, from birth to the completion of six years, it is the duty of the State to provide education. This is regarded as 'pre-primary oral education'. It has been in our culture, before a child would go to school, sufficient knowledge about history, environment, geography, arithmetic, names of months, days, seasons, etc. was provided by the parents. But, unfortunately, that entire system is not in existence now. Therefore, something has to be done to provide primary education. In fact, I still remember two *shalokas*, which my father had taught me when I was a boy of just 3 years,

उत्तरं यत्समुद्रस्य
हिमाद्रेश्चैव दक्षिणम्
वषं त्वभारतं नाम

भारती यत्र संतति

. "The country that lies to the North of the sea and south of the Himalayas is Bharat and we are all *bharatiyas*." 'We are one people', this concept itself was a part of education. And, then,

नमस्ते शारदा देवी
काश्मीर पुर वासिनि
त्वमहं प्रार्थये देवी
विध्या दानं च देहिमे।

This used to be the first verse at the time of विद्यारम्बा. It means, "The Sharada Devi, whose abode is Kashmir, should bless me". Like this, the country's unity was also made a part of education. Then, article 51(j), that is, every citizen should strive towards excellence in all spheres of individual and collective activity so that the nation constantly rises to higher level of endeavour, was added to the Constitution.

(Contd. by 3e -- PK)

-USY/PK/3E/4.20

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (CONTD.):How can a citizen discharge his onerous fundamental duties unless he is given very good education? Therefore, a citizen can discharge his duties only if he is properly educated. According to the 1984 Government of India Report on Education, there are more number of illiterate citizens in India than the total population of the country at the time of Independence. It was 33 crores in 1947. It was more than 33 crores of people who were illiterate by 1994.

Sir, now I come to the language of primary education. I have studied all the Reports of the Committees and Commissions right from 1947 onwards from Acharya Rama Moorthy Committee and now the Yash Pal Committee. They are all unanimous in saying that primary

education must be imparted in the mother tongue of the children. They were all unanimous in that opinion. Mahatama Gandhi said like this as early as in 1928, "We may not without committing national suicide neglect the mother tongue and make English the vehicle of our progress. In no other country do we find the state of things as we do here. We have paid dearly for having learnt all these years everything from medium of English language. We have strayed away from the path of our education." Again, he said, "Whatever I have learnt in Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics in English medium in a period of four years, I would have learnt the same in just one year if the medium was my mother tongue, Gujarati." Then, Rabindranath Tagore, though he himself was a great scholar in English, said, "the language of the children and the language of learning are different only in India. When a student is learning in the foreign language, he will not be able to get control over the subject of learning and he will just memorise the lessons." Then, the Supreme Court has expressed very strongly in a case where some people formed an Association called English Medium Students' Parents Association. They came to the Supreme Court against the Karnataka Government order which said, "Primary education shall be in mother tongue." Mahatama Gandhi said, "Just as breast milk is necessary for a newly-born child, the primary education should be in mother tongue for the children." And, this is what the Supreme Court said, " All education experts are uniformly of the opinion that people should begin their schooling through the medium of their mother tongue. There is great reason and justice behind this where the tender minds of the children are subjected to an alien medium, the learning process becomes

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

unnatural. It inflicts strain on the children. It makes the entire transaction mechanical. Besides, the educational process becomes artificial. The basic knowledge can easily be imparted through the mother tongue. The introduction of a foreign language can threaten the development of mother tongue." So, these are the opinions of experts like Mahatma Gandhi and Rabindra Nath Tagore. After all, that now you are out of history and bringing forward this Bill, I am glad that in clause 27 of this Bill, there is a specific provision that as far as possible, primary education shall be imparted in mother tongue. That is the best part of this Bill. (Time-bell).. As far as the doubt about the implementation of the Bill is concerned, I say that if there is a will, the Bill, will, certainly, be implemented. I am sure with a dynamic Minister like Shri Kapil Sibal, whatever may be the hurdles, the Bill will become a law and it will be implemented. The latest Yash Pal Committee Report also says, " the child from its birth learns the language at home, the mother tongue, speaks with parents, relatives, friends and neighbours. There is no need to teach singular, plural, or, gender, whatever it is. Everything is learnt by the child on its own, but all this becomes a waste when the child goes to a school where he has to study in some other language." Therefore, this is one of the good provisions of the Bill. As a Judge and a lawyer, wherever I have worked, what I have found is this. If you look at the Forest Officers' office or a Junior Engineer's office, you will find that they are well equipped but if you go to a primary school, you will find that they are a dilapidated structure. There is no furniture. In many cases, children sit on the floor. That is the fate of the primary schools. That is the importance given by all these years and that has

been reason for the large number of drop-outs. Mr. Raja has already given the numbers. As far as drop-outs are concerned, I don't want to repeat the same thing. A large number of drop-outs are taking place because of this.

(Contd. by 3F/PB)

PB/3F/4.25

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (CONTD.): I now come to the other very important aspect, i.e., quality of teachers. Sir, I compare teachers to construction workers, national construction workers. As the Supreme Court Justice Mohan has said in one case, "The real nation is built in the school rooms." The quality and qualification of teachers is of utmost importance. The qualification is there. It is said, "He must have passed pre-University or he has a Degree." But what about the other thing, i.e., disqualification? Particularly, at the primary level, the teachers' disqualification must be there that they should not be alcohol addict; they should not be having smoking habits because the children learn more by emulation than instruction. If the teacher is smoking or he is an alcohol addict, then, naturally, it will be followed by children. Therefore, it is particularly -- I am not speaking about the other Departments -- important that as far as primary school teachers are concerned, disqualification should also be prescribed because first, the students learn character, honesty, etc., from the teacher. ...(Time-bell)... Sir, I will take only one or two minutes more. Sir, what is happening in a large number of single-teacher schools is that thousands of posts of teachers are kept vacant. This is the situation in the so-called single-

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

teacher schools. Regarding single-teacher schools, here there is a report in which it is said, "In many countries, teacher absenteeism and attrition remain persistent problem. A 2003 World Bank Study revealed that investigations making random visit to 200 primary schools in India found no teaching activity at all."

Sir, I was Governor in Patna. In Raj Bhavan, there was a primary school run by the Government. For eight classes, there was only one teacher. This is the importance given to the primary education. ...(Interruptions)... That was the position of a Government school attached to Raj Bhavan and you can understand the situation elsewhere.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Please conclude.

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS: One minute more. Sir, the teachers are not appointed at all. The Government always raises the question of economy in appointment of teachers. I can understand if in a Revenue Office, one or two persons are not there, some other person can do the work. But if teachers are not there, how can the school be run? But that is all what is happening. Therefore, utmost importance has to be given to primary education because that is the very foundation. Unless you give proper education at the primary level, they cannot pick up at the higher level. These matters should be given utmost importance. As I said, the Bill contains all these provisions. But the question is, it should have financial backing. Mr. N.K. Singh and others have already mentioned regarding financial backing, etc. There may be certain other drawbacks.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: You have taken fourteen minutes in place of nine. Now, please conclude.

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS: Sir, I have already covered most of my points and I have to make only one more small submission. This is the latest report of the Yashpal Committee. I would like to quote para 312 of this report. I may be permitted to quote this. Otherwise, they will say, this was not mentioned. That is why I am referring to para 312 in which the Yashpal Committee Report, to which Mr. Kapil Sibal also referred recently, says "Care must be taken to honour and respect the child's home language, i.e., mother tongue -- he calls it as a home language -- because the child would have acquired sufficient knowledge in the home language and that should be continued in the education system." And, there are two types of educational institutions. Sir, I want to make this one point more. There were Government schools. I come from old Mysore State. A person started private school. But no parent was prepared to send their children to a private school because they always considered that Government school was the best school; it run properly with good teachers. पर यह अब उल्टा हो गया। Now, they say, "Who goes to Government school." The private schools are making money. Though the English people have gone, but the slavish mentality has not gone." I am forced to speak in English because our national leaders did not introduce our own language in the educational system when we were studying.

(Contd. by 3g/SKC)

3g/4.30/skc

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (CONTD.): I remember a dialogue between Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Please conclude.

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS: Jawaharlal Nehru said he would introduce it step-by-step; Mahatma Gandhi said, 'यदि करना है तो इसे एकदम से करिए, एक-दो-तीन कर के नहीं।' But we did not immediately change (Time-bell) our language policy - where the regional language should be used, what is the scope of the national link language, Hindi. We have not earmarked all these things. The resultant position is utter confusion and now many people think that they cannot survive without English. That is the pitiable situation of our country as far as education is concerned. There should be thorough reforms. Primary education must be strengthened.

(Ends)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, this is a very important Bill. Unfortunately, the time allotted is only four hours and quite a large number of Members are yet to speak. Unless you stick to the time-limit, it would take a lot of time. A large number of speakers are there.

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: It should have been more than four hours.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: It should have been. Yes. I agree. (Interruptions) That is correct. Now, Dr. Keshava Rao. Doctor saab, take only fifteen minutes.

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO (ANDHRA PRADESH): Sir, actually, I was recommending it to you that we must go back to BAC and ask them to give us more time because as you have yourself said from the Chair, it is an important Bill. Anyway, I shall not take much time.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Still, please, take only 15 minutes.

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: All right, Sir. Having been invited at this stage of the debate, when all the people have spoken about various issues, I don't think there is much left to me but nonetheless, I shall confine only to the principles and the philosophy behind what my friends have said. I would like to take Mr. Shyam Benegal first. It has always been discussed but let me tell you, Sir, perhaps, education is the only subject under the Sun about which everything, sense and nonsense, has been spoken by everybody -- father is an expert; son is an expert; student is an expert; neighbour is an expert; everybody is an expert in education. There are a lot of educationists here; there are a lot of academicians here; and there might be a few unfortunate people like me who have handled the portfolio of education for long, longer than perhaps necessary. And none of us has ever addressed any issue about academics except Service transfers, salary payments and those sort of things. So, education really needs some kind of a focus. But education is something which everybody has been talking about. Now, I shall go to Shrimati Najma Heptullah; she has talked about knowledge-based education. The same thing has been talked about by Shri Jois. Now, you had comprehensive and integrated personality to be built up. I am not trying to quote Vivekananda and say, look, after all, the latent thing has to be brought out; it has to be mid-wifery. Whatever it is; other than mid-wifery there also has to be something more. Now, Mr. Shyam Benegal has made a very important point, that is, the oral and literacy. Now, we have been fighting on this point for long. While he has spoken about this, I would like to take him to the other experience of all of us. We had two schooling systems in this country. One is the school where

Mr. Kapil Sibal wants us to go; another is what Shyam Benegal controls. While in my book I am told that I must speak the truth, while I am told that I should do this and I should do that and respect my parents and so on, there is another school that is known as cinema. He referred to only the TV, but I am referring to cinema. You sit for three and a half hours. Any person who has a baby, a girl, and is a smuggler, a villain, is now elevated to a style where, for two and half hours, he becomes the hero of every youth and adolescent, adolescent in the maladjustment stage. So much so, that in the last one sentence, if at all that boy is able to take care, he repents and the hero comes into the picture. Now, we have all the schools with all the money that is being spent, money to the tune of Rs.34,000 crores, but we have the other system in the hands of the few who can as well challenge you. Now, these things are going on. So, when Najma Heptullaji and other hon. Members raise the issue as to what exactly it should mean, we must differentiate between the oral knowledge and literacy. Sir, let me say one thing whether it is good or bad, because N.K. Singh sahib had brought in all the infirmities and a few issues which should be looked into by the Minister, as far as this Bill is concerned.

(Contd. By 3h/hk)

HK/3h/4.35

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO (CONTD.): Mr. N.K. Singh *sahab* has brought in all the infirmities. I would say 'infirmities'. Still there are few issues which will be looked into by the hon. Minister as far as this Bill is concerned. He has brought in four points plus another oversight point. I would not repeat them. But where there is necessity, I would only

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

highlight them. Mr. Bagrodia has given all the figures -- the budgetary figures and allocations from the day one to this day. Although I have got all the right, but I thought I would be only speaking on the kind of hypocrisy that we have and the kind of absolutely dual personality that we have developed over education. Now, what exactly is the education that we are talking about? I am not trying to go into education canvas and all that. I would say, today we are talking over the greatest thing. If destiny had any meaning, this is the tryst with sentiments and happy tryst wherein we would like to take all the children to some system known as education and try to see that they transform themselves from that type of environmental condition to what is futuristic. That is what we exactly meant with this.

Mr. Raja wanted to say something about 0 to 3 and 3 to 6. So far as 0 to 3 and 3 to 6 are concerned, ICDS is looking into that. That is the primary education. What this Bill is trying to attempt or address is a fundamental right or a legal right that should be given to children who are born here. Be it a rich man or a poor man, he has a right to go and have an education. That is what we are trying to achieve. About infirmities I would talk later on. This Bill refers to age and language. The Bill says, 'As far as possible, the primary education shall be in the mother tongue.' I don't know what 'as far as possible' connotes. When you talk to me, first of all, I listen to you in your language, the language you speak and try to understand it in my language, or, to understand it, or, assimilate it in two languages -- one what you spoke and the language that I understand, then assimilate it, and then reproduce it in a language that you are trying to teach me without my grammar and all

blundering contents. Let me submit, Sir, that language is a concept. Unfortunately, we have made language an issue, a subject. It is a concept. It is a cultural concept. If somebody says in London that Mr. Shyam Benegal is a good boy, people think that he is alert, he has etiquettes, he is punctual, he respects and bows down to people and he knows how to conduct himself. If you say the same thing in India, that means he is truthful, he cares for his mother, he respects elders and he is God-fearing. So, there is entire difference from A to Z. We have not understood that culture that he represents. All that we are trying to talk about is language. And then at what age? You said that it is a particular age when a boy is trying to transform himself. If that is the age when he does not understand the language which he is supposed to understand and try to assimilate it and reproduce it in other language, what would happen to language? Mahatma Gandhi said, as you have just now quoted, "Four years I took to understand Science and Mathematics. Had it been in my own Gujarati I could have understood it in one year." I am telling you that it is true. I have been a teacher, I have been a lecturer, I have been an academician and I have been holding the portfolio of education, and I am telling you that that is true. I have been associated with most of the committees right from Kothari Commission. As you have said, Kothari Commission said, "the nation is re-building the classrooms." He did not mean the blackboard, he did not mean the soulless desks that lie before the students and he did not talk about the chalk. He talked of human element as a teacher who is there. He did not try to talk about the brick and the mortar that made

the classroom. He talked about the love that was to build in; but that we have forgotten.

Today, coming down to Bill that I thought we would be able to discuss when the Demands for Grants were here, but, unfortunately, the Demands are being guillotined here and the Bill has come. Before I take up the Bill, I would like to raise one thing. It is a very serious issue which has already been raised. I am joining my colleagues here. What exactly is the Standing Committee's job? When we thought of a standing committee, we thought of it as not only oversight committee, but as a mini parliament. Because we don't have time so, in Committees, we go and discuss things among ourselves; we call the experts and know from them what exactly we need to be told and explain our stand. Thus they try to marry the two -- one is the people's version and another is the technical difficulties.

(Contd. by 3j/KSK)

KSK/4.40/3J

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO (CONTD): One is the people's version. The other aspect is the technical difficulties. Then, how does the Standing Committee comes with these reports? Is the Standing Committee thrown out? Is the Standing Committee has given you 21 recommendations in the report that you have which you have discussed? I think, they even asked the Chairman for extension of time. That has not been taken care as Mr. N.K. Singh said. It hurts us. It is not because we are Members of the Standing Committee, but we are dealing with a subject which touches something like 20 crore people in that age group. Now, Shri Santosh Bagrodia stated some figures about

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

dropout rates. I am not disputing his figures that dropout rates have come down. Sir, let me tell you that there are two-three types of figures. The World Bank gives one figure. The Minister gives the other figure. The NGOs give some other figures. And, the NCERT gives other figures. So, let us not really bother about these figures, and it is true also. The dropout figures, which you are trying to object to, up to fifth standard, it is 32 per cent. The Government has agreed to 28 per cent. All right, some kind of neighbourhood is there. Now, up to seventh standard, one study says that is 68 per cent, although we are saying that it is 48.7 per cent. Please, look into that. And, why are these dropout rates? Regarding appointment of teachers, through you, Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to one important clause of the Bill which says that appointment of teachers will be done by the Central Government. What are the requirements in Srikakulam district of Andhra Pradesh State? Unity does not mean uniformity. The educational needs of our people in hilly areas, the educational needs of people in tribal areas, the educational needs of people in plain areas are different from that of those living in Kashmir. This has been said not once but many times. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, through you, I would like to beg and submit to hon. Minister, it has been said umpteen times by almost all the Committees. That is why, education is a State subject. It comes to Concurrent List where you want to maintain some kind of unity, uniformity and coordination. This coordination is being stretched to a level where you want to appoint a teacher for a school in Jharkhand. A tribal lady in Jharkhand is to be told as to who will teach her. And, you will tell them what will be the qualification of a teacher.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Quite possible that a particular school in Jharkhand does not require A,B,C to be taught as literacy. It wants something else to be taught which prepares a ground for them to understand A,B,C. Education is a step-wise evolution. So, this aspect must be understood by the Government. All these things have already been discussed by educational experts. Now, coming to the Bill, he has referred to only four points. Reviewing your fourth point, I would like to tell what is happening about the teachers. Sir, he mentioned about curricula. I just don't know what this National Curriculum Framework is. I have also objected to this in the Standing Committee. What is this National Curriculum? You have been talking about Europe; you have been taking the example of Japan and America. It is all right when it comes to graduation and post-graduation level. But, at matriculation level, or less than matriculation, or upper primary, what is needed is personality development, the assimilation of the knowledge, assimilation of, as Mr. Shyam Benegal said, the environment in which we live, and our responses towards it, and the society's response to your responses. These are the two main criteria which can only be implemented when you totally de-link, de-couple your entire educational sphere and educational philosophy from that. Now, former U.G.C. Chairman, Prof. Yashpal, gave a report. I am not trying to dispute him or join issue with him at this stage. Now, somebody just now said we give priority to higher education. Because the Bill is before us, we are giving priority to primary education. But, let me tell you, if it was in my hand, I would have had put an end to everything, put a big full stop to everything. Let the primary education, the secondary education, this basic education be

given to everybody and they become responsive enough to future's challenges. Then, I get up to your bigger challenges of the professions, bigger challenges of technology and other things. What exactly the FICCI has said. The FICCI said that there would be something like 4.7 lakh direct appointments through the IT companies.

(continued by 3k/gsp)

GSP-AKA/4.45/3k

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO (CONTD.): It is all right in the foreign trade, foreign mercantile; you have more people. Few of you can speak English and can stay in those houses, but please don't penalise us for that and ask us to go through the curricula and the subjects that we should read. That is why, I am trying to tell you that there is a lot of difference.

Coming to the Bill, I have not understood the Section 2. I am mixing up all the things because of lack of time. Mr. Minister may please excuse me for jumping from one issue to the other. Sir, coming to private schools, kindly look into clause 2(n), if you are asking them to go by your own norms, that you have given, it will stand the scrutiny of the law because clause 13 is also there, which comes to the picture, and, this will be looked into. (Time-bell)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Dr. Rao, the time is over. Please. (Interruptions)

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: Sir, we are talking about the quantity. We are trying expansions. I believe, this morning, and, I stand to be corrected if it is not so, the Minister seems to have said, we will have three thousand neighbouring schools. What is this three thousand? I have

not understood. Today, we have something like nearly one crore schools all over the country for something like 18.24 lakh school habitats. If the figure of 3,000 is true, then, I think, it is too meagre and it makes no sense as far as this Bill is concerned.

Now, what exactly we must welcome is that this is the first Government which has accepted that it is the responsibility of the State to educate a boy or a girl, to care for a boy or a girl. According to me, education here is to care for a boy or a girl, take him or her along with you so that he or she has a big canvass, vision and he or she can walk alone. For that, you require quality.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: Please. (Interruptions) There are three more speakers from your party.

DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: Sir, I will take only a minute more. Sir, take for example the geography book that is taught to us only at class third. We get dropped out at the eighth class. First chapter says that the earth is round. For a person who is getting dropped out, whether it is round or flat, what difference does it make? Friedman says, 'the brain power'. The second chapter says about the longitudes, which neither you nor I have seen. But this chapter runs into four pages. Next chapter says, the world is divided into six continents. Whether it is six or twelve, what difference does it make to me, Sir? Fourth chapter starts with Europe, what is there in Liverpool; what does Eskimos wear; what can be grown; and, you will have all those things. Coming to Australia, you will know as to how the sheep are bred. Then comes Canada to tell you about the wheat which is there. So, all over the world, what can be produced, what is the weather, what is the climate, will be taught. Then it

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

mentions Asia in the last chapter. Only if the time is left, the teacher would take it up. Sir, even in Asia, India is the last lesson. (Time-bell) In India, your State is not there. Even if it is there, it will not come up at all because 230 days are over. Even if it comes up, what can be grown or produced in your village has not been taught. When this is not being taught, of what use it would be for me, Sir. You can understand.

So, firstly, Sir, please look into the needs of the boy, needs of the society in which you live, and, secondly, please see that when you are appointing teachers, it need not be your authority from Delhi or some other State. Why not let the school appoint its own teachers. As far as community schools are concerned -- actually, I experimented with this -- I said, let the local authorities or communities appoint, and, automatically, that man is there. So, these are few points. As you said, it is an important subject. I cannot take up in the plate all the things at the breakfast time but nonetheless it requires for you to understand it because education is not talking about 34 clauses that you have brought in, or, something which you think that we have discussed it like a great policy in the Standing Committee. It requires an incisive insight by you. You have some kind of committee. But I would still require that whatever be the Expert Committee -- the people sitting here know the people -- you call a meeting of the Members of Parliament and let there be a two-three days' seminar and discuss all the things. Thank you very much.

(Ends)

(Followed by yrsr-3l)

-GSP/YSR-NB/4.50/3L

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, सर्वप्रथम मैं माननीय मंत्री, श्री कपिल सिब्बल जी को इस बात के लिए बधाई देना चाहूंगा कि वे बालकों का निःशुल्क और अनिवार्य शिक्षा अधिकार विधेयक, 2008 लाए हैं। कम से कम आज़ादी के 60 सालों के बाद सरकार की समझ में यह बात आई कि ऐसा विधेयक होना चाहिए और उसमें ये व्यवस्थाएं होनी चाहिए। यदि ये व्यवस्थाएं पहले हुई होतीं, तो आज हमारे देश की स्थिति दूसरी होती और भारत का स्थान दूसरे स्थानों पर होता। इस विधेयक के जो उद्देश्य हैं, वे बहुत अच्छे हैं - समता, सामाजिक न्याय, लोकतांत्रिक मूल्य, न्यायसंगत एवं मानवीय समाज का सृजन, ये सब उद्देश्य हैं, लेकिन हमारे माननीय मंत्री जी जो चाहते हैं, क्या उस तरीके की व्यवस्था हमारे यहां है, क्या हमारे यहां उतने स्कूल हैं, जहां पर हम ये व्यवस्थाएं कर सकें, अपने यहां के सारे बच्चों को प्राइमरी एजुकेशन दे सकें? यह बहुत अच्छा सुझाव है कि प्राइमरी एजुकेशन अपनी मातृभाषा में ही होनी चाहिए। इसके साथ ही साथ यह देखना होगा कि हम किस प्रकार से अपनी एजुकेशन को आगे बढ़ाने के लिए इस क्षेत्र में private investments को लाएं। जब तक और ज्यादा स्कूल नहीं बनेंगे, तब तक स्कूलों के अंदर शिक्षकों और विद्यार्थियों का रेशियो ठीक नहीं होगा। मैं समझता हूं कि किसी भी विद्यालय में 25 बच्चों के ऊपर एक शिक्षक होना चाहिए, तभी उसे सही शिक्षा दी जा सकती है। अगर इससे अधिक विद्यार्थी होते हैं, तो सही शिक्षा नहीं दी जा सकती है। करप्शन की जो हालत है, व्यक्तिगत investment के द्वारा जो विद्यालय खोले जाते हैं, उनको परमीशन लेने के लिए कितनी कठिनाइयों का सामना करना पड़ता है, उस ओर मंत्री जी को ध्यान देना होगा। इसके अलावा हमें इस ओर भी ध्यान देना होगा कि जो हमारे सरकारी स्कूल हैं, उनकी क्वालिटी ऑफ एजुकेशन क्या है? जैसे कि मुझसे पहले बहुत से साथी वक्ताओं ने ये चीजें कहीं कि वहां क्वालिटी ऑफ एजुकेशन बहुत खराब है, अब लोग अपने बच्चों को सरकारी स्कूलों में नहीं, बल्कि प्राइवेट स्कूलों में भेजना चाहते हैं। हमें अपने सरकारी स्कूलों को इस तरह का बनाना पड़ेगा, ताकि लोग यह चाहें कि उनके बच्चे सरकारी स्कूलों में जाएं।

उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, नेबरहुड स्कूल का विचार बहुत अच्छा है, लेकिन नेबरहुड स्कूल के लिए यह आवश्यक है कि हर क्षेत्र में और हर सीमा में स्कूल खोले जाएं। इसके साथ-साथ

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

मैं एक बात और कहना चाहूंगा कि गवर्नमेंट की यह पालिसी है कि जो non-aided schools हैं, वहां पर ये 25 परसेंट का रिज़र्वेशन लाना चाहते हैं, अगर वे ऐसा करेंगे, तो शिक्षा को और पीछे ले जाएंगे, शिक्षा को आगे नहीं बढ़ा पाएंगे, क्योंकि वहां पर वे 25 परसेंट बच्चे निःशुल्क पढ़ेंगे और उनका burden या तो बाकी 75 परसेंट बच्चों पर पड़ेगा या फिर उसमें लोग आएंगे नहीं और स्कूलों को नहीं खोलेंगे। रिज़र्वेशन बहुत अच्छी चीज है, बच्चों को रिज़र्वेशन दिया जाना चाहिए, हर एक को शिक्षा का अधिकार मिलना चाहिए, लेकिन इसके लिए ऐसी व्यवस्था बनाई जाए कि अच्छे स्कूल हों, अच्छे टीचर्स हों और टीचर्स की ट्रेनिंग की भी व्यवस्था की जाए।

उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं आपका आभारी हूँ कि आपने मुझे इस बिल पर बोलने के लिए समय दिया और मैंने कोशिश की कि मैं उसी समय में अपनी बात पूरी करूँ। धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Shrimati Shobhana Bhartia, Dr. Kapila Vatsyayan has an appointment with the Prime Minister. She has requested to speak early. So let her speak now. I will call you after her.

DR. (SHRIMATI) KAPILA VATSYAYAN (NOMINATED): Sir, this bench has been called 'the mute wisdom bench.' As I heard all my colleagues speaking, I was speechless.

This Bill is very important and I think we need more time to discuss it. I have four points. I don't write, but I wrote seven pages.

(MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair)

Let me begin with history. History is not only Mahatma Gandhi. History goes back to Wood's Report of 1861, the Hunter Commission's Report of 1886, Mahatma Gandhi's *Hind Swaraj* of 1909, Tagore's speech of 1909, Arobindo's Uttarpara speech of 1906, and then Independent India's Reports of the Radhakrishnan Commission, the

Mudaliar Commission, and the Kothari Commission, and the NPE. Each of these Commissions has recommended three important things, not the Hunter Commission which wanted European knowledge to come here. One of them is 'character-building.' Second is 'value.' What kind of a future generation do we want? A generation of Indians who will be rooted, as Gandhiji said, both in India and the world.

(Contd. By VKK/3M)

-YSR/VKK-MP/3M/4.55

DR. (SHRIMATI) KAPILA VATSYAYAN (CONTD.): And that can only happen if we take cognizance of everything that has happened in educational pedagogy over the last 60 years. देर आयद दुरुस्त आयद, वह तो सब ठीक है, लेकिन आज देर आए, दुरुस्त आए, तो क्या आए? There are multiple intelligences. As Shyam has said, oral learning and literacy have to be brought together. There is a vast fund of oral knowledge in this country and I urge upon you to read one article, the article of A.K. Kumaraswamy which talks about the bugbear of literacy and education.

Then, there is the question in terms of how will we take the great human resource that we have in our communities. All these three leaders said that education is for the individual; it is for the community and the world. How do you bring the community into the schools? We have failed. I have been a cog in the wheel for many decades. Sir, I don't speak as someone who is just giving a speech. I have heard this with pain and agony. I have heard this because we have failed. I have heard this because I also recommended this Bill. But, it will have a very rocky part. I have sat there and I know what it means to implement this Bill.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Sir, questions regarding language have been raised. We are genetically a multilingual country. Why are we making this into either a unique, lingual or a prioritised language? We all speak four languages. We all can communicate in multiple languages. There is no question of prioritisation of one language or the other. And finally, Sir, a group of educationists sent a petition to the Standing Committee. This was considered in two days and seven hours in the IIC and cognizance may have been taken of those recommendations. That is all. I have much more to say on the details of this Bill. But, there is no time because we are regulated by the calendar time for matters which are of value. What kind of a citizen do we want for the future? Do we want people only speaking ungrammatical English at the end of 20 years? Do we want Indians rooted and yet able to grasp the world on their own terms and with their own civilisation? Thank you, Mr. Deputy Chairman.

(Ends)

SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA (NOMINATED): Sir, the Right to Education Bill has had a long journey and is a historic legislation. The enactment of a law making the right to education a Fundamental Right has become necessary in view of the fact that the goal of universal elementary education eludes us despite it being a Directive Principle for over half a decade. But, there is no better time than this for us to enact such a Bill as India is poised to take advantage of its demographic dividends. But, Sir, while I praise the Minister for introducing this Bill and to do so, so soon, I would like to urge upon him that we all want to make a few suggestions. Do not lose this opportunity and just get

numbers. We need to create minds if India has to become a knowledge economy.

Sir, to my mind, the Bill is over-skewed and focusses much on enrolment as opposed to quality of education and the basic minimum standards that are required. Any legislation that does not accord importance to learning outcomes, to my mind, remains slightly incomplete. Thus, this approach of input as opposed to the outcome is bound to actually slip into vicious cycle that leads to the dropout rate, increasing it at every successive level like, from the primary level to the secondary level. So, by the time, you actually turn out as graduates, Sir, it becomes abysmally small number and even there, only a handful of them are actually employable. So, the Bill has to address the twin problems of low quality of education and the high dropout rate which have plagued our country for the last many, many decades. In this context, Sir, there are three important issues that I would urge upon the Minister to consider -- the basic minimum qualification for teachers, the desired learning levels for students and the benchmarking of schools on the basis of quality. Sir, there is a very useful study that was conducted by McKenzie for the American system of education which actually quantifies the academic learning gap.

(Contd. by RSS/3n)

RSS/3n/5.00

SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA (CONTD.): And it says that the lack of productivity and innovation due to this learning gap is actually translating into 16 per cent of their GDP. Sir, America is the highest spending country in terms of per capita on education yet it ranks twenty-five. The lesson in that for us is that it is not only money, and it is not only availability that matters, it is a quality of education that matters.

Sir, the next point is that whereas the Bill actually focuses on the student-teacher ratio and in terms of the requirements of infrastructure, it remains silent in terms of the most important thing, and that is the basic teacher requirement. What are the minimum standards that we expect from teachers? What are the qualifications? Even there, the Central Government and its appropriate authorities have the flexibility to keep changing the requirements that we need from teachers. This low grade turnout of teachers which even UNESCO says today only 20-25 percent of the teachers are actually fit to teach, these teachers are not given any in-house training and the result is that the learning of students in the government primary schools is abysmally low. More than 50 per cent of the students cannot perform a simple mathematical solution; they cannot read a simple paragraph; a lot of this comes with sheer indifference and the paltry salaries that are paid to these teachers.

Sir, another point that I wanted to flag with the hon. Minister was that the Bill also makes it mandatory for non-government schools to gain a certificate from the Government which actually means putting a lot more power in the hands of many bureaucrats which will become a tool for harassing schools. In a country like ours where we need to

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

encourage the best and the brightest, any number of learning institutions, to my mind, is inadequate. On the other hand, there are no penalties for the government schools if they fail to meet the required infrastructure or the student-teacher ratio, and no penalty has been spelt out. Also, the implementation of this fundamental right is left to the State Government and the Local Government. It does not specify who is going to be accountable by being vague about it and saying that it is left to the State and the Centre, I believe, Sir, the issue might fall between two schools and nobody would be held accountable. Unlike some of the other Bills which the Government has introduced, whether it is the RTI or the NREG, accountability has been fixed either in terms of identifying people or in terms of punitive clauses. So, my request to the Minister is to please try and consider how best he can try and focus on who would be held accountable. The Bill also places the onus on the Government to ensure enrolment of all the children, but once again, it does not specify who in the Government or which agency is responsible for this. Therefore, it is unclear who would be the appropriate authority, for example, to monitor that working children, children who are living on the streets, who do not have parents, who do not have guardians, who would ensure that these children are enrolled in schools.

Sir, the last point is that the Government has given a three year window for the schools, for the State Governments to implement and roll out this plan in the neighbourhoods though the neighbourhoods are not even being defined. I would like to urge the Minister that if India has to meet its millennium development goals by 2015, then perhaps, this

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

window of three years is too long a time. It is a time we cannot afford, and I would urge him to re-look at that and try and upfront it more.

And lastly, Sir, once again, I would like to congratulate the Minister, but in all humility, I urge him not to rush through this Bill until and unless he is confident that what he will be producing for this country are not just numbers of enrolments but minds that can help take India to the next level.

(Ends)

SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI (TAMIL NADU): Thank you, Sir. In a country where there has been discrimination on the basis of gender, caste and class, and children have not been allowed education for centuries in the name of law, in the name of the ruler, I think, this Bill is a Bill which has come here to make a wrong which has been carried forward for centuries as a right. So, I really welcome this Bill as a product, and as a Member of the DMK party which is a continuation of the social justice movement. Sir, one of our greatest leaders today, Nelson Mandela says: Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world.

(contd. by 30)

MKS-MCM/5.05/30

SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI (CONTD.): The recent Yashpal Committee Report says: "A lot is taught, but little is learnt or understood." These two statements are clear indications of what we should aspire for and what we are. "We will bring children from our rural areas"--commented one of our educators from Tamil Nadu--"who are very happy playing and

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

rearing cattle. We bring them into the system. We try to educate them, but, after a few years, we tell them, "You are no good. You are good for nothing. Go back to the place where you came from!" Sir, this, actually, spoils their confidence throughout their life.

Sir, education is encouragement. It should awaken the natural curiosity of the young minds. We concentrate more on confining the curious young minds to classrooms and prescribed textbooks. The Bill places a great importance to the provision of physical infrastructure and other inputs, but there is no mention of an assessment of outcomes and learning achievements. With the provision 'that no child be held back, expelled or required to pass a board exam until completion of elementary education', which is a very welcome provision, it should not leave out the objective assessment of the child's learnt abilities.

"Right to Schooling" should take care to ensure that it is a "Right to Learning" also. But when we say "assessment", it should not mean what we have in the present way of examining the students. A child goes to school, and to learn a subject or a concept, it takes ten years. But we examine the child in just three hours, and are not bothered about what the child's state of mind is in that three hours, what his health conditions are or whether it is taken over by a fear. We try to examine, whatever the child has learnt over ten years, in just three hours. Actually, these examinations do not test the comprehension, the articulation or the capability of the child to apply the concept in real life. No child's ability can be tested this way. Actually, it is only a test of the child's writing skills.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Today, in a newspaper, there was a report which says that 44 per cent of students in Class V cannot read Class II books fluently. It also says that a survey done in ten districts in the country shows that 25 per cent of our teachers could not solve simple problems in Maths and less than 50 per cent could comprehend a Class V level textbook and summarise it.

Sir, I would also like to mention here what the Yashpal Committee Report says again. "Inadequate programme of teacher preparation leads to unsatisfactory quality of learning in schools." The continuing education and assessment of teachers must be stressed and incorporated into the Bill. Sir, as already mentioned by a lot of Members who spoke here, I also request that the Bill should expand its definition of "a child" and include "pre-primary education" too into it, and the age of the child for "compulsory education" and 'the Right to Education' has to be changed to 3-14 years of age.

Section 7 in Chapter III of this Bill states: "The Central Government and the State Governments shall have concurrent responsibility for providing funds for carrying out the provisions of this Act."

The responsibility and the limits of the Central and State Governments should be made very clear, and how the funding for the various projects undertaken to be implemented under this Bill should also be included in the Bill. Changes, of course, can be incorporated later.

Chapter III also mentions about "neighbourhood schools". It is very important to define "a neighbourhood school" because in every State, it

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

could differ. And the Bill also says that there is a time of three years for these "neighbourhood schools" to happen. The most important thing is what is going to happen to these children in these three years. As regards children who have to go to a school, how are we going to provide for their education? Are we going to send them to some private school? And is the Government going to fund for it? That has to be clarified. (Time Bell)

In Chapter IV, section 12C of the Bill says that 25 per cent of seats in a class have to be allotted to children belonging to weaker sections. I request that reservation also for the socially backward classes and sections has to be incorporated into it. It is a very important thing because it is just not that they have been discriminated monetarily, their discrimination is further than that.

(Contd. by TMV/3P)

-MKS-TMV-GS/3P/5.10

SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI (CONTD.): In a country like India, I think, discrimination on the basis of social systems is still very important. So, that has to be included. The Bill hardly mentions anything about out-of-school children. How are these children, who are drop-outs or never enrolled, going to be put in the mainstream? Now the SSA actually in its 'Back-to-School Scheme' has a bridging force which mainstreams these children. But with the SSA getting over in 2010, who will take the responsibility of these children?

The Bill states that the children will not be denied admission on account of any disability. It fails to acknowledge the additional facilities such as ramps, hurdle-free environment, special toilets, specially trained

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

teachers, etc., which need to be provided. Moreover, slow-learners and children who need specialised ways of teaching are not mentioned in the Bill at all. What kind of provisions are we going to make to ensure the inclusion of these children?

My last point is, Chapter VI says that National and State Commissions for Protection of Child Rights will inquire into complaints relating to a child's right to free and compulsory education and take necessary steps. We are all aware of the fact that in our culture child abuse and child sexual exploitation have never been brought up in public. But now things are changing and slowly these things have started being reported. For any child it is a very traumatic experience. (Time-bell)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI: Sir, I am concluding. An incident like this in a school premises would deter them from education for ever and leave them scarred. How would we deal with grievances like this when child sexual abuse cases are still dealt with by using the laws enacted for adults? I request the Chair for a discussion on this here. I hope some Members would support it. It is very important. Unless these laws are changed, we can't protect our children. Thank you, Sir, and once again I would like to congratulate the Government for bringing in this Bill.

(Ends)

डा० राम प्रकाश (हरियाणा) : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं आपको धन्यवाद देता हूँ कि आपने इस महत्वपूर्ण विधेयक पर अपनी बात कहने का मौका दिया है। यह एक ऐसा ऐतिहासिक विधेयक है जिससे न केवल बच्चों के व्यक्तित्व का विकास होगा, बल्कि इस देश में बाल मजदूरी की जो कुप्रथा है, उसे भी समाप्त करने में मदद मिलेगी। जब हम अपने आपको इस

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

बात के लिए तैयार कर रहे हैं कि पूरे देश में बच्चों को शिक्षा दी जा सके, तो इसके लिए मेरा यह सुझाव रहेगा कि जिस तरह से श्री राजीव गांधी जी ने अपने समय में एक सर्वे के आधार पर "चैलेंज ऑफ एजुकेशन" एक डाक्युमेंट तैयार किया था, उसी आधार पर सरकार प्रदेशवार सर्वे करवाए कि कितने प्रतिशत बच्चे स्कूलों में दाखिला लेते हैं? और पांचवीं तथा आठवीं के बाद कितने प्रतिशत बच्चे स्कूल छोड़ देते हैं? वे स्कूल क्यों छोड़ जाते हैं ? इसके पीछे सामाजिक पृष्ठभूमि क्या है और उसका कारण क्या है ? देश में आज जो आठवीं तक के स्कूल विद्यमान हैं, उनकी स्थिति क्या है ? पुस्तकालय है, चारदीवारी है, ब्लैक बोर्ड है, अध्यापक है, कमरे हैं या नहीं हैं ? साथ ही यह भी देखा जाए कि "सर्व शिक्षा अभियान" जो चलाया गया, उसका क्या परिणाम रहा है ? पांचवीं के बाद अधिकांश बच्चे पढ़ाई छोड़ जाते हैं, आठवीं के बाद कुछ और बच्चे छोड़ जाते हैं, इसका कारण क्या है? मैं समझता हूं कि इसके दो मुख्य कारण हैं। एक, समाज का नजरिया, जागरूकता का अभाव, शिक्षा के प्रति कम आकर्षण और दूसरा कारण संसाधनों का अभाव है। विशेषकर गांवों में लड़कियों के माता-पिता दूसरे गांव में लड़की को पढ़ने के लिए भेजने को तैयार नहीं होते हैं और इसीलिए बच्चे आगे पढ़ने से रह जाते हैं। इसके अन्य कारण भी हैं, जैसे - गवर्नमेंट स्कूलों में योग्य अध्यापक होते हुए भी, सुविधाएं होते हुए भी, पढ़ाई ना के बराबर है। जहां उसमें अध्यापक जिम्मेदार है, वहां सरकार भी, जो उनसे अनेक प्रकार के काम लेती है, जिसमें से कुछ कामों पर रोक भी लगाई गई है, मैं समझता हूं कि अध्यापकों से कोई गैर-शैक्षणिक काम नहीं लिया जाना चाहिए। उसका अपना काम बहुत महत्वपूर्ण है और उसे वही काम करने देना चाहिए। जो बच्चे स्कूल नहीं जाते हैं, उनमें से बहुत से बच्चे स्लम एरिया के हैं और कुछ बच्चे माइग्रेटरी लेबर के हैं।

(3क्यु पर जारी)

ASC-RG/5.15/3Q

डा. राम प्रकाश (क्रमागत) : जब उनके मां-बाप मजदूरी करने जाते हैं तो बड़ा बच्चा छोटे बच्चे की देखभाल करता है। इस वजह से वह स्कूल जाने से रह जाता है। हमें फ्री स्कूल एजुकेशन के लिए ज्यादा आंगनबाड़ियां खोलनी चाहिएं और उनके नामर्स बदलने चाहिएं। 500 की आबादी पर एक आंगनबाड़ी की बजाय हमें बच्चों की संख्या तय करनी चाहिए

क्योंकि जिस इलाके में अमीर घराने ज्यादा हैं, वहां पर पढ़ने वाले बच्चों की संख्या कम होगी और जहां गरीब बच्चे रहते हैं, वहां पर तादाद ज्यादा होगी। आंगनबाड़ी का भवन स्कूल के अंदर होना चाहिए, स्कूल से बाहर नहीं होना चाहिए। इससे बच्चे को स्कूल में जाने की आदत पड़ती है। महोदय, मैं इसके साथ यह भी कहना चाहूंगा कि नजमा जी ने जो एक बहुत अच्छा मुद्दा उठाया है कि प्राइमरी एजुकेशन का आरंभ मातृ भाषा से होना चाहिए। दूसरी भाषा कोई भी हो, वह किसी और क्लास से भी पढ़ाई जा सकती है। भाषा का व्यक्ति की संस्कृति से बड़ा गहरा संबंध है। मेरे एक बहुत सात्विक, निष्ठावान जैन बंधु मुझसे बातचीत करते-करते अपने बारे में कहने लगे, "I had killed two birds with one stone." मैंने उनसे पूछा, आपने पक्षी मारने कब से शुरू कर दिए? वे झेंप गए और कहने लगे कि दोष मेरा नहीं है, यह भाषा का दोष है। अगर मैं हिन्दी में बोलता तो मैं "आम के आम, गुठलियों के दाम" कहता। महोदय, मैं आप से दूसरी बात यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि हरियाणा के अंदर श्री भूपेन्द्र सिंह हुड्डा के मुख्य मंत्रित्व काल के अंदर कांग्रेस की सरकार ने एक तजुर्बा किया है, जो बहुत कामयाब रहा है। हमारे यहां हरियाणा सरकार ने यह नियम बनाया कि कोई भी शैड्यूल्ड कास्ट बच्ची अगर पहली से पांचवीं क्लास तक स्कूल जाएगी तो उसे 150 रुपए प्रति माह वजीफा मिलेगा और यदि लड़का जाएगा तो उसे 100 रुपए मिलेगा। छठी क्लास से आठवीं तक के लड़के को 150 रुपए और लड़की को दो सौ रुपए मिलेंगे। पांचवीं क्लास तक लड़कियों की वर्दी के लिए 300 रुपए और पांचवीं क्लास के बाद आठवीं तक वर्दी के लिए 500 रुपए दिए जाएंगे। इसका क्या परिणाम निकला? यह जो नियम है, यह अनुसूचित जाति और बैकवर्ड क्लास, जिसको हरियाणा में BCA कहते हैं, मैं OBC की बात नहीं कह रहा हूं, BCA और BPL के ऊपर लागू किया गया। इसका परिणाम यह निकाला कि आज हरियाणा के अंदर एनरॉलमेंट लगभग शतप्रतिशत है और ड्रॉप आउट रेट बहुत कम है। क्योंकि जो गरीब मजदूरी करने जाता है, उसे इस बात का पता है कि मेरा बच्चा स्कूल में जाएगा, साथ पढ़ेगा और साथ कमाकर लाएगा। हमें इस तर्ज के ऊपर काम करना चाहिए। हरियाणा में ऐसे सभी बच्चों के बैंक एकाउंट्स खोल दिए गए हैं और उनका पैसा सीधे उसमें जमा कर दिया जाता है।

महोदय, मैं आप से एक और निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ। मैं नेबरहुड कंसर्न में यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि अगर हम इसे लागू करना चाहते हैं तो कम से कम हर गांव में पांचवीं क्लास तक का स्कूल होना चाहिए। अगर आठवीं क्लास तक की बच्चियों के लिए व्यवस्था कर सकें तो बहुत उचित रहेगा। यहां हम पेरेंट्स के कर्तव्यों की बात करते हैं कि उन्हें अपने बच्चों को स्कूल में भेजना चाहिए, सवाल यह है कि अगर कोई मां-बाप अपने बच्चे और बच्ची को स्कूल में पढ़ने के लिए नहीं भेजते हैं तो उनको क्या दंड मिलना चाहिए? हम उनको अलग-अलग स्कीमों के आधार पर जो सुविधाएं देते हैं, हमें उनसे वे सुविधाएं वापस ले लेनी चाहिए। इसी तरह मैं यह निवेदन भी करना चाहूंगा कि जिस बात की चर्चा इस बिल के अंदर नहीं है कि जो व्यवसायिक घराने हैं, जो औद्योगिक घराने हैं, उनकी यह जिम्मेवारी होनी चाहिए कि जो वहां लेबर काम करते हैं, जो उनके लो पेड एम्पलॉइज हैं, उनके बच्चों के लिए स्कूल की व्यवस्था वे औद्योगिक घराने करें। इसको उनके साथ कम्पलसरी किया जाए, क्योंकि बिना कम्पलसरी किए हमारे औद्योगिक घराने R&D पर उतना खर्च नहीं करते, जितना उन्हें करना चाहिए। यह व्यवस्था भी की जानी चाहिए। इसके साथ ही मैं यह बात भी कहना चाहता हूँ कि हमें किसी न किसी क्लास में या आठवीं क्लास में इम्तिहान की व्यवस्था जरूर करनी चाहिए। यहां पर यह बात कही गई है कि हम उसको किसी क्लास में रोकेंगे नहीं। अगर एक बच्चे को चौथी क्लास का हिसाब नहीं आता है, आप उसे छठी क्लास में बैठा देते हैं, तो वह क्या सीखेगा? इससे अच्छा तो आप उसे चौथी क्लास में ही रखिए, ताकि कम से कम वह चौथी क्लास तो पास कर ले। अगर वह बिना कुछ जाने छठी क्लास में बैठेगा, तो वह अपने आस-पास के बच्चे को भी पढ़ने से खो देता है। आप इम्तिहान से कहां तक बचेंगे?

(क्रमशः 3R/LP पर)

3r/5.20/lp-ks

डा. राम प्रकाश (क्रमागत): जीवन अपने आप में एक परीक्षा है। यह आदमी को सफलता और विफलता के बीच में संतुलन बनाने में मददगार रहती है। मैं यह समझता हूँ कि फेल न करना, रियायती पास लिख देना, इससे उसकी योग्यता का किसी किस्म का कोई मापदण्ड नहीं होता है। इससे बच्चे नकल करते हैं, वे जिम्मेदार नागरिक नहीं बन पाते हैं, उनकी

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

योग्यता पर किसी किस्म का कोई भरोसा नहीं हो पाता है। मैं अंतिम बात यह कहना चाहूंगा कि यहां पर क्वालिटी एजुकेशन का प्रश्न उठा है। मैं यह समझता हूँ कि चैप्टर 1 में जो परिभाषाएं दी गई हैं, उनमें अगर आप एलिमेंट्री एजुकेशन को डिफाइन करते वक्त, जैसे वहां लिखा है, elementary education means education from class 1 to class 8. अगर आप इसमें क्वालिटी एजुकेशन शब्द यही जोड़ दें तो आपकी समस्या का समाधान होता है। मैं इस बात का पक्षधर हूँ कि सभी बच्चों को, वे जब किसी स्कूल में पढ़ने के लिए जाएं, उनका खान-पान, पहरावन सब बराबर होना चाहिए, शिक्षा की पद्धति बराबर होनी चाहिए। अलग-अलग प्रदेशों के हिसाब से उनका सिलेबस भिन्न हो सकता है। यदि हम उन्हें एक अच्छा नागरिक बनाना चाहते हैं तो हमें इस बात की ओर ध्यान देना पड़ेगा। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं यूपीए सरकार को इस बात के लिए बधाई देता हूँ। मैं श्रीमती सोनिया गांधी, मनमोहन सिंह जी और अपने शिक्षा मंत्री जी को बधाई देता हूँ, जिन्होंने बहुत उत्साह के साथ यह बिल पेश किया है। मैं यह समझता हूँ कि यदि सभी मिलकर प्रयास करें तो हिंदुस्तान के माथे पर जो अनपढ़ता का कलंक है, वह दूर किया जा सकता है। आपने मुझे बोलने का मौका दिया, एक बार फिर आपका बहुत धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

DR. BIMAL JALAN (NOMINATED): Thank you, Sir. I shall try to be brief because a lot has been said. The most important point that I want to make is, if India wants to do it, it can. The question is not of what we want to do, which the Bill tells us, which the Directive Principles tell us, the Constitutional provisions of 2002 tell us; it is method of how to do it. Here, Sir, since we have Mr. Kapil Sibal as that new Minister for education, I would make two sets of suggestions, very briefly. One is about knowing what to do, and in knowing what to do, we have to take into account the fact that we now have more than 60 years of experience in trying to what we want to do. In many areas we have achieved success: Space, Nuclear Energy, Right to Information,

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Democracy, Elections. There are a lot of areas where India has done what it wants to do. So, there is no reason why we can't do what we want to do in the field of primary education. So, I believe that we can do it. My suggestion to Mr. Sibal, to the Department of Education is: one, we must do a survey in each district. I am bringing here some of my own experience from other fields to this field of primary education. If you look at each district in our country, there would be some parts of that district where the education system works, where the drop out rate is low. As we know, the rate of drop outs from 15-20 per cent to 70-80 per cent in different districts. So, we want to know why is it that in the same State, with the same rules, with the same amount of money, some areas work better than others. This is a matter of research which can be done and I can tell you that we have the institutions which can do a sample survey to tell you on the ground what works and why it works in some places in the same district and doesn't work in others. I know it. We have done this kind of a study for bridges, tubewells, water availability, failure of irrigation, and we found that in the same district, we found it worked in some areas while in other areas it did not work because the methods were different. What works in Meghalaya may not work in Karnataka; what works in Karnataka may not work in Tamil Nadu. So, we need a decentralised ground-level study. The second related suggestion to the Minister of Human Resource Development is: let us do a survey of teachers; it is not about opinions; it is about the problems that the teachers face in all parts of the country. Take a representative random sample. This study may take about six months but we can try and get the views of the teachers from all over India.

This can be segmented by both, levels of income in different areas as well as the type of hinterland that we have. The rural areas may be different, the urban areas may be different, but we have institutions that can do this kind of study.

(Contd. by 3s -- TDB)

TDB/3S/5.25

DR. BIMAL JALAN (CONTD.): Based on this study, I think, we can make a programme which will actually work and give us the results.

Now, Sir, I would like to say two other things from the user's point of view, which have worked in other areas. It is, why can't we also have -- I would suggest to the hon. Minister -- the Right to Education PCO, as you call the Public Call Office. If somebody in a family, some student in a family, is not getting the education of the type which want him to drop out, they can go to that PCO. There can be a PCO at every ten kilometres, which is based on the person who goes there and says, 'I want to go to this school. My interest is this graphic, non-graphic, and where can I do it?' So, there should be this advisory service available to him or her or the family to do what needs to be done. If there is a problem in getting that education, if there is a problem in getting something, they can, at least, Sir, report that and then the solution can be found. It is not a complaint. It is trying to find a solution to a problem, and we can do that.

Finally, Sir, we should understand, what every school needs are two things. One is teachers; the second is the method of teaching; the trained teachers and the method of teaching. You need paper; -- I have been to many, many of these schools -- you have blackboards without

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

chalks; you have Graphic Teachers without paper. So, what I would suggest to Mr. Sibal is to find a methodology whereby the Principal is allocated resources, a certain amount of autonomous resources which would give them the empowerment. So, provide these resources, and these resources would be per-pupil in a school. That is, in addition to all the other infrastructure and payment for teachers and so on, what is the amount of money which is needed to provide all the services to that student in terms of other things, paper, equipment and so on and so forth? So, I would make that suggestion to Mr. Sibal. These are all just ideas, vague ideas, at the moment, but I am sure that it can be crystallised. So, we know what needs to be done. We must have the belief that we can do it. It may not be done in five years, in ten years, but we can certainly deliver. India can do what it wants to do. And the second is to find a methodology, whereby we have a PCO-type of arrangement, where somebody can go, get the advice, get the best service. We should also provide for empowerment of the Principals. If the Principals want to change the infrastructure, to do something, to provide something, they don't have to go to the State Government or the District Collector, but the Principal herself or himself can do it. Thank you, Sir.

(Ends)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, Shri Sharad Joshi. You have five minutes.

SHRI SHARAD ANANTRAO JOSHI (MAHARASHTRA): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, now we are almost at the end of this debate, and there has been no dissenting voice as regards the objective of the Bill, that

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

there should be access to education for all children in this country and they should have a right to compulsory education. This was stated in the Directive Principles of the Constitution itself. It is after 58 years, Sir, that we realise that despite what is said in the Directive Principles, we have not succeeded in achieving even universal literacy, forget about universal primary education. And, under these circumstances, having wasted 58 years, we are now trying to rush in. The Report of the Standing Advisory Committee was kept aside, and even now we are being doled out a minute or two minutes for saying whatever is important. I think in the last one hour, there has been a definite qualitative improvement in the inputs that were made in the Rajya Sabha, and I still feel, strongly feel, that we have 58 years behind us, and nothing is going to be lost even if the Bill is passed tomorrow. You will have to alter the programme. But this is a very important Bill because this is an area where for the last 58 years we have failed, and the Bill that has come before us, Sir, I regret to say, is not a Right to Education Bill, it is a right to formal schooling Bill. It is not a Right to Education Bill. One must make a difference between what is education, what is knowledge, what is three Rs and what is schooling. What we are being offered is schooling and neither knowledge nor education.

Sir, I have a number of points, but I will only make a couple of points so that I stick to the time. I remember, Dr. White, an eminent Australian pedagogue mentioned that 'my father wanted me to have good education. Therefore, he never sent me to school'. The idea that in the school you get education and you become wise is a very old idea. It is not necessarily true. You may pick up certain rudiments of three Rs,

but that also means that some of the knowledge that you have earned earlier before going to the school is lost.

(Contd. by 3t-kgg)

kgg/3t/5.30

SHRI SHARAD ANANTRAO JOSHI (contd.): Sir, what is the hard core of the problem? We have the tribals who have difficulty in going to the school, we have the bonded labour children who have difficulty in going to the school, then we have, Sir, the migratory labour who have difficulty in going to the school. The most important hard core of the problem is, the children from agricultural families who have difficulty in going to the school. Now, the approach should be, of course, there should be a word like right or responsibility; but the important thing is, are we providing the facilities for schooling? This is number one. Are we giving them any enabling instruments that will encourage them to go to the school.

Sir, let me talk about my experience about the children of farmers. The farmers do not like to send their children to the school because their impression is, once they go to the school, they lose the habit of hard work that is required by agriculture; they start dressing well and do not like to work on the agriculture at all. Not only that, the instinctive knowledge that they had about the agriculture is also lost when they go to the schooling. Schooling often becomes negative knowledge rather than positive knowledge.

Sir, under these circumstances, I would say that there are a number of contradictions, a number of holes in the Bill as it has come today. I will just read out the points so as not to take more time.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Firstly, there is a distinction between providing a right and providing a facility. There is no positive action taken to enable children who cannot go to the school to come to the school. For example, this is going to be a flagship programme of the UPA. That is why we are trying to rush it in 100 days. Sir, if we only combine two flagship programmes and widen the scope on NREGA to include in NREGA work on the agricultural fields where a child has been compulsorily sent to the school, the father will not have any complaint about sending the child; he cannot send the child to the school today because there is nobody to work in the fields. If NREGA and this flagship programme is combined, it will make it much easier for the farmers to send their children to the school.

Sir, there is one very important point which was raised recently. There are two schools of thoughts among the pedagogues; there is a nurturing school of thought, which is, I think, like the Lady Montessori, who believe in nursing the children. And there is a school of thought which believes that their instinctive gut feeling, instinctive enterprise of the children should be encouraged.

Abolition of examinations starting from the I standard to the last standard is a very negative step; and at the time when the hon. Minister for Human Resources is trying to bring in foreign universities in this country, I think, we will make a very poor show. We want to expose ourselves to globalisation and, at the same time, wish to take away all the competitive elements that exist in our present system.

Sir, there are a number of contradictions. In clause 4-5, for example, they say that special tuitions ought to be provided; in clause

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

28 it is said that all kinds of private tuitions would be banned. I do not know how this kind of a contradiction could have survived there.

Then, Sir, the neighbourhood school has not been defined. Najma Heptullaji has pointed that out. Unless the neighbourhood school, particularly for the girl child, is less than 3 kms. away, it is not to be left to anybody; but 3-5 kms. away does not constitute a 'neighbourhood school'.

Sir, the last point that I would like to make is that there are certain clauses which are brought in and there will have to be some correction done about it; for example, the reservations for economically weak classes is something that is not in the system. I do not know how it got its way in the Bill. We will have to do something; because, otherwise, on this particular clause, the whole Bill may get rejected in the judiciary. I think, the Minister will at least comment on this and say where did he get the idea that the reservation for weaker section can be introduced in the Bill.

Sir, we are in a country where 50 per cent of the voters vote; we are in a country where 40 per cent of the girls marry before the age of 40. In this kind of a situation, if you think that by merely saying there is a legislation and by merely saying that there is a right and compulsion to act and that there would be a qualitative change, you are living in a fools paradise. Fifty years hence, I am afraid, we still will be in the same position and will still be talking of why India is half illiterate.

Thank you.

(Ends)

(Followed by kls/3u)

KLS/3U-5.35

DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE (MAHARASHTRA): Thank you, Sir, for giving me an opportunity. I rise to congratulate Shri Sibalji and also support the Bill. Sir, universalisation of primary education has been a long dream for us and we could not fulfil it for hundred years. In 1910, Gopal Krishan Gokhale had introduced a Bill in the Imperial Council but that was defeated. The dream was deferred and deferred for a very long time and now our hon. Minister, Sibalji, has got the historic moment to introduce this Bill in this House. Sir, it is our desire, of the Indian people. All the social reformers, national leaders, all of them have talked about universalisation of education, that is, primary education. But now we are going to have a chance. We have always been saying that Indian education is at crossroads and for 100 years, even after 60 years of our Independence, we could not cross the crossroads. But now this Government has the moment of crossing the crossroads. So, that is why it has got its own historical importance. All children of marginal sections, deprived sections are going to get a chance, a right to education. But only by passing the Bill, perhaps, all of them will not get education as a right. For that we have to try our best. You have not given me much time but this particular Bill has many salient features, many provisions have been made. The teacher is the focal point in the system of education. What I feel is that the teacher is Achilles' heel in our education system. He has to be trained. His training must be given as much importance as we give importance to the education for children. Unless he knows his trade it is not possible to have quality education in this country. So, accountability has to be fixed on the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

teacher. Some clause has to be inserted about it. There are two or three things to which I would like to draw the attention of the House. The Bill does not mention the medium of instruction. We have been talking about it, the Kothari Commission also talked about this. We have a clear-cut language policy that primary education has to be given in the mother tongue. We have 22 languages, which we have recognised as Official Languages in this country. So, that has to be made very clear in this particular Bill. Sir, one shortcoming, which I have come across, is that there is no clause of goals and objectives of primary education. Definitions have been given but especially in such Acts there is a clause, a section, an article defining the objectives and goals of primary education. There are many things; for instance, we have to inculcate cultural values in the minds of the students. Ours is a secular society, we have to inculcate the ethos of secularism, the rights of citizens and all that. So, this also should be there. After all, we are going to march towards an inclusive society.

(Contd by 3W)

SSS/3W/5.40

DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE (CONTD.): So, this is there. Development of personality is the primary goal of education. 'The destiny of India is being shaped in the classrooms.' This is the sentence with which the Kothari Commission opens. So, classroom is very important. School is micro society or micro community, and, therefore, we have to build this particular community. So, there are various ways but the destiny of India primarily depends on education. This is my submission. Thank you very much.

(Ends)

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM (ANDHRA PRADESH): Sir, I rise to support this Bill and I congratulate the hon. Minister. I compliment him. Sir, as I share my friends' comments that this is a historical occasion where this country has been denied the facility of learning to a section of the members of the community. That century old injustice is sought to be removed or annulled by various measures right from the day we got independence and even earlier through various large hearted social reformers and also as enshrined in the Constitution in the form of Directive Principles by Dr. Ambedkar. Sir, we must remember the noble deeds of liberals like the work of Mahatma Phule. I would like to say, Sir, that the State is taking the role of a parent. In short, you are providing a right to a child to learn or the Right to Opportunity to Learn. But, Sir, I would like to know is it okay if you just provide a right or is it a right if the right is not exerciseable. There are certain concerns which make me feel that there are discriminations, different forms of discriminations. If we look at today's newspaper, a *dalit* girl was asked to sit separately in a classroom in Uttar Pradesh and an NGO conducted a study.

श्री गंगा चरण : आप असत्य बोल रहे हैं। यह उत्तर प्रदेश में नहीं हो रहा है।

श्री जेसुदासु सीलम : आप शांत रहिए, बैठिए।

श्री गंगा चरण : आप गलत आरोप लगा रहे हैं।

श्री जेसुदासु सीलम : आप शांत रहिए, बैठिए। There is a report. It could be verified that a girl was earlier sitting next to the members of the same community in the village but the teacher asked about her caste. She

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

was then asked to go and sit in a corner. The NGO reported that almost 40 per cent of the schools in Uttar Pradesh report some sort of discrimination of this kind. This is what I read. If it is not correct, I will be happy.

श्री गंगा चरण : आप असत्य बोल रहे हैं, गलत आरोप लगा रहे हैं। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री वीर सिंह : उत्तर प्रदेश में ऐसा कुछ नहीं है। ...(व्यवधान)... आप गलत आरोप लगा रहे हैं। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री उपसभापति : आप बैठिए। वह अखबार से कुछ बोल रहे हैं। आप उसे deny करिए।

श्री जेसुदासु सीलम : वह किधर भी हो ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री उपसभापति : यह क्या बात है? आप बैठिए।

श्री वीर सिंह : यह उत्तर प्रदेश में नहीं है, यह राजस्थान में हो रहा है। ...(व्यवधान)

श्री जेसुदासु सीलम : आप शांत रहिए। उत्तर प्रदेश में नहीं है तो ठीक है।

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Seelam, you go ahead.

श्री वीर सिंह : तो ऐसा नहीं बोलना चाहिए। ...(व्यवधान)...

(3 एक्स/डीएस पर क्रमशः)

-SSS/NBR-DS/3X/5.45.

श्री उपसभापति: वह मैम्बर कह रहे हैं, आप उसको deny कीजिए ...(व्यवधान).. आप बैठिए न। ..(व्यवधान)

श्री जेसुदासु सीलम: आप शांत रहिए। उत्तर प्रदेश में ..(व्यवधान).. तो ठीक है।

श्री गंगा चरण:सर, ..(व्यवधान)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Seelam, you please go ahead...(Interruptions)...आप बैठिए।

श्री गंगा चरण: क्या उनके पास इसका proof है? ..(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: आप उसको deny कीजिए बस। वह यहाँ proof लाकर क्या देंगे? वह अखबार की बात कर रहे हैं। ..(व्यवधान)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री गंगा चरण: आप अखबार की बात पर believe कर सकते हैं? यह तो कोई भी लिख सकता है।

श्री उपसभापति: आप भी उठाते हैं, वह भी उठाते हैं।.(व्यवधान).. बैठ जाइए।

श्री गंगा चरण: सर, जब हम गलत बोलते हैं तो वह भी विरोध करते हैं।

श्री उपसभापति: यह बात उठाने की नहीं है। बैठिए, प्लीज़।

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM: Sir, I am not saying for the sake of saying. It can be verified. I will leave it to the Chair. But, there are certain concerns. If you look at the Definition, Clause 2(c), (d) and (e) enumerates who is 'Child.' There are certain special categories of children mentioned by the earlier speakers. They are: orphans, disabled, domestic workers, agriculture labourers, etc. Still, we see the dropout rate, as per the Report of the Standing Committee on the HRD, stood between 55 per cent and 60 per cent among the girls belonging to SC/ST communities. All this is because most of them still work as agriculture labourers and their parents depend on their wages, to some extent, for their subsistence. Despite the Mid-Day Meal Scheme on a large-scale, there are dropouts. So, there is a need to improve the situation as per the objectives of this Bill.

Secondly, Sir, I come to the quality of learning. If you look at the existing infrastructure, this Bill is not very clear and has not clarified what will happen to the existing infrastructure. There are certain norms for infrastructure. Are you going to upgrade the existing infrastructure, especially in the villages where a large number of Government schools does not have the basic facilities? Are you going to upgrade them, or, are you going to convert them to suit to your norms? It is not clear in the Bill.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Thirdly, Sir, I come to 25 per cent reservation combining with economically weaker sections, SC/ST, OBC and also with other sections. It is defined. Whenever such reservations are made, it is an all-inclusive. We would like it to be clearly demarcated what percentage will go to the most deprived sections. That is necessary, because on some pretext or the other, it is being challenged. I agree with Shri Joshi when he meant a new criterion is evolved. Sir, the Constitution (Ninety-third) (Amendment) is partly fulfilled when the reservations are made in the Government educational institutions. Reservation in the private institutions is still to be brought about.

This is about the minority institutions. Sir, Madam Najmaji and other hon. Members have mentioned about Article 30 of the Constitution. We are not going to ask them for reservation. The Muslim minority institutions can give reservation to Dalit Muslims. The Christian minority institutions can give reservation to Dalit Muslims. It does not prevent them from accommodating the less fortunate among the same minority communities...(time-bell)...This Bill is not very clear about the percentage. Sir, there is going to have a situation...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM: ...in private institutions. Suppose, you are not reimbursing 25 per cent to private institutions matching to their calculation and if you are giving them at the Government rate, you are going to have an unequal situation of different types. Today also, in Delhi, there are certain elite public schools running two sessions -- one for the poorer sections and another for the affluent. You want this system to continue? Or, you want equal environment where everybody

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

can learn together? These things have not been enumerated clearly in the Bill. However, I hope, these concerns could be reflected either in the rules to be made or make them part of the Bill. This may kindly be clarified.

(CONTD. BY USY "3Y")

-NBR-USY/AKA/3y/5.50

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM (CONTD.): This may kindly be clarified. In the end, I, once again, compliment the hon. Minister for bringing forward this Bill. I also hope that the 93rd amendment would be implemented with the induction of reservation in the private sector, in the field of education, not only up to 14 years, as enumerated here, but up to 17 years.

Thank you very much, Sir.

(Ends)

श्री साबिर अली (बिहार) : मैं इस बिल का सपोर्ट करने के लिए खड़ा हूँ, सपोर्ट करना मजबूरी नहीं, जरूरी है, क्योंकि अपोज़ करने वाले लोग दिखाई नहीं दे रहे हैं।

सर, मैं समझता हूँ कि मुझसे पहले सदन में एजुकेशन पर, हर बात पर लोगों ने, सीनियर मैम्बर्स ने, सब लोगों ने अपनी बातें रखी हैं। मैं बहुत कुछ न कहकर, Persian का एक शेयर कहना चाहता हूँ कि :-

इल्म चन्दा के बेशतर-खानी

चूं अमल गर तु नेस्त नादानी।।

शायर कहता है कि चाहे तू इल्म जितना भी पढ़ ले, अगर तुझमें अमल नहीं है तो तू बेवकूफ है। सर, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि हमारे यहां यह इतना बड़ा मंत्रालय है, जिसमें देश की आने वाली नस्लों का और अभी जो नस्लें हमारी 14 साल से नीचे हैं, सबका भविष्य इस पर टिका हुआ है, बहुत बड़ा यह मंत्रालय है। मंत्रालय ने बहुत बड़े पैसे का allocation

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

भी किया है - फरवरी में जो रिपोर्ट आई उसमें लिखा हुआ है, 2,00,000 करोड़ रुपए के ऊपर की allocation है। सर, मैं जो सर्व शिक्षा अभियान चलाया जा रहा है, उस पर जाने से पहले एक बिहार की मिसाल देना चाहता हूं। मैं स्टेट को टारगेट नहीं कर रहा, मैं मिसाल दे रहा हूं कि हमारे यहां 2,00,000 टीचर्स की बहाली हुई है, मैं दावे के साथ यह कहता हूं कि इन 2,00,000 टीचर्स में पांच परसेंट भी ऐसे टीचर्स नहीं हैं, जिनको टीचिंग का experience है या up to the mark हैं। यह सच्चाई है। ऐसे टीचर्स हैं, जिनको न तो हिन्दी में ही, न उर्दू में, न इंग्लिश में चार sentence लिखने आते हैं, यह ज़मीनी हकीकत है।

सर, कांग्रेस के एक बड़े मैम्बर ने आंगनवाड़ी का ज़िक्र किया, आंगनवाड़ी की स्थिति ऐसी है कि 95% आंगनवाड़ी का कहीं वजूद नहीं है, सिर्फ पेपर पर है और उस पर पैसा कितना आता है, आंगनवाड़ी के शिक्षक और उनके ऊपर जो supervision करने वाले लोग हैं, वे सिर्फ calculate करते हैं कि किसमें कितने percent पैसा जाएगा। सर, मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि हम चाहे जितनी बात करें, चाहे जिस system की बात करें, आज जो स्थिति है, आप देख लीजिए, जितनी भी metropolitan cities हैं, छोटे शहर हैं, बड़े शहर हैं, वहां लोग अपने बच्चों के admission के लिए भागे-भागे फिर रहे हैं। आज जितने स्कूल हैं, उनमें स्थिति यह है कि वहां admission के लिए बच्चों के मां-बाप त्राहि-त्राहि मचा रहे हैं, लेकिन admission नहीं मिलता है। हम इस पर जोर क्यों नहीं लगाते कि हमारे यहां जितने भी पढ़ने वाले बच्चे हैं, उनको आज कम से कम admission मिल जाए। आज मां-बाप अपने पूरे काम-काज को छोड़कर 10-10, 20-20 दिन एक-दूसरे के यहां घूमते हैं। हमारे यहां लोग आते हैं, जब हमारे जैसे लोगों के पास 20-20 लोग आते हैं, तो हम समझ सकते हैं कि आप लोगों की क्या सिचुएशन है। जहां पर केन्द्रीय विद्यालय है, एक-एक केन्द्रीय विद्यालय में admission के लिए दो-दो सौ लोग वहां पर धक्के खा रहे हैं, admission नहीं मिलता है। सर, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि हमारे यहां जो system है, उसकी पूरी pipeline जकड़ी हुई है। आज allocation हो रहा है, लेकिन इसमें जो माफिया लोग हैं, allocation होने से पहले, सरकार के announce करने से पहले, जो

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

माफिया लोग हैं, वह allocation उनका target बन जाता है, उनका system बिल्कुल तैयार है कि पैसा कैसे आएगा, percentage कैसे बंटेगी और पैसा कहां जाएगा! सरकार अपनी scheme बनाती है, announce करती है, लेकिन उसके पहले माफिया लोगों द्वारा अपना target fix कर दिया जाता है।

सर, मैं आपके माध्यम से मंत्री महोदय को कहना चाहता हूँ ..(समय की घंटी)..
सर, मैं बहुत ज्यादा वक्त नहीं लूंगा ..

श्री उपसभापति : आप ले चुके हैं, आपका वक्त समाप्त हो चुका है।

श्री साबिर अली : आप उस सिस्टम में कहीं सुधार लाने की व्यवस्था करें। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि आज यहां से एजुकेशन के लिए जो पैसे अलॉट किए जा रहे हैं, वह ग्रास रूट तक पहुंचें, शिक्षा की जो बात की जा रही है, वह लोगों तक पहुंचे, उसके लिए क्या स्कीम है? हमारे यहां कहने से ज्यादा करने की जरूरत है, चाहे जितना भी पैसा, 2,00,000 करोड़ रुपए नहीं, बल्कि अगर आप 8,00,000 करोड़ रुपए भी allocate कर देंगे, वह पैसा भी कम पड़ेगा, क्योंकि आपका पूरा सिस्टम माफिया से घिरा हुआ है, पूरा सिस्टम बिगड़ा हुआ है। उसको बदलना होगा, अगर आपको भारत की तकदीर बदलनी है, तो अपने कामों को बदलना होगा।

इन्हीं अल्फाज़ के साथ, सर, मैं आपको धन्यवाद देता हूँ कि आपने मुझे बोलने का मौका दिया। I, once again, congratulate the hon. Minister for bringing forward this Bill, which is expected to bring revolution in the field of education.

(Ends)

('3z/pk' पर आगे)

-USY/PK-NB/3Z/5.55

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri M.V. Mysura Reddy, you have five minutes. There are some more speakers also.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI M.V. MYSURA REDDY: Sir, I will be a disciplined speaker on this Bill.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I know, but it is my duty to remind you.

SHRI M. V. MYSURA REDDY(ANDHRA PRADESH): Sir, I rise to support this Bill on behalf of my Party. No doubt, this Bill is a good Bill. But, I want to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister two, three grey areas. Sir, the intention and objective of the Bill should not be on paper; it should be implemented in letter and spirit. Sir, I got this doubt after seeing the Budgetary allocations.

Secondly, Sir, in the Bill, stress has been laid on some guide posts, but I doubt whether provisions are sufficient to achieve this goal. For example, in clauses 24, 26, 27 and 28, there are a lot of do's and don'ts for the teachers. There is only one sub-section which is about students' standard: "Assess the learning ability of each child...." That means, Sir, from first class to the eighth class, only assessment will be made. Sir, I want to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister the ACR survey. Sir, according to this survey, 33 per cent students of the fifth class were not able to pass the first class test and 40 per cent of the students were not able even to do subtraction. That is the standard of education, Sir. If we apply these principles, I am afraid, Sir, that we will be only giving them certificates for promoting them to the next standard, without any knowledge or standard of education.

My next point is regarding duty of the parents. If they do not fulfil their duties of sending their children to schools, what will be the consequences? This is not mentioned in the Bill. Then, Sir, it does not address the reasons because of which the parents do not send their

children to school, for example, child labour, lack of learning, begging, migrant labours, etc. So, these issues need to be addressed. If this issue had been addressed, this would have been a perfect Bill.

Sir, these are my points. As I promised that I will be a disciplined speaker, I conclude, Sir. Thank you..

(Ends)

श्री उपसभापति : अलका जी, आप बोलिए, आपके पास 5 मिनट का समय है।

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : इनको पहले बुलवाना चाहिए था, ये प्रोफेसर हैं।

प्रो. अलका क्षत्रिय (गुजरात) : मैडम, बाद में भी केवल 5 मिनट ही मिले हैं। हमारा भारत देश, युवाओं का देश माना जाता है। यहां 25 वर्ष से कम उम्र की आबादी के 50 करोड़ लोग हैं, जो यूरोप की आबादी से बहुत अधिक हैं, उसकी कुल आबादी से भी अधिक हैं, लगभग दोगुना हैं और यह कहा जा सकता है कि अमरीका की आबादी से भी ज्यादा हैं, लेकिन यहां की सबसे बड़ी समस्या यह है कि ये जो युवा लोग हैं, उनमें से जो 14 साल से कम उम्र के बच्चे हैं, उनमें पढ़ाई का रेशियो बहुत कम है। गरीब लोगों और खासकर पिछड़े लोगों, अनुसूचित जाति-जनजाति के लोगों और मुस्लिम लोगों में आज भी शिक्षा का प्रसार बहुत कम है। गरीब लोग अपने बच्चों को मज़दूरी के लिए भेजते हैं, उनके लिए कमाई करना बहुत जरूरी है। जब हमने यह प्राथमिक शिक्षा अनिवार्य बनाई है, तो सबसे पहले मैं मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहती हूं कि आप अनिवार्य शिक्षा किसे कहते हैं? मैं जिस स्टेट से आती हूं, गुजरात से, वहां आज़ादी से पहले बड़ौदा स्टेट था, जो गायकवाड़ी स्टेट माना जाता था, मेरा महसाना भी गायकवाड़ी स्टेट के अंतर्गत आता था और वहां यह व्यवस्था थी कि प्राथमिक शिक्षा कंपलसरी थी। उस समय यह कहा जाता था कि जब बच्चा पैदा होता था, तो उसकी वहां नोंध होती थी और जब बच्चा स्कूल जाने लायक उम्र का होता था, तो उस बच्चे के पेरेण्ट्स को उस बच्चे को स्कूल भेजना कंपलसरी कर दिया गया था।

4A/MP पर क्रमशः

प्रो. अलका क्षत्रिय (क्रमागत) : अगर parents बच्चे को स्कूल नहीं भेजते, तो parents को दंड का प्रावधान था, यानी बच्चा जब स्कूल जाने लायक होता था, स्कूल में दाखिले के बाद अगर स्कूल में वह कुछ दिनों के लिए भी गैर-हाज़िर रहता था, तब भी उसके parents को दंड का प्रावधान था, इसलिए dropout ratio भी बहुत कम था। तो क्या इसके अंदर ऐसा कोई प्रावधान करना चाहते हैं जिससे अनिवार्य शिक्षा का मतलब सिद्ध हो सके?

महोदय, दूसरी बात में क्वालिटी एजुकेशन के बारे में कहना चाहती हूँ। हम जानते हैं कि जो पैसे वाले लोग हैं, उनके बच्चे तो प्राइवेट स्कूलों में पढ़ते हैं या जो केंद्र सरकार के कर्मचारी हैं, उनके बच्चों के लिए केंद्रीय विद्यालय, नवोदय विद्यालय बनाए गए, सैनिकों के बच्चों के लिए सैनिक स्कूल बनाए गए, लेकिन गरीबों के बच्चे तो सरकारी स्कूलों में पढ़ते हैं और सरकारी स्कूलों की स्थिति क्या है? वहां कैसी एजुकेशन दी जाती है, उसकी क्वालिटी कैसी है? वहां शिक्षकों की कितनी कमी है और सरकारी स्कूलों में कमरों का पूरा प्रावधान है या नहीं - ये सब बातें आप जानते हैं और आपने इस विधेयक में उनका प्रावधान भी किया है - शिक्षकों की संख्या कितनी होनी चाहिए, स्कूलों के रूम के बारे में सब उसमें है, लेकिन क्वालिटी की बात आपने नहीं बताई, इसलिए हम चाहते हैं कि उन बच्चों को भी ऐसी ही क्वालिटी एजुकेशन मिलनी चाहिए, जो पैसे वालों के बच्चों को या केंद्र सरकार के कर्मचारियों के बच्चों को मिलती है।

महोदय, तीसरी बात में पाठ्यक्रम के संबंध में बताना चाहती हूँ कि अभी हम देखते हैं कि बच्चों पर शिक्षा का बहुत ज्यादा बोझ है। हम देखते हैं कि छोटे-छोटे बच्चे स्कूल जाते समय इतना भारी बस्ता उठाकर चलते हैं कि ऐसा लगता है कि उनका बस्ता उठाने के लिए उनके साथ एक आदमी भेजना पड़ेगा। तो आपने इस बारे में क्या सोचा है? साथ ही गरीब आदमियों के बच्चों को क्वालिटी एजुकेशन के साथ-साथ उनके काम से संबंधित शिक्षा देना भी जरूरी है।

महोदय, मुझसे पूर्व अभी माननीय सदस्यों ने बताया कि प्राथमिक शिक्षा मातृभाषा में होनी चाहिए। अब मैं अपने स्टेट की बात बताऊं कि हमारे यहां प्राथमिक शिक्षा गुजराती में होती है जो कि हमारी मातृभाषा है। दूसरी नेशनल लैंग्वेज हिंदी हमें सिखाई जाती है और तीसरी इंटरनेशनल लैंग्वेज अंग्रेज़ी हमें सिखाई जाती है। लेकिन कुछ हिंदीभाषी स्टेट्स ऐसे

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

हैं, जहां उनके बच्चों को दो ही लैंग्वेज सीखनी होती हैं - हिंदी और इंग्लिश, इसलिए हमारे यहां के बच्चों पर एक लैंग्वेज ज्यादा सीखने का बोझ पड़ता है। इस वजह से अब की बार हमारी सरकार ने, हिंदी जो कंपलसरी होती थी, उसको optional बना दिया है। इससे आगे जाकर बहुत बड़ी तकलीफ यह होने वाली है कि केंद्र सरकार ने केंद्र की भाषा राजभाषा हिंदी बना दी है और यह प्रावधान किया है कि केंद्र सरकार के ऑफिसों के अंदर जो भी कामकाज होगा, वह हिंदी में होगा। अगर हिंदी को इस तरह से optional बनाकर निकाल दिया जाएगा, तो भविष्य में हमारे यहां के बच्चों की क्या स्थिति होगी? इसलिए मैं चाहती हूँ कि इस बात पर भी जोर दिया जाए कि सभी जगहों पर रीजनल लैंग्वेज, नेशनल लैंग्वेज और इंटरनेशनल लैंग्वेज, तीनों सिखाई जानी जरूरी हैं।

सर, मैं एक और बात एग्जाम के बारे में कहना चाहूंगी कि एग्जाम होना भी बहुत अनिवार्य है। बच्चों को अगली कक्षा में भेज दिया जाएगा, लेकिन यदि उसे निचली कक्षा की पढ़ाई पूरी नहीं आती होगी और उसे अगली कक्षा में भेज दिया जाएगा, तो वह बच्चा कभी भी कंपीट नहीं कर पाएगा।

महोदय, एक और बात यह है कि शिक्षकों से जो गैर-शैक्षणिक कार्य लिया जाता है, वह जो प्रयोजन आपने किया है, इसके बारे में मैं कहना चाहती हूँ कि आपने प्रावधान किया है कि कुल इतने ही काम लिए जाएंगे। उसमें आपने जनसंख्या की गणना की बात की है या आपने बताया है कि लोकल, स्टेट या केंद्र के जो इलेक्शन होंगे, उनसे संबंधित जो कार्य होंगे, वे उनसे लिए जाएंगे। मैं अपने स्टेट की बात जानती हूँ और इसीलिए यह कह रही हूँ कि आपको ध्यान होगा कि आपने जो आंगनवाड़ी वर्कर्स की बात की थी, उनके लिए भी आपने कुछ काम निर्धारित किए थे, लेकिन हालत यह है कि हमारे यहां के आंगनवाड़ी वर्कर्स से इतने ज्यादा अलग-अलग काम लिए जाते हैं कि उनका जो निर्धारित काम है, वे उसे कर नहीं पाते हैं। इसलिए उन आंगनवाड़ी वर्कर्स ने गुजरात सरकार को एक ultimatum दिया है कि आप सितम्बर तक अगर हमारे निर्धारित काम से ज्यादा काम लेंगे, तो वह काम हम नहीं करेंगे। इसलिए इसके बारे में भी आपको सोचना चाहिए क्योंकि हम कानून तो बना लेते हैं, लेकिन कानून का अमल किस तरह से होता है, उसको भी देखना जरूरी है।

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

महोदय, आखिरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहती हूँ कि छोटे बच्चों के लिए सबसे बड़ी बात होती है कि उनमें सिविक सेंस होना बहुत जरूरी है। मुझे लगता है कि छोटे बच्चों को सिविक सेंस, लैंग्वेज और पर्यावरण से संबंधित बातें अगर प्राथमिक शिक्षा में बताई जाएं और बाकी बातें हम प्राइमरी के बाद की शिक्षा में, माध्यमिक शिक्षा में या उच्च शिक्षा में ले जाएं, तो ज्यादा अच्छा होगा। अगर इन सब बातों पर ध्यान दिया जाएगा, तो मुझे लगता है कि बच्चों के लिए जो हम अनिवार्य शिक्षा की बात कर रहे हैं, वह अनिवार्य, मुफ्त और क्वालिटी एजुकेशन मिलेगी, धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

(4B/SKC-SC पर आगे)4b/6.05/skc-sc

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Kumar Deepak Das. You have got just three minutes.

SHRI KUMAR DEEPAK DAS (ASSAM): Sir, the Directive Principles of State Policy enshrined in our Constitution lay down that the States shall provide free and compulsory education to all children up to the age of 14 years. It has taken us 58 years, but better late than never. Ultimately, we have a Bill which seeks to achieve this objective. It is a welcome move, Sir. On behalf of the Assom Gana Parishad, I support this Bill. Passing the Bill alone will not serve the purpose or objectives of the Bill. Effective steps need to be taken and sufficient budgetary allocation made for the effective implementation of this Bill. Our able and efficient Minister would be able to get this Bill passed successfully.

Sir, we are talking about the right of all children between the age of six and 14 years to free and compulsory education. In Assam, there is an established procedure of opening up a school: some villagers get together and open primary schools for which they acquire or purchase

land. They construct buildings and open up school buildings for children. Thereafter the Government comes forward and the Director of Elementary Education gives permission to run such schools. A few years later, the school gets recognised and after 10-15 years, the school is provincialised on the condition that it has a good building and sufficient number of teachers, land is made available. What is provincialisation in our State? The salaries of teachers is borne by the Government. But, in the last 15 years there are more than 3000 schools which have not been provincialised so far by the Government of Assam. These schools are still running without making free books available to the children, without providing mid-day meals to the children, without building grants, without paying salaries to teachers. The poor teachers used to have only Rs. 300-400 per month's remuneration. Sir, 80 per cent of the students studying in these schools belong to BPL families and disadvantaged groups or weaker sections. I would like to know whether this Bill would give some kind of relief to those school-families. The hon. Minister for Education will enlighten us in this regard.

With these few words, I conclude, and compliment the Bill.

(Ends)

(Followed by hk at 4c)

MCM-HK/4C/6-10

श्री उपसभापति : श्री राजनीति प्रसाद।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : सर, मुझे कितना समय अलॉट हुआ है?

श्री उपसभापति : चार मिनट।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : सर, क्या मेरा समय घट गया है? पहले यह पांच मिनट था।

श्री उपसभापति : आप बोलिए। ..(व्यवधान).. वह बहुत disciplined हैं, चार मिनट कहा है तो चार मिनट ही बोलेंगे।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद (बिहार) : मैं तीन मिनट में समाप्त करूंगा। महोदय, हमारे यहां एक शिक्षाविद् हुआ करते थे, वे अभी नहीं हैं। वे वेस्ट बंगाल में गवर्नमेंट में थे - नूरुल हसन साहब - यहां शिक्षा मंत्री भी थे। उनका एक लेख मैंने पढ़ा था। उस लेख में उन्होंने कहा था कि एक साल से पांच साल के बच्चे को अगर प्रॉपर ट्रीटमेंट नहीं दिया गया, उसकी नर्सिंग नहीं की गयी, उसको मां के द्वारा शिक्षा नहीं दी गयी तो वह लड़का आगे जाकर कुछ भी नहीं कर सकता। अभी जो आपने शुरू किया है कि आप 6 वर्ष से प्राइमरी एजुकेशन देंगे, तो इस प्रकार एक साल से पांच साल तक का जो गरीब बच्चा है, उसका क्या होगा?

नम्बर-2, आपने यह बताया है कि कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन। What is the meaning of compulsory education? कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन का मतलब, जो 6 वर्ष से 14 वर्ष के गरीब लोग हैं, क्या वे कोर्ट में जाएंगे और कहेंगे कि हमको एजुकेशन दो। विमल जालान साहब ने जो कहा है, वह सही कहा है कि आपको सर्वे करना चाहिए तथा सर्वे करके पता लगाना चाहिए कि हम लोगों को कैसे एजुकेशन दे सकते हैं। सर, चूंकि आपने समय कम दिया है इसलिए मैं नटशैल में कहना चाहूंगा, हमारे सदस्य साबिर अली साहब ने बताया कि इससे पहले भी उनको आंगनवाड़ी दिया है। आंगनवाड़ी में गांवों में जाकर के बच्चों को सिखाते हैं, उनको पढ़ाते हैं, उनको खिलाते हैं, उनके लिए पैसा मिलता है, यह आपने पहले भी किया है। उसके बाद आपने सर्व शिक्षा अभियान भी चलाया है। सर्व शिक्षा अभियान में आपने करोड़ों रुपया लगा दिया, लेकिन, सर, उसके जो टीचर्स हैं, उनके बारे में आप जरा देखिए। हमारे साबिर साहब ने बताया है कि वे मैट्रिक पास भी नहीं हैं, वे गलत जगह से सर्टिफिकेट ले आए हैं। आपने कहा है कि सर्व शिक्षा में सब को सामान्य शिक्षा दो, लेकिन उसमें

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

टीचर का अपोइंटमेंट आपने नहीं किया। आपने उसमें क्लॉज छोड़ दिया। तो अभी भी जो आपने सर्व शिक्षा अभियान बनाया है, जो कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन का बनाया है Sir, I think it is meaningless because कम्पलसरी तो उनके लिए होगा, गरीब के लिए होगा, लेकिन गरीब की तो आवाज ही नहीं होगी। सर, मेरा यह कहना है कि यहां दो तरह की शिक्षा होगी, पहली शिक्षा जो गरीब के लिए है और दूसरी शिक्षा के लिए कोई कम्पलसरी की जरूरत नहीं है, क्योंकि उनको तो शिक्षा मिलती ही है, वह तो डोन बासको में पढ़ते ही हैं, डी0पी0एस0 में पढ़ते ही हैं। तो उनके लिए कम्पलसरी की जरूरत नहीं है। इसलिए विमल जालान साहब ने जो कहा है, बहुत अच्छा कहा है कि पहले सर्वे करिए कि कैसे-कैसे लोगों को हमको कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन देना है, उनको चूज करिए, उनको इकट्ठा करिए और इकट्ठा करने के बाद टीचर्स का अपोइंटमेंट करिए। दुनिया के हर देश में टीचर की इज्जत होती है। अमेरिका में जो प्राइमरी टीचर होता है उसको प्रोफेसर से ज्यादा पैसा मिलता है, ज्यादा तनखाह मिलती है, उसके रहने की व्यवस्था होती है, लेकिन हमारे यहां जो प्राइमरी टीचर्स होते हैं वे सातवीं पास भी नहीं होते हैं, आठवीं पास भी नहीं होते हैं और वे कम्पलसरी एजुकेशन में आ जाते हैं और हमारे यहां बच्चों को पढ़ाने लगते हैं। इसलिए, सर, मैं विमल जालान साहब की बातों का समर्थन करता हूं। मैं एक दूसरी बात और कहता हूं, क्योंकि यहां बहुत काबिल वकील हैं, बहुत बड़े वकील हैं, हमें उन पर नाज है। सर, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि सामान्य शिक्षा का प्रोविजन होना चाहिए, यानी अमीर का बच्चा भी और गरीब का बच्चा भी एक साथ एजुकेशन पाएगा। डा0 राम मनोहर लोहिया ने कहा था कि * के बेटे को भी और महारानी के बेटे को भी एक साथ एजुकेशन मिलना चाहिए। लेकिन जब तक dual policy of

* Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

education का होगा, हमारे देश में कुछ भी नहीं हो सकता है। इसलिए रिसर्च करिए।

डा0 (श्रीमती) नज़मा ए0 हेपतुल्ला : उनके द्वारा वह वर्ड नहीं बोलना चाहिए।

श्री उपसभापति : * वर्ड अनपार्लियामेंट्री है, उसे निकाल दीजिए।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : डा0 राम मनोहर लोहिया साहब ने कहा था कि एक सामान्य शिक्षा होनी चाहिए। सामान्य शिक्षा यह है कि यानी * का बेटा और महारानी का बेटा और गरीब का बेटा.....(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति : * निकाल दिया गया है।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : सर, मैं यह अपनी बात नहीं कर रहा हूं, यह डा0 लोहिया जी की बात बता रहा हूं। गरीब का बेटा, जो have-nots है उसका बेटा और अमीर का बेटा सब की एक समान शिक्षा होनी चाहिए। इसलिए मैं कह रहा हूं कि आपने ऐसा नहीं किया है।

सर, मैं आखिरी बात कहना चाहता हूं। आप रिसर्च करिए कि गरीब का बेटा और अमीर का बेटा तथा कलेक्टर का बेटा और चपरासी का बेटा दोनों एक ही जगह पढ़ेंगे तो उस स्कूल का एजुकेशन सही होगा, उसमें पढ़ाई ठीक होगी, वहां पढ़ाई की क्वालिटी भी अच्छी होगी। इसलिए मैंने कहा है कि अगर आपने * शब्द को निकाल दिया है तो कोई बात नहीं है।.....(व्यवधान)

(4D/GS पर क्रमशः)

KSK-GS/4D/6.15

श्री उपसभापति : वह शब्द तो निकाल दिया है, आप रिपीट क्यों कर रहे हैं?

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : मैंने कहा है, उसका गरीब से मतलब है। * मैंने कोई अजीब शब्द का इस्तेमाल नहीं किया है। ..(व्यवधान)...

* Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

श्री उपसभापति : आप उस शब्द को बार-बार क्यों बोलते हैं ?

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : आप बेटी शब्द क्यों नहीं बोलते हैं ?

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : हां, बेटा कहा है। बेटा और बेटी दोनों एक ही बात होती है, इसलिए दोनों में कोई खास फर्क नहीं है।(समय की घंटी).... सर, अंत में, मैं एक बात कहता हूं कि मैंने कभी यह नहीं कहा कि आप हिन्दी में बोलिए या अंग्रेजी में बोलिए। मैंने ऐसा नहीं कहा था। पढ़ाई हिन्दी में हो, अंग्रेजी में हो, उसके बारे में, मैंने नहीं कहा था। जिन लोगों को हिन्दी आती है, जो लोग हिन्दी बोल सकते हैं, उनको हमारे यहां हिन्दी में बोलना चाहिए। केवल शो करने के लिए मत करिए कि हम अंग्रेजी जानते हैं। सर, मैंने यह सब बातें कही हैं। हमारे एजुकेशन मिनिस्टर साहब यहां पर बैठे हैं। वह कुछ नया रिसर्च करें, वह नया करिश्मा करें, ताकि गरीब का बच्चा भी एजुकेशन पा सके। धन्यवाद।

(समाप्त)

SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT (MAHARASHTRA): Sir, I wish to congratulate hon. Minister and the Government for bringing in this path-breaking Bill, though I am afraid that my vote of congratulation will go futile because this is going to be a non-starter. This Bill going to be a non-starter because its provisions, though well intended, are far from reality and, therefore, I wish that hon. Minister again takes a look at the Bill, takes discussions into account and bring in a fresh Bill which will be more comprehensive and more effective. Sir, when I was in the primary school, at that time, the Kothari Commission report came in. That was the Nehru-Shastri era. If this Bill would have come at that time, then it would have been much more effective. But many years have passed in between and most of the time, this Government has not been able to bring this Bill. This Bill provides for compulsory education between the age group of 6-14 years up to eighth standard. What happens next? Once a child completes his education up to eighth standard, what

happens after that? Is he really educated to the real parameters of eighth standard? I come from Maharashtra which is the most progressive State in the country. In my State, when hundred children go to school in the first standard, only eighteen reach up to the level of SSC. So, the rest eighty-two students drop out in between. In Maharashtra, we have provision of free education for girls. It means that the girls do not get out of school for want of money. Then, what is the reason of these dropouts? This is for many reasons. Firstly, there are socio-economic conditions which are outside the school, or, the education that is given in the school is sub-standard or irrelevant to the students. That could be irrelevant because children and parents are not interested in the academic education that they get in the school. My request to the hon. Minister is that when we are talking of compulsory education, please bring in vocational education in the curriculum. This pure academic education does not mean anything to many students in their real life. So, give them education of many trades which can be used by them immediately after the schooling is over, after the eighth standard is over. I hope this provision can give much better sense to the purpose of the Bill.

(continued by 4e - gsp)

GSP-ASC/6.20/4E

SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT (CONTD.): Sir, there is clause 33 which talks of National Advisory Council and an amendment which talks of the State Advisory Councils. It is a good thing that you are bringing in a council of fifteen members coming from the field of education to govern the situation. My request to the hon. Minister is to give them some

powers, some executive powers. As far as advisory powers are concerned, we all know what happens to the advices given by the advisory committees. Those advices remain only on the file. Therefore, give some executive powers by which they can implement this Bill more effectively.

You have made provision for the National Council and the State Councils. I think, again, there would be crisis. Many States -- perhaps those which are being governed by the Opposition parties -- will not go with the National Council. Since this is a Central Bill, there should be only one Council, only one National Advisory Council, which should govern everything. You can set up sub-committees which will work under the Council.

Sir, there is a schedule in which what type of education, what type of teachers, what type of buildings, and, all that is mentioned. Sir, the Minister comes from one class of society, and, I am afraid that he does not realise the ground realities. You have given the norms about what type of teachers, or, what type of school buildings are required. Let me tell you, Sir, in Maharashtra, there are still 7,500 schools which are one-teacher schools. What are you talking, Sir? Sir, you are talking of one teacher behind every thirty students. There is only one teacher from class one to seven. What are we talking? What is the reality? How are you going to implement it in three years? Sir, another thing is school buildings; you have given a very good list of what type of schools are required. Yes, it is a model school. I am happy if these types of schools come into effect in India. But, Sir, in Maharashtra, in rural areas, in semi-rural areas, schools are run in stables, in the *verandas* of

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

the village Panchayat, under the trees. When are you going to construct the buildings? Why do you give such dreams to the people and add to their injuries. Sir, I think, you should sit back and give a relook to this Bill. (Time-Bell) Sir, I will take one minute only.

Sir, there is a memoranda regarding delegated legislation. Sir, since this Bill will be implemented at the State level, there are many delegated legislations, subordinate legislations, like sub-clause (2) (d) empowers the appropriate government to make rules to provide for manner of reimbursement of expenditure to the school, or, sub-clause 2(h) empowers the appropriate government to provide the form, the period, the manner and the conditions for issuing certificate of recognition.

These are very vital things which will make the enactment successful or failure. I have a request, Sir. We have subordinate legislation committees in both the Houses. Send it to the Subordinate Legislation Committee. Let them scrutinise it. Let them see what are the lacunae in this. Let them take witnesses at the State level, *taluka* level, or, village level. Bring them in, and, bring much more comprehensive Bill. Thank you very much, Sir.

(Ends)

डा.(श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला (राजस्थान) : सर, मैं मंत्री जी से एक बात पूछना चाहती हूँ। मंत्री जी भी इस बात से खुश होंगे।

श्री उपसभापति : वह खुश तो होंगे, लेकिन टाइम का भी ख्याल रखिएगा।

डा.(श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : माननीय मंत्री जी, आपको याद होगा, जब उड़ीसा में तूफान आया था, तो राज्य सभा के सब सदस्यों ने रुपए दिए थे। मुझे लगता है कि आप भी मैम्बर थे, आपने भी दस लाख रुपए दिए थे। उससे राज्य सभा के सदस्यों ने उड़ीसा में

स्कूल बनवाया। मैं अपना experience बता रही हूँ। उस स्कूल में हम लोगों ने ये इंस्ट्रक्शन्स दिए थे कि खास तौर पर लड़कियों के लिए toilets बनने चाहिए। जब बाद में स्टडी की, तो पता चला कि लड़कियों का ड्रॉप आउट कम हो गया क्योंकि वहां पहले toilets नहीं थे। अगर वहां पर proper toilets की फैसिलिटी नहीं होती है, तो गांव के स्कूल से लड़कियां ड्रॉप आउट होती हैं और शहरों के स्कूलों से भी होती हैं। आप जब कभी भी कोई स्कीम बनाएं, उसमें लड़कियों के टॉयलेट के बारे में सोचेंगे तो ड्राप आउट कम हो जाएगा।

* Pp 509 onwards will be issued as a Supplement.

**THE RIGHT OF CHILDREN TO FREE AND
COMPULSORY EDUCATION BILL, 2008 (CONTD.)**

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI KAPIL SIBAL): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I am deeply grateful to the hon. Members of the House for their very valuable participation on a matter, which, I think, is not just of national importance, but will determine the course of India in the 21st Century. And, therefore, I am exceptionally grateful to the hon. Members who have both supported the Bill and, at the same time, expressed their concerns about how it is going to be implemented.

I think that we must recognise the fact that this is not an easy task. What we are embarking upon is a national enterprise. 1937 में महात्मा गांधी ने यूनिवर्सल एजुकेशन की बात कही थी, इसको 72 साल हो गए, 1947 में आजादी मिली, उसको भी 62 साल हो गए, कई Commissions बने, 1993 में सर्वोच्च न्यायालय ने निर्णय लिया कि एलीमेंट्री एजुकेशन एक मानव अधिकार है और डायरेक्टिव प्रिंसिपल्स में आर्टिकल 45 के अंतर्गत जो लिखा गया था, वह लोगों को मिलना चाहिए। उसके बाद भी कुछ नहीं हुआ। 1993 के बाद उन्नीकृष्णन् जजमेंट के बाद भी कुछ नहीं हुआ। 2002 में 86th कांस्टीट्यूशन अमेंडमेंट पारित हुआ। हम सभी ने सहयोग दिया। आर्टिकल 21(A) बना और उसमें लिखा गया कि हां, हम इस मानव अधिकार को असलियत बनाएंगे और उसके लिए एक विधेयक लाएं। कोई विधेयक नहीं लाया। वर्ष 2002 बीत गया, 2003, 2004 बीत गया, उसके बाद यू.पी.ए. सरकार आई, तब CABE कमेटी बैठी। जब CABE कमेटी बैठी तो मैं उसका चेयरमैन बना। मेरी अध्यक्षता में यह बिल ड्राफ्ट हुआ। उसके बाद भी कुछ नहीं हुआ। चर्चा हुई, कैसे पैसा लिया जाए, कहां से लिया जाए, कहां से आएगा, कैसे करेंगे, एक मॉडल बिल सर्कुलेट हुआ। उसके बाद भी कुछ नहीं हुआ। अब

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

उन्नीकृष्णन् की जजमेंट को भी सोलह साल हो गए हैं। अब और कितने दिन इंतजार करें? क्या और पचास साल? देश के भविष्य का क्या होगा? बच्चों के भविष्य का क्या होगा?

Some people said, 'Send it to the Committee on Subordinate Legislation.' Let us do this. Let us wait for another opportunity. How many years we will think about this? Which national enterprise is easy? Is bringing down Co2 levels in the atmosphere easy? To deal with global warming, if we require a consensus, nationally and internationally, is it easy? To deal with meltdown in the economy and what kind of packages we give to people of our country, is that easy? Think of NREGA. Is that easy? Nothing is easy. We are dealing with one billion people. Some people have said that it is not easy and it is difficult to implement. I agree that it is difficult to implement. But we have to be partners in that national enterprise.

प्रदेश, केंद्र में सरकार, बच्चे, माता-पिता, स्कूल कमेटीज, टीचर्स जब तक हम इकट्ठे होकर यह काम नहीं करेंगे तब तक देश का भविष्य कभी उज्ज्वल नहीं हो सकता है। यह हमें करना है, अवश्य करना है। यह हमें सोलह साल पहले करना चाहिए था, आज बहुत देर हो चुकी है।

(AKG/4G पर जारी)

AKG-VKK/4G/6.30

श्री कपिल सिब्बल (क्रमागत) : हम इसे करेंगे और करके रहेंगे। पहली बात तो मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ।

दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि we must understand the meaning of this Bill and the title makes it quite clear -- the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education. It's only talking of a right and an obligation -- the right of the children to free education and the compulsion or the obligation on the Government to impart that education

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

to the children. It doesn't talk about content of education. This is not a Bill dealing with the content of education. यहाँ बार-बार बात आ रही थी कि बच्चों को किस किस की शिक्षा दी जाएगी। यह इसके बारे में थोड़े ही न है! इसके बारे में इस बिल में यह प्रावधान रखा गया है। मैं आपका ध्यान सेक्शन 29(2) की ओर आकर्षित करना चाहता हूँ। Section 29(2) says, "The academic authority, while laying down the curriculum and the evaluation procedure under sub-section (1), shall take into consideration the following, namely:- (a) conformity with the values enshrined in the Constitution; (b) all round development of the child; (c) building up child's knowledge, potentiality and talent; (d) development of physical and mental abilities to the fullest extent;...." वह सब लिखा है। It further says, ".....(f) medium of instructions shall, as far as practicable, be in child's mother tongue; (g) making the child free of fear, trauma and anxiety....." जो आप बस्तों की बात कर रहे थे। यह सब इसमें है। That's not the part of this Bill because this Bill is concerned only with two issues -- the right of the child to be educated and the obligation on the Government to give him that education. The rest of it is yet to be implemented because we will formulate a policy to ensure that all the children in the schools get the kind of education that is required to make them good citizens of this country. इसमें कोई दो राय नहीं हो सकती। हमारा Constitution हमारे सामने है, हमारी values हमारे सामने हैं। उसके अन्तर्गत हम यह काम करेंगे। National Curriculum Framework है। कुछ लोग कह रहे हैं कि National Curriculum Framework के कोई मायने नहीं हैं। Uniform School System बिल्कुल नहीं है। The NCF of 2005 is based on the system of education which is capable of responding to India's diversity of geographical and cultural milieus while ensuring a common core of Constitutional values along with academic component. This is NCF. This

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

is what is going to be taught to the children of our country. Now, it's not easy because there are twenty crore children; out of which, some estimates suggest that ten crore are out of school. Now we need to get them to school. यह कहना कि हम यह कल कर लेंगे, परसों कर लेंगे, यह भी सम्भव नहीं है। हमने एक बहुत बड़ा अभियान शुरू किया है, एक बहुत बड़ा national enterprise शुरू किया है। When you have a dream, you don't build a garden so that everybody can walk. You look at the sky so that people can aspire. So, we need to go forward and I need everybody's support. Yes, you will have your doubts and you should have your doubts. Yes, you should make suggestions and tell us how best to implement it. अभी माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि State Advisory Committee बनाएंगे, तो जहाँ आपकी Centre की पार्टी पॉवर में नहीं है, वह इसमें सहयोग नहीं देगी। अगर आप ही यहाँ संसद में यह कहेंगे, तो कौन सहयोग देगा? आपको कहना चाहिए कि हम सहयोग देंगे, क्योंकि यह बीजेपी, कांग्रेस या किसी और पार्टी का सवाल नहीं है, यह देश के भविष्य का सवाल है। ... (व्यवधान) ...

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : हम लोग सहयोग दे रहे हैं।

श्री कपिल सिब्बल : उन्होंने कहा कि आप क्यों State Advisory Committee बनाते हैं, वहाँ गड़बड़ हो जाएगी। यह कोई political मामला है ही नहीं, इसमें कौन-सी politics है? ... (व्यवधान) ...

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला : इसे initiate ही हम लोगों ने किया।

श्री कपिल सिब्बल : आपने 2002 में constitutional amendment लाया, उसके बाद कोई law नहीं बनाया। 3 साल बीत गए। आपको मालूम था कि आप implement कर ही नहीं सकते थे। मैं इस विवाद में नहीं पड़ना चाहता। मैं इस पर बहस भी नहीं करना चाहता। हम political parties के above होकर यह बहस करेंगे।

(4एच/एससीएच पर जारी)

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री कपिल सिब्बल (क्रमागत): तो नजमा जी, आपने जो पहला सवाल उठाया, आपने कहा था कि मुझे नेबरहुड स्कूल का कॉन्सेप्ट समझा दीजिए। अगर आप बिल को सही तरीके से पढ़ेंगे तो आपको जवाब मिल जाएगा और कानीमोझी जी ने जवाब दे भी दिया था। आप ही ने पूछा था कि चूंकि डिफरेंट स्टेट्स में डिफरेंट परिस्थितियां हैं, इसलिए आप नेबरहुड स्कूल को कैसे डिफाइन करोगे? वह सवाल तो आपने दे दिया है, लेकिन रूल्स में हमने लिखा है कि नेबरहुड स्कूल का डेफिनेशन एप्रोप्रिएट गवर्नमेंट करेगी। अब किसी देहात में नेबरहुड स्कूल कहां पर बनना चाहिए, वह केन्द्र सरकार को तय नहीं करना है और न ही वह करना चाहती है। इस चीज़ को राज्य सरकार तय करेगी कि कहां आपका नेबरहुड स्कूल होना चाहिए। यह हक हमने राज्य सरकार को दिया है in section 37, sub-clause 2(b), which says: The area or limits of establishment of a neighbourhood school under section 6.

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: कौन से सैक्शन में है?

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: यह section 37 है। The appropriate Government will frame rules in respect of that because we want partnership with the States to move forward; we want partnership with the community. In this Bill, for example, there is a provision which says that there will be a management committee of the school comprising of civil society, and that management will actually suggest to the appropriate Government of building plan for the school for the future. So, we want active civil society participation in actually the management of the facilities provided in the school. तो जहां तक नेबरहुड स्कूल का सवाल है it is quite clear in the legislation that this is something that will have to be decided upon by the appropriate Government but the condition is that there should be a school in every neighbourhood. ताकि गरीब का बच्चा हो, अमीर का हो, देहात का हो, किसान का हो, झुग्गी-झोंपड़ी का हो, कहीं का भी बच्चा हो, उसके लिए शिक्षा उपलब्ध होनी चाहिए। फिर आपने कहा कि आप फिनांसिज़ कहां से लाओगे? अभी तो बिल पारित

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

ही नहीं हुआ है, तो हम उसका प्रोवीज़न पहले कैसे कर दें? जब बिल पास हो जाएगा, तब बात आएगी कि हम फिनांसिज़ कहां से लाएंगे और उसके लिए हमने बात शुरू कर दी है। Our National University for Education, Planning and Administration is already on the job preparing up the estimates of funds required for implementing the provisions of the Bill. Once the Bill is enacted, we will make a demand for additional resources in revised estimates 2009-10, and we have already presented our fund requirements before the 13th Finance Commission calculated on the basis of parent-teacher norms of the RTI Bill.

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: यह कहां पर लिखा है?

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: What is the Centre- State share?

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: अभी मैं आपको सब बताऊंगा, आप देखिए मैं जवाब दे रहा हूँ।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He has not concluded. He has just started.

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: मैं जवाब दे रहा हूँ, सभी चीजों का जवाब दूंगा। बिल में लिखा क्या है कि जब यह पारित होगा तब हम तय करेंगे कि सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट का इसमें क्या हिस्सा होगा और अन्य स्टेट गवर्नमेंट्स का क्या शेयर होगा। वह अभी तक हमने तय नहीं किया है। लेकिन ... (व्यवधान) One Second. Please don't interrupt. One Second .. (Interruptions) वह अभी हमने तय नहीं किया है, उसे तय करेंगे। जो आज के दिन अगर सर्वशिक्षा अभियान की बात करते हैं, the ratio is 65:35, and by the end of the Eleventh Plan, it is going to be 50:50. So, we will have to decide a figure as to what should be the contribution of the Central Government and what will be the contribution of the State Government, and once we decide that, if a State Government, we believe, does not have the finances to actually meet the requirements and the obligations under the Bill, we have the right to refer that to the Finance Commission, and the Finance Commission before November 2009 will be able to suggest to

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

that State Government as to what is the way forward for the purposes of those finances. All that is provided, all that is set out in section 7. So, once Parliament passes a Bill, it is a fundamental right of the child to get education, there is no way in the world that we don't have finances to implement. This has to be. It shall be done. Now, you are worried as to what should be the State share and what will be the share of the Centre. That is something we will decide. That is exactly what we are going to decide in the very near future. ..(Interruptions)

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, there has ..(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let him complete. ..(Interruptions) नहीं, उन्हें बात पूरी करने दो, अभी उन्होंने अपनी बात पूरी नहीं की है ...(व्यवधान), उन्हें पूरी बात करने दो ...(व्यवधान)

श्रीमती वृन्दा कारत: सर, मैं डेट बनाना है ...(व्यवधान)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया: सर, इसके बारे में इन लोगों ने जो एप्रिहेंशन डायरेक्ट किया ..(व्यवधान) फाइनांसिज की क्या व्यवस्था है ...(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: उन्होंने कह दिया है ...(व्यवधान)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया: अब मंत्री जी कह रहे हैं कि बिल इन्वैक्ट होने के बाद राज्यों से बात करेंगे और तब वह डिसाइड करेंगे। ...(व्यवधान) राज्यों से तो पहले बात करनी चाहिए।
...(व्यवधान)

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: नहीं-नहीं, मैंने यह नहीं कहा ...(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: नहीं-नहीं ...(व्यवधान) उन्होंने कहा कि फाइनांस कमिशन ...(व्यवधान)

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: अगर आप मुझे ध्यान से सुनें, मैंने कहा है कि शेयर के मामले में हम डिसाइड करेंगे ...(व्यवधान)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया: फंडामेंटल प्राइज़ में आप ज्यादा ही ले लेंगे ...(व्यवधान) और फिर उसके बाद आप शेयर डिसाइड करेंगे। शेयर क्यों डिसाइड करेंगे? ...(व्यवधान)

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: शेयर के मामले में हम डिसाइड करेंगे, यह कहा था मैंने ...(व्यवधान)

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत: एकतरफा तय करेंगे ...(व्यवधान)

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: मैंने शेयर के मामले में कहा था कि आप बोल सकते थे और आपको बोलना चाहिए था ...(व्यवधान)

श्री एस.एस. अहलुवालिया: नहीं-नहीं, शेयर तो पहले डिसाइड करना चाहिए था ...(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति: ऐसा नहीं है। Let him reply. Then you can seek clarifications.

4j/psv पर जारी

MKS-PSV/6.40/4J

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: सर, जब बिल मूव किया जाता है, तो मंत्री पहले उसकी introduction देता है। मंत्री जी ने तो कुछ बताया ही नहीं। अब हम लोगों को उनके दिल की बात कैसे मालूम कि ...(व्यवधान)... शुरू में ही बता देते तो हम क्यों पूछते? ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: सर, ठीक कहा नजमा जी ने ...(व्यवधान)... मैं सोचता हूँ कि शायद इस बिल को अगर पढ़ा जाता तब neighborhood schools का तो पता चल जाता। ...(व्यवधान)... और सेक्शन 7 'finance provisions' का भी पता चल जाता ...(व्यवधान)...

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: नहीं, आपकी कमेटी की जो रिपोर्ट है, ...(व्यवधान)... आप उसको भी तो पढ़ लीजिए।

श्री उपसभापति: नहीं। इसमें टोका-टोकी मत कीजिए। ...(व्यवधान)...

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Section 7 is quite clear. It is in bold letters. It is quite clear as to what the procedure is going to be.

Anyway, Sir. The two questions that the hon. Member has raised are: as to how the finances are going to be taken care of and what is the definition of "a neighbourhood school"? There is one reference that the hon. Member has made to Dr. Macaulay. I am given to understand that actually--and she should check it out--that that is a fake quotation, and it is proven to be so. But that is something that we can talk about later.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: When you say that it is a 'fake' quotation, you must prove it.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: No, no; I said, "I am given to understand"

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: No, no; you must prove it; I mean, what is there.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: I said 'I am given to understand.'

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: I know who has given you.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: I know that.

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: One of your colleagues has given you.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Now, Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the next point raised by Mr. Bagrodia is... ..(Interruptions)...

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: You just prove that it is fake. ... (Interruptions)... It is on the net.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: I said, "I am given to understand that it is a fake quotation. She should check it out."

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: No, no; if it is a fake quotation then you must say that; authenticate it.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: I did not say that. I only said, "I am given to understand."

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ahluwalia, he said, "I am given to understand." That is okay.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: That is all. Nothing wrong with it!

Sir, Mr. Bagrodia raised the other point, which several other Members have also raised, that 25 per cent of this reservation is violative of the Constitution. I want to just inform the hon. Member that under the Delhi Education Act, there is, already, a 15 per cent reservation on

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

these very terms, and that is being upheld by the Court. So, I don't think, we should worry about any possibility of it being declared 'unconstitutional'. But I would like to mention.....(Interruptions)...

SHRI D. RAJA: How do you define that? That is the issue. ... (Interruptions)... How do you define that?

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: That is, again, left to the State Governments because they provide appropriate guidelines as to how the 'reservation' is to be done. Everything is not going to be done under the Central Government. As I said, the Central Government only provides for two things -- that the States and the Central Government must be in partnership to provide free and compulsory education to all children in this country. There are many areas; that will have to be sorted out in terms of how to reimburse the reservation that is to be done.

SHRI D. RAJA: That is why it is liable to different interpretations.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: No, no; it is not liable to any different interpretations; "25 per cent reservation" is not liable to any different interpretations; "25 per cent reservation" is a 25 per cent reservation; there is no liability. The issue is that we have also set out... ... (Interruptions)...

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA A. HEPTULLA: What about the minority institutions?

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: We have also set out a formula as to how the reimbursement is to take place. For every 'reservation' that is done, the reimbursement will take place on the basis of a norm which is based on the amount that the Government spends on that child in a Government school. And that is the amount of reimbursement; that will be given to

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

that private school which teaches that child who is in the 'reserved' category. And that child has to be from the neighbourhood, which has, already, been done in the Delhi Education Act.

As far as minority institutions are concerned, the Supreme Court judgment quite clearly says that the minorities can have 50 per cent of their own community in an educational institution. And I think that minorities will not object to serving the interests of communities in the fashion that is set out in this Bill.

डा. (श्रीमती) नजमा ए. हेपतुल्ला: आप सोच रहे हैं। ... (व्यवधान)... आपने बात की है क्या?

श्री कपिल सिब्बल: आप फिक्र न कीजिए। हमने बात की हुई है।

As far as Mr. Bagrodia is concerned, I just wanted to mention this fact to him. He talked about six per cent of GDP. And if the States continue to collaborate with the Central Government and increase their levels of investment in education, over the years, I think, by the end of the Eleventh Plan, we will have, almost, a 5.86 per cent of GDP in education. As far as the Central Government is concerned, it is increasing its investment in education. We never had the kind of investment in education in the history of this country as we had in the UPA Government till 2004.

(Contd. by TMV/4K)

-MKS-TMV-HMS/4K/6.45

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL (CONTD.): It is now almost 19 per cent of the Budget, where the GDP has gone up substantially. So, this kind of money that has been allocated to education has never been given before in the history of the country. The only problem is that the States' share is declining. If the States' share does not decline and they also

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

consider themselves as partners in the national enterprise, I am sure, we will reach the figure of six per cent of the GDP by the end of the Eleventh Plan.

We talked about Plan allocations for higher education and other things. We are not really concerned with those issues here. Rajeeveji also talked about neighbourhood school system. जिस के बारे में मैंने अभी बता दिया है और financial allocations की लगभग वही बात उन्होंने भी कही। तिवारी साहब ने कहा कि आप दोनों चीजें - एक Education For All और Free Market Economy, नहीं चला सकते। अब मुश्किल यह है कि इस देश में अगर 100 बच्चे स्कूल जाते हैं तो केवल 12 बच्चे यूनिवर्सिटी तक पहुंचते हैं, 88 बच्चे तो यूनिवर्सिटी जाते ही नहीं और सरकार के पास तो इतने साधन नहीं हैं कि सब-के-सब के लिए स्कूल्स खुल जाएं, क्वालिटी स्कूल्स खुल जाएं। तो Private Enterprises को निश्चित रूप से आना पड़ेगा, उन को भी सहयोग देना पड़ेगा और मैं चाहता हूँ कि वे सहयोग दें और वे दे भी रहे हैं। तो मैं नहीं समझता कि इन दोनों चीजों में कोई contradiction है। अगर हम चाहें, क्योंकि 2020 में, जैसे विश्व चल रहा है, हमारे देश में लगभग 47 मिलियन सरप्लस बच्चे होंगे। उन को employment कैसे दें और जहां यूरोप में declining population है, हमारे देश में वह सरप्लस है, तो in a way, we will be producing citizens in this country who will, in fact, not only just serve India but also the global community. If we want the citizens to serve India and the global community, we must make them competitive; otherwise, we will not be able to do that.

Then I come to the issue of language that has been raised. It is very important for the child to be taught in his mother tongue. It is exceptionally important because that gets him closer to his roots. That is all about Indian culture. But it is equally important for him to be educated in Hindi, to learn Hindi language, because that integrates him with the rest of the society in India. So, his mother tongue takes him

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

into his roots and Hindi integrates him with the rest of India. But if he needs to move across the borders, if he needs to have trans-national opportunities, then he needs English. So, all the three are important: your mother tongue for your roots, Hindi for integration and English for moving across the boundaries for realising opportunities both in terms of employment and competing with the rest of the world. You can't denigrate anyone of them. Therefore, it must start at that level. Therefore, I am happy that you raised the issue of language and I got this opportunity to put the Government's thoughts before the hon. Members of this House.

फिर डा० मलयसामी साहब ने कई बातें कहीं और कहा कि this is a belated legislation. मैं तो नहीं समझता कि belated है। As I suggested at the outset, this is many, many years too late. He has also mentioned that we must clarify as to what is our primacy. Is it elementary education or is it higher education? Again, my answer is that both are equally important. You can't do one without the other. If you don't have a critical stock of young educated people in Class-XII, you would not be able to do much in higher education. So, the base, the foundation, of higher education is a critical mass of people at the level of elementary education. एक बात जो सभी माननीय सदस्यों ने कही कि आप जीरो से 5 साल के जो बच्चे हैं, उनके बारे में कुछ नहीं सोच रहे हैं और जो 14 साल के बाद जो education होने वाली है, उस के बारे में कुछ नहीं सोच रहे हैं। Now, this Bill that has been initiated here is under article 21A of the Constitution? What does article 21A say? It says, "The State shall provide free and compulsory education to all children of the age of 6 to 14 years in such a manner as the State may by law determine".

RG/DS/6.50/4L

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL (CONTD.): So, this is a legislation under article 21A, and we have to provide for education for children between 6 and 14 years. It has nothing to do with children between 0 and 5 years of age. At least, this legislation cannot go beyond article 21A. Therefore, in this legislation, you cannot incorporate provisions outside 21A, nor can you incorporate provisions beyond 14 years. We have the माध्यमिक शिक्षा अभियान, in which we are moving forward...

THE LEADER OF OPPOSITION (SHRI ARUN JAITLEY): Article 21A is an enabling provision. It is not a bar that you cannot do it.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: I am not saying that. This is an obligation that we are fulfilling under article 21A. So, the legislation is in the context of 21A, and, that is why, we have not dealt with 0-5 years. We have the plenary powers to deal with any subject. But I am only talking about this legislation being under article 21A, and, that is why, 0-5 and above 14 years has not been dealt with in this. I just wanted to clarify that for the hon. Members of this House. कई माननीय सदस्यों ने migrant labours के बारे में बात की। मैं भी कल अपनी constituency में गया था। एक जगह मैंने देखा कि वहाँ कई बच्चे थे और वह मंडी में बैठे थे। मैंने उनसे पूछा कि आप किस स्कूल में जाते हैं? उनका कोई जवाब नहीं आया, क्योंकि ये migrant labourers बाहर से आते हैं, बिना families के आते हैं। मैंने सुना है कि कुछ migrant labourers मध्य प्रदेश से महाराष्ट्र में भी जाते हैं। जब cotton picking season हो तो वहाँ भी बच्चे जाते हैं। इनको वेतन भी नहीं दिया जाता। This is a very serious problem. यह कहना कि यह Bill उस problem को solve कर देगा, यह भी गलत होगा, लेकिन यह एक शुष्मात है। इस शुष्मात में हम सबको भाग लेना है और इसको आगे बढ़ाना है। And, to the extent

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

that we have provided for in this Bill, if there is any violation of the Right to Education Act, then, to that extent, the National Commission on Labour will take cognisance of it and take action. We have provided for that in the Bill. So, it is not that we are not taking cognisance of that fact. But it is not something that will be removed overnight. So, we are dealing with it and we are taking cognisance of it. Actually, this Bill performs ten functions, according to me. First, as I mentioned, free education for the child; second, the obligation of the State to provide free education; third, the nature of curriculum being consistent with our constitutional obligations -- that is also provided for in the Bill -- and fourth, quality of education. Why do I say quality? There is a provision of this Bill as to what the eligibility conditions of a teacher will be. That is also going to be provided, and standards are going to be set. I will just refer you to that provision of the Bill. Clause 23 (1) of the Bill states: "Any person possessing minimum qualifications, as laid down by the academic authority, authorised by the Central Government, by notification, shall be eligible for appointment as a teacher." So, the academic authority will set out the minimum qualifications; वही teacher appoint होगा। अन्य कोई teacher appoint हो ही नहीं सकता। साथ-साथ यह भी लिखा है कि अगर उसके पास ऐसी कोई qualification नहीं है तो पांच साल में उसको वे qualifications लेनी पड़ेगी। Clause 23 (2) states: "Where a State does not have adequate institutions offering courses or training in teacher education, or, teachers possessing minimum qualifications as laid down under sub-section (1), are not available in sufficient numbers, the Central Government may, if it deems necessary, by notification, relax the minimum qualifications for appointment as a teacher for such period not

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

exceeding five years, as may be specified in that notification." So, we are taking care of quality that there will be minimum qualifications, and if you don't have them, the maximum period given to you will be five years. That is the next point, I wanted to make, that you are going to get quality teachers. And we have set out obligations of a teacher as well, as to what a teacher is going to do. अब States में क्या हो रहा था कि States have stopped recruiting teachers because there was a ban on regular appointments. So, there used to be recruitments on contract basis, on *ad hoc* basis, without qualifications, and that has created the problem. That is the real reason. अब हम यह कर रहे हैं कि इस विधेयक के अंतर्गत उनको कह रहे हैं कि अगर आप पढ़ाना चाहते हैं तो आपको ये qualifications लेनी पड़ेगी। अगर आप नहीं लेंगे तो आपकी नौकरी चली जाएगी। इस प्रकार, quality का भी हम ध्यान रख रहे हैं।

('4m' पर

क्रमागत)

TDB-AKA/4M/6.55

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL (CONTD.): What we have provided here was that every neighbourhood school shall be constructed within a period of three years from the passing of this Act. Three years are given. Somebody said, three years is too long a period. I don't believe so. I think if we can accomplish this task in three years, it would be a great credit to the country and to everybody who is participating in this national enterprise. Then, apart from quality education, quality teachers, we are looking at sixth, social responsibility. Without social responsibility you can't take the entire community with you. So, it is very important to have that reservation provision. And, as I said, the point is India is so diverse, and we will have different inputs from various States in this country about the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

working of this Bill that we will have to have the kind of flexibility that we need to ensure that it is implemented in a reasonable and efficacious manner, and that flexibility we will have. So, social responsibility is a very important aspect of this Bill. And, of course, as I said, this also, in a way, tries to attempt to deal with the issue of child labour. We cannot resolve it, but it attempts to deal with it. So, that is the seventh aspect of this Bill. The eighth aspect is, it de-bureaucratises the system. आपको ट्रांसफर सर्टिफिकेट चाहिए तो आपको कोई इंकार नहीं है। यह नहीं कि साहब, हम ट्रांसफर नहीं देंगे। स्कूल में जाते हो, वह कहता है कि हम एडमिशन नहीं करेंगे, वह नहीं कह सकता, तो यह de-bureaucratisation इस विधेयक में है। And, as I said, ninth aspect is participation of civil society in educating our people. The children are our national assets. They will be running the country in the years to come, and we need to give them respect, we need to give them choices, we need to give them opportunities. That is very important, and this Bill attempts to do that. As I said, what is important is this. Then, everybody agrees that this is something that must be done. Yet, there are, of course, differences, and they should be in a democracy, as to how you will achieve this. And that is where we need the support of all Members of this House and all State Governments and civil society to ensure that we actually move forward in the right direction. And, as I said, last of all, this Bill itself represents a great national enterprise. I am glad that it has happened at last, and we must remember this that ultimately education is all about inspiring children; it is all about a national vision, and knowledge must attract the child. The child must run after knowledge. It must not be foisted on the child. That is the kind of education system that we want. It is part of our National

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Education Policy. We are looking at accreditation system within the schooling system; we are looking at changing courses; we are looking at doing away with the kind of oppressive examination system that we have in this country. But, of course, you have to have exams. But, it does not mean that the exams determine what the child does. आजकल क्या होता है कि 10वीं की बोर्ड परीक्षा में बैठकर बच्चा पास हो जाए, उसके साइंस स्ट्रीम में अच्छे नम्बर आ जाएं तो बोलेंगे कि अब तुम साइंस स्ट्रीम में चले जाओ, उसके कॉमर्स स्ट्रीम में अच्छे नम्बर आ जाएं तो अब तुम श्रीराम कॉलेज आफ कॉमर्स ज्वाइन कर लो, तभी तो जेटली साहब ने कॉमर्स ज्वाइन किया। क्योंकि अगर इम्तिहान में नम्बर आ जाएं तो वही काम करो, मतलब कि the result of the exam decides your career, instead of the child decides. वह भी हमें बदलना है। हमें एक किस्म की क्रांति लानी है और मैं समझता हूं कि जो क्रांति इकॉनॉमिक सैक्टर में हम लाए थे, वही क्रांति एजुकेशन सैक्टर में हमें लानी है। ..(व्यवधान)..

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : इकॉनॉमिक सैक्टर में क्रांति! ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री कपिल सिब्बल : वृंदा जी कभी मुझसे सहमत नहीं होंगी। ..(व्यवधान)..

श्रीमती वृंदा कारत : सर, कम से कम शब्द तो ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री कपिल सिब्बल : उपसभापति महोदय, मैं आज के दिन कम से कम इतना ही चाहूंगा कि मैं ..(व्यवधान).. हम क्रांति लाएंगे, आप शांति लाइए।

('4n/nb' पर जारी)

NB/KGG/4N/7.00

श्री कपिल सिब्बल (क्रमागत) : हम इस पर बाहर बहस कर लेंगे, लेकिन आज तो यहां एजुकेशन पर बहस हो रही है। एक बात और महत्वपूर्ण है कि we have provided a provision here in section 26, which says that the appointing authority must ensure that the vacancy of a teacher in school under its control shall not exceed 10 per cent of the total sanctioned strength. होता क्या है कि जैसे कई माननीय सदस्यों ने कहा कि सब टीचर नहीं रहते हैं, one teacher school

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

हैं। We have also ensured it. Now, there is a mandatory provision that their vacancy provision in a school shall not be ever more than 10 per cent. So, we have tried very hard and it has taken a lot of work; it is not as if we have done something overnight, as I said. I also gave you the history at the beginning of my response or my reply. (Interruptions) I am not providing anything. I am very happy that they are a hundred per cent, and I am very happy that they are doing well. The point is, we must recognise that we are sitting on a great opportunity for our children and for our nation. We need to grasp that opportunity. If we lose it, I do not know what will happen to our country; because, if 47 million children are not educated, I think, the consequences of that would be disastrous; we need to seize the opportunity. We do what we can. Even if there are any provisions in this Bill, which requires a different orientation and implementation, we are open to suggestions. But, the thing is, we must move forward, and we must send a message to the rest of the world and to our children that we will ensure that they are provided with the quality education. By the time they are 14 years of age, we will provide them further passage to move forward beyond 14, Class-XII; and, of course, by providing higher education and quality education, we give them an avenue so that they can together take the country forward.

Thank you very much.

(Ends)

SHRI D. RAJA (TAMIL NADU): Just one clarification, Sir. (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Would the debate start once again?

SHRI D. RAJA: No, Sir, just one clarification. There is a financial memorandum attached to this Bill which says that clause 7 of the Bill

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

provides that the Central Government and the State Governments shall make available the funds for carrying out its provision. Then, at the end it says, it is not possible to quantify the financial requirement on this account at this stage. I would like to understand this. What is that you are proposing? What is the commitment of the Central Government? What do you expect from the State Governments? This is number one.

Secondly, you were talking about 25 per cent; when I spoke, I mentioned it. It says, 'To the extent, at least 25 per cent of the strength of the class, children belonging to the weaker sections and disadvantaged groups in the neighbourhood and provide free and compulsory elementary education till its completion...' This is where I am saying, already there is a provision of reservation to SCs/STs/OBCs. You can very well mention. This weaker section can be interpreted in different ways by different people. How can you implement this provision?

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM: We want reservation, Sir... (Interruptions)

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: They will all be done by the State Governments. (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Seelam, I have not identified you. Please sit down. (Interruptions)

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY (WEST BENGAL): During the reply, the hon. Minister referred to article 21A of the Constitution; it was pointed out about the enabling provision. But, Sir, the pointed issue is this Bill aims at children between the age group of 6-14. The Bill says that it has not made provisions for below 6 and above 14. But, if you look at clause 11, it is said at page-5, 'With a view to prepare children above the age of 3

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

for elementary education and provide early childhood care and education for all, children until they complete the age of 6 years, the appropriate Government may make necessary arrangements for providing free school education for such children.

(Contd. by kls/40)

MP/KLS/40-7.05

SHRI SITARAM YECHURY (CONTD): You have already incorporated a clause. ...(Interruptions)... Listen. ...(Interruptions)... My only point is, Sir, if this is the clause and you want to make it functional and effective, then, why don't you link it with the expansion of Anganwadis in every school. Every neighbourhood school that you are starting, you have an Anganwadi associated with it so that this problem can be taken care of.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Where a school provides education below six, the provision in the Bill says that that should continue. That is all.

SHRI P. RAJEEVE: Nothing has been explained about cost of education, that is, cost for clothing, travelling, textbooks, and other things. ...(Interruptions)... The second point is...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is very difficult because there will again be a debate. ...(Interruptions)... The Minister cannot answer every Member in the House...(Interruptions)...There cannot be a new debate on this. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, on the issue of what is to be provided, the Schedule in the Bill provides for the kind of things that will be provided to the children. For example, item 5 under 'building' talks about teaching, learning equipment shall be provided to each class as required. About library it says that there will be a library in each school

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

providing newspapers, magazines and books on all subjects including storybooks. Play materials, games, and sports equipments shall be provided. All these things are there. ...(Interruptions)...

डा. राम प्रकाश : सर, मैं एक बात जानना चाहता हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : मैं बुलाऊंगा, आप बैठिए। ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, मुझे भी

श्री उपसभापति : आप बैठिए, मैं बुलाता हूँ अभी। ...(व्यवधान)...आप बैठिए ज़रा... मेरे पास दूसरे नाम हैं, आप उठकर खड़े हो जाते हैं ! ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, मैं आरक्षण पर बोलना चाहता हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : आप बोल चुके हैं।

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, 25 परसेंट आरक्षण दिया है...

श्री उपसभापति : मैंने आपको identify नहीं किया है। मैं आपको बुलाऊंगा, प्लीज़ ...(व्यवधान)...

SHRI P. RAJEEVE: I also have one more point for clarification on judicial remedy and the relevance of clause 85 and 86. Who is responsible? ...(Interruptions)... In all Fundamental Rights, if there is any violation,...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He has already replied your point. He cannot go on answering because he has already replied your query. ...(Interruptions)... You have not participated. ...(Interruptions)... This is another way of seeking clarifications. ...(Interruptions)... Those who have participated, we can allow only them to seek clarifications. In the name of clarification, I cannot allow every Member. ...(Interruptions)...

श्री रघुनन्दन शर्मा : माननीय उपसभापति जी, मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहूंगा कि उन्होंने अपने उत्तर में बहुत बड़ी बात कह दी है कि वे शिक्षा में क्रांति लाना चाहते हैं और शिक्षा में क्रांति का अर्थ होता है - आमूलचूल परिवर्तन।

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

श्री उपसभापति : देखिए, इसके ऊपर क्लैरिफिकेशन मैं allow नहीं करूंगा। बिल के बारे में पूछिए। उन्होंने क्या कहा, उस पर ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री रघुनन्दन शर्मा : मैं अपना स्पष्टीकरण पूरा कर लूं, फिर उत्तर नहीं देना हो तो न दें, लेकिन मैं अपना स्पष्टीकरण तो पूरा कर लूं !

श्री उपसभापति : इसका मतलब यह नहीं कि मैं हर चीज़ allow कर दूं ! ...(व्यवधान)... अब क्रांति लाने की बात उन्होंने बिल में कही है, तो उसमें क्या क्लैरिफिकेशन है?

श्री रघुनन्दन शर्मा : सर, उन्होंने क्रांति लाने की बात की है और क्रांति लाने का अर्थ है आमूलचूल परिवर्तन। तो क्या वे अंग्रेजों द्वारा स्थापित शिक्षा नीति में आमूलचूल परिवर्तन करने जा रहे हैं?

SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Sir, I would like to ask about clause 29 and clause 30. The hon. Minister read out clause 29, which is about curriculum and evolution procedure, and clause 30 is about examination and completion. ...(Interruptions)... Now, when you got the Report of Prof Yashpal and prior to that you got the Report of the National Knowledge Commission and subsequently you reacted on TV also that you want to get rid of the examination system. Here also you have mentioned that no child shall be required to pass any Board examination till the completion of the elementary education. Now we have seen that Y2K problem of the entire world was solved by Indian children. After passing this Board examination and then plus two examination without the help of any Government ...(Interruptions)... I am coming to that.

(Contd by 4P/SSS)

SSS-SC/4P/7.10

SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA (CONTD.): You said that after getting Prof. Yashpal's Report you will get rid of board examination and there will be no tension for the students. My point is, on this 12th of June and in the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

month of March the President of America, Barack Obama, while addressing in Chicago said, "Buck up. Look, these Chinese and Indian students are invading America. You must adopt the Indian education system to compete with them." He was praising the Indian system and now you want to change the whole system. You are today laughing at me but you will become the laughing stock for the nation.

SHRI BHUBANESWAR KALITA: Sir, I am on a point of order.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There is no point of order in this. This is not a debate. Shri Ram Gopal Yadav.

प्र० राम गोपाल यादव : श्रीमन्, माननीय मंत्री जी ने vacancies के संबंध में appropriate authorities से appointment की बात जो की है, उसके संबंध में मैं यह स्पष्टीकरण चाहता हूँ कि vacancy टीचर और स्टूडेंट के ratio के आधार पर तय होती है। कहीं-कहीं गवर्नमेंट जितनी पोस्ट्स अप्रूव करती है, उसके आधार पर माना जाता है कि कितनी वैकेंसीज़ हैं। इसलिए कौन सा तरीका आप मानेंगे कि कितनी वैकेंसीज़ हैं, टीचर-स्टूडेंट का ratio या गवर्नमेंट जितनी पोस्ट्स अप्रूव करे, उसे?

श्री कपिल सिब्बल : सर, teacher-student ratio का शैड्यूल में अगर आप देखेंगे तो उसमें लिखा है कि कितने टीचर्स कितनी क्लास में होने चाहिए। पांचवी क्लास तक कितने होने चाहिए और छठी से लेकर आठवीं तक कितने होने चाहिए, उसी के अंतर्गत vacancies का ratio डिजाइड होगा।

डा० राम प्रकाश : माननीय उपसभापति जी, मैं आपके माध्यम से माननीय मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या आप अपने मजदूरों और कम paid employees के बच्चों को पढ़ाने की जिम्मेदारी औद्योगिक घरानों की बनाएंगे या नहीं? क्योंकि औद्योगिक घराने ज्यादा फीस के पब्लिक स्कूल तो खूब खोल रहे हैं, वे स्कूल money minting machine बन गए हैं लेकिन उनकी यह जिम्मेदारी भी होनी चाहिए कि अपने यहां काम करने वाले गरीब बच्चों की पढ़ाई की व्यवस्था करें। जब हम कंपलसरी एजुकेशन की बात कर रहे हैं, ऐसे में क्या आप इस तरह के स्कूल खोलने के लिए उन्हें बाध्य करेंगे या नहीं?

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

SHRI N. K. SINGH: There are two things. I am grateful to the Minister. He has indicated flexibility in the working of Clause 12. Based on experience he will see what can be done. My question and clarification is a specific one. I refer to the financial memorandum. Now, Mr. Raja has also mentioned this. On the overall financial liability -- on which there are widely different figures -- the hon. Minister has indicated that a group is already working on the likely financial liability. I wish to just point out to the Minister that the 13th Finance Commission mandatorily will submit its recommendations to the Government by the 31st of October. So, I seek a confirmation that before the Finance Commission submits its recommendations, it will have the financial data from this specialised body.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Absolutely, I am grateful to the hon. Member. That is exactly what I said. I said that this term is coming to an end and we want to do this exercise very quickly so that all that can be dealt with by the Finance Commission.

डा० राम प्रकाश : सर, मेरे प्वाइंट का जवाब नहीं आया है।

श्री गंगा चरण : सर, मैं मंत्री जी का ध्यान अध्याय चार के सेक्शन 12 (ग) की ओर आकर्षित करना चाहता हूँ कि "विद्यालय पहली कक्षा में दुर्बल वर्ग और असुविधाग्रस्त समूह को उस कक्षा में बालकों की कुल संख्या के कम से कम 25 प्रतिशत की सीमा तक प्रवेश देगा।" "असुविधावर्ग और दुर्बल वर्ग" क्या एससी, एसटी और ओबीसी के लिए है, कृपया इसको क्लैरीफाई करें। अगर जनरल है तो किसको दुर्बल वर्ग और असुविधाग्रस्त माना जाएगा?

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: As I said, this is something that we will allow the State Government to do and this incidentally applies to private schools, to Kendriya Vidyalayas and to all schools because the definition of school includes every school in this country. So, even in Kendriya

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Vidyalayas, you are now going to have 25 per cent of neighbourhood children.

(Contd. by NBR/4Q)

-SSS/NBR-HMS/4Q/7.15.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL (CONTD.): So, how is that to be done? We will leave it to the State Government to define.

श्री अवतार सिंह करीमपुरी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, हमने आदरणीय मंत्री जी को लिखित में भी सजेशन दिया था। चूंकि एजुकेशन में चिल्ड्रन का जो ड्रॉप रेट है, उसमें माइग्रेंट लेबर का बहुत बड़ा रोल है। हम यह सजेस्ट करना चाहते हैं.....(व्यवधान)

श्री उपसभापति : आप क्लेरिफिकेशन पूछिए।

श्री अवतार सिंह करीमपुरी : हम यह सजेस्ट करना चाहते हैं, क्लेरिफिकेशन नहीं, हम सजेशन देना चाहते हैं।

श्री उपसभापति : सजेशन नहीं होगा, क्योंकि सजेशन की बात आपने कर दी है।

श्री अवतार सिंह करीमपुरी : हम यह चाहते हैं कि इसमें हॉस्टल की प्रोविजन बेशक डिस्ट्रिक्ट पर हो, कमिश्नरी लेवल पर हो, ताकि जो माइग्रेंट लेबर के बच्चे हैं, उनके रहने और एजुकेशन की कोई व्यवस्था परमानेंटली हो सके। इसके साथ हम मंत्री जी से यह भी क्लेरिफिकेशन चाहते हैं कि जो रिजर्वेशन के बारे में है, वह स्टेट के ऊपर छोड़ दिया गया है। अगर मंडल कमीशन की रिपोर्ट के बारे में हम स्टेट का एक्सपेरिंस देखें तो बहुत स्टेट्स ने उसको अभी तक इम्प्लीमेंट नहीं किया है। तो बहुत स्टेट्स में इसकी वॉयलेशन हो सकती है। तो क्यों नहीं इसमें एस0सी0, एस0टी0 और ओ0बी0सी0 का प्रावधान किया गया है? यह होना चाहिए। It should be added in the Bill.

(Ends)

SHRI BHUBANESWAR KALITA (ASSAM): Sir, I have a very simple point to make. Hon. Shri S.S. Ahluwalia, while seeking his clarifications, referred the hon. Minister as 'Baba.' I would like to know whether the

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

word 'Baba' is a Parliamentary word. If it is Unparliamentary, it should be expunged.

श्री एस०एस० अहलुवालिया : अरे, नो बाबा।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We will see. If it is Unparliamentary, we will expunge it. Mr. Seelam. Your point has already been discussed.

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM (ANDHRA PRADESH): Sir, there is no specific provision for penalty on any authority if it fails to provide this right.

Secondly, why do we need a clarification on reservation? It is because it is likely to be challenged in the court of law. Every time it happens...(Interruptions)...Sir, let me complete. When we are clubbed it with others, it may create problems. In the Constitution, economic backward classes are not recognised, if I am correct. He is an expert in law. But, if it is combined, there is likelihood of keeping SC/ST and OBC in abeyance. This is the problem. You are mixing apples and oranges! Please separate them.

(Ends)

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (WEST BENGAL): Sir, it is a universal right and every child in this country has the right to education, compulsorily. I don't understand why the hon. Minister is causing confusion by adding such things in the Bill. I don't understand. If you say that there will be no discrimination on the basis of caste to keep any child out of school, it is correct. It is because, today, there are many, many examples of Dalit children being kept out of schools and are not given access even to water in schools. Therefore, this Bill wants to protect the rights of Dalit children. It has to say, 'any discrimination on the basis of caste,

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

etc., is punishable under this Bill, apart from the Constitutional requirements.' Otherwise, where is the question of reservation? I do not quite understand.

(Ends)

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I just want to inform the hon. Members that if you look at Clause 2(e), which deals with child belonging to weaker section, it is clear. It says, "child belonging to weaker section' means a child belonging to such parent or guardian whose annual income is lower than the minimum limit specified by the appropriate Government, by notification." This is what I was telling you. It is left to the Government.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, the question is:

That the Bill to provide for free and compulsory education to all children of the age of six to fourteen years, be taken into consideration.

The motion was adopted

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up Clause-by-Clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 6 were added to the Bill.

(FOLLOWED BY USY "4R")

-NBR-USY/7.20/4r

Clause 7 -- Sharing of financial and other responsibilities.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 7, there are four amendments (Nos. 10-13) by Shri Moinul Hassan. Are you moving?

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I move:

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

10. That at page 4, line 3 after the words, "The Central Government", the words "and the State Governments" be inserted.

11. That at page 4, for lines 5 to 7, the following be substituted, namely:

"(3) The Central Government shall provide to the State Governments, as grant-in-aid of revenues, at least seventy-five per cent of expenditure referred to in sub-section (2)".

12. That at page 4, line 8, for the word, "may" the word "shall" be substituted.

13. That at page 4, lines 13 to 16, be deleted.

The questions were put and the amendments were negatived.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

Clause 8 -- Duties of appropriate Government.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 8, there is one amendment (No. 14) by Shri Moinul Hassan. Are you moving?

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I move:

14. That at page 4, line 34, after the words, "fourteen years" the words "and also supply free text-books, copies, learning aids, uniforms, mid day meal and the like" be inserted."

The question was put and the amendment was negatived.

Clause 8 was added to the Bill.

Clauses 9 and 10 were added to the Bill.

Clause 11 -- Appropriate Government to provide for pre-school education

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 11, there is one amendment (No. 15) by Shri Moinul Hassan. Are you moving?

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I move:

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

That at page 5, line 36, for the word, "may" the word "shall" be substituted."

***The question was put and the amendment was negated.
Clause 11 was added to the Bill.***

Clause 12 --Extent of school's responsibility for free and compulsory education.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 12, there is one amendment (No. 16) by Shri Moinul Hassan. Are you moving?

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I move:

16. That at page 5, line 48, for the word, "twenty-five" the word "fifty" be substituted."

***The question was put and the amendment was negated.
Clause 12 was added to the Bill.
Clauses 13-18 were added to the Bill.***

Clause 19 -- Norms and standards for school.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 19, there is one amendment (No.3) by Shri Kapil Sibal.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

3. That at page 7, line 15, for the words, "established or" the word "established, or" be substituted."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 19, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 20 was added to the Bill.

Clause 21 -- School Management Committee

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 21, there is one amendment (No.4) by Shri Kapil Sibal.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

That at page 7, after line 39, the following proviso be Inserted, namely:-

"Provided also that fifty per cent of Members of such Committee shall be women"

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 21, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 22 was added to the Bill.

Clause 23 -- Qualifications for appointment and terms and conditions of service of teachers.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 23, there is one amendment (No. 17) by Shri Moinul Hassan. Are you moving?

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (WEST BENGAL): Sir, I move:

That at page 8, after line 3, the following proviso be inserted, namely:-

73 of
1993

"Provided that these minimum qualifications conform to the norms and guidelines under the National Council For Teacher Education Act, 1993 and the pay scales and services of the teachers conform to the latest Pay Commission".

The question was put and the amendment was negatived.

Clause 23 was added to the Bill.

Clauses 24-31 were added to the Bill.

Clause 32 -- Redressal of grievances.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: In Clause 32, there is one amendment (No.5) by Shri Kapil Sibal.

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

That at page 9, line 44, for the words "as early as possible" the words "within a period of three months" be substituted.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 32, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 33 was added to the Bill.

New Clause 33A -- Constitution of State Advisory Council

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There is one amendment (No. 6) by the hon. Minister for insertion of new clause 33(A)

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

6. That at page 10, after line 9, the following new clause be inserted, namely:

"33A. (1) The State Government shall constitute, by notification, a State Advisory Council consisting of such number of Members, not exceeding fifteen, as the State Government may deem necessary, to be appointed from amongst persons having knowledge and practical experience in the field of elementary education and child development.

(2) The functions of the State Advisory Council shall be to advise the State Government on implementation of the provisions of the Act in an effective manner.

(3) The allowances and other terms and conditions of appointment of Members of the State Advisory Council shall be such as may be prescribed. "

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 33A was added to the Bill.

(Contd. by 4s -- PK)

-USY/PK/4S/7.25

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause 34. There is one Amendment (No.7) by Shri Kapil Sibal.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

Clause 34 -- Power to issue directions

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir I move:-

That at page 10, line 12, for the words, "issue guidelines and give such directions" the words "issue such guidelines" be substituted.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 34, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 35-36 were added to the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause 37. There is one Amendment (No.8) by Shri Kapil Sibal.

Clause 37 - Power of appropriate Government to make rules

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

That at page 11, after line 16, the following be inserted, namely:-

"(r) the allowances and other terms and conditions of appointment of Members of the State Advisory Council under sub-section (3) of section 33 A."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

Clause 37, as amended, was added to the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up Schedule of the Bill. There are three amendments, (No. 9 by Shri Kapil Sibal) and Nos. 18 and 19 by Shri Moinul Hassan. First, we shall take up amendment no. 9 by Shri Kapil Sibal.

THE SCHEDULE

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

That at page 13, line 12, in column 2 for the words

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

"teaching plus preparation hours" the words "teaching including preparation hours" be substituted.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN: Sir, I move:

That at page 12, after line 5, the following words be

inserted namely:-

"There shall be at least one teacher per class for first class to fifth class."

That at page 12, line 38, for the words, "every teacher" the words "every class" be substituted.

*The questions were put and the amendments were negatived.
The Schedule, as amended, was added to the Bill.*

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause 1. There is one Amendment No. 2 by Shri Kapil Sibal.

Clause 1 - Short Title, extent and commencement

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

That at page 1, line 6, for the figure "2008" the figure "2009" be substituted.

*The question was put and the motion was adopted.
Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.*

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up the Enacting Formula of the Bill. There is one amendment no. 1 by Shri Kapil Sibal.

Enacting Formula

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

That at page 1, line 1, for the word "Fifty-ninth", the word "Sixtieth" be substituted.

Uncorrected/ Not for publication-20.07.2009

*The question was put and the motion was adopted.
The Enacting Formula, as amended, was added to the Bill.
The Title was added to the Bill.*

SHRI KAPIL SIBAL: Sir, I move:

*That the Bill, as amended, be passed.
The question was put and the motion was adopted.*

(Ends)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House is adjourned to meet tomorrow at

11.00 a.m.

The House then adjourned at twenty-eight minutes past
seven of the clock till eleven of the clock on
Tuesday, the 21st July, 2009.

